Contamination Screening Evaluation Report

Florida Department of Transportation District 1

US 41 and Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study

Lee County, Florida

FPID No: 444321-1-22-01

ETDM No.: 6291

February 2024

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022, and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECT</u>	SECTION P		
1.0	Executive Summary	1-1	
2.0	Project Description		
2.1	Project Description		
2.2	2 Purpose & Need		
	2.2.1 Transportation Demand/Capacity		
	2.2.2 Safety		
	2.2.3 Modal Interrelationships		
	2.2.4 System Linkage		
2.3	3 Alternatives Analysis Summary		
	2.3.1 Prior Grade Separated Alternative		
	2.3.2 Intersection Alternatives		
	2.3.3 Other US 41 Improvements (Outside of Main Intersection)		
	2.3.3.1 Alternative A – Enhanced Traffic Signal		
	2.3.3.2 Alternative B – Partial Displaced Left Turn		
2.4	4 Description of Preferred Alternative		
	2.4.1 Preferred Intersection Control Alternative		
	2.4.2 Preferred Alternative Features		
	2.4.2.1 US 41 2.4.2.2 Bonita Beach Road		
	2.4.2.2Bointa Beach Road2.4.2.3Quadrant Roadway System		
3.0	Methodology		
4.0	Land Uses		
4.1			
4.2	•		
5.0	Hydrologic Features		
	• 0		
5.1 5.2	1		
3.2	2 Soils		
6.0	Interviews		
7.0	Project Impacts		
8.0	Conclusions and Recommendations		
8.1			
8.2	2 Recommendations		

LIST OF TABLES

Table

Table 1: Aerial Photograph Review	.4-1
Table 2: Topographic Map Review	.4-2
Table 3: Risk Ratings For Mainline Sites	.7-1
Table 4: Summary of Risk Ratings – Mainline Sites	. 8-1

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure

Page

Figure 1: Project Location	
Figure 2: Study Area	
Figure 3: Crashes per Year (Entire Study Area)	
Figure 4: Crashes per Year (US 41 and Bonita Beach Road Intersection)	
Figure 5: Crashes by Type and Severity (US 41 and Bonita Beach Road Intersection: 2	2018 to 2022)
Figure 6: Alternative A – Enhanced Traffic Signal	
Figure 7: Alternative B – Partial Displaced Left Turn	2-11
Figure 8: Alternative A US 41 Roadway Features	
Figure 9: Alternative B US 41 Roadway Features	
Figure 10: US 41/Center of Bonita Springs "Thru-Cut" Intersection	
Figure 11: Northwest Quadrant Roadway – Proposed City Alignments	
Figure 12: Northwest Quadrant Roadway – West Leg at US 41	
Figure 13: Northeast Quadrant Roadway – East Leg at US 41	

APPENDICES

Appendix	Title
Appendix A	Contamination Site Map
Appendix B	
Appendix C	
Appendix D	
Appendix E	Supplemental Information
Appendix F	Site Photographs

Page ii

1.0 Executive Summary

This Level I Contamination Screening Evaluation Report was prepared to support the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for the proposed improvements along US 41 and Bonita Beach Road. The contamination evaluation was performed for the study area in accordance with the Florida Department of Transportation's PD&E Manual (July 1, 2023). A contamination screening was performed for drainage sites and is presented under separate cover.

Based on the methodologies detailed herein, twenty contamination sites were identified and the following risk ratings were assigned:

Number of Mainline Sites per Risk Rating				
High	High Medium Lo		No	
1	4	15	0	

Based on the conclusions of this study and the risk ratings noted above, the following recommendations are made:

- Additional information may become available or site-specific conditions may change from the time this report was prepared and should be considered prior to acquiring right-of-way (ROW) and/or proceeding with roadway construction. If the proposed improvements change, and/or new potential contamination sites have been constructed, this report should be revised and updated to reflect those changes.
- For the locations rated No or Low for contamination, no further action is required. These locations have been determined not to have any contamination risk to the study area at this time.
- Four Medium rated locations (Map ID 3, Map ID 5, Map ID 6, and Map ID 8), and one High rated location (Map ID 7) were identified in the study area. Level II testing will be considered for both Medium and High rated sites by the District Contamination Impact Coordinator. The Level II can include hazardous material surveys, soil borings, monitoring well installation, soil and groundwater sampling, and laboratory testing. Level II testing costs are estimated at \$2,000 to \$10,000 per site.
- Once final design plans are available, additional review is recommended in consideration of dewatering operations that may be necessary under the *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Generic Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Large and Small Construction Activities.* Verification testing may be warranted for contamination issues within 500 feet of the dewatering area.

2.0 Project Description

Initiated in November 2019, a PD&E Study was conducted to assess various intersection alternatives for US 41 at CR 865/Bonita Beach Road. The Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) documents the project's purpose and need, the alternatives developed, the process of selecting the preferred alternative, and presents the preliminary design analysis for the preferred alternative. CR 865 will be referred to as Bonita Beach Road throughout the remainder of this report.

2.1 **Project Description**

The US 41 at Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study evaluated capacity, safety, and multi-modal improvements at the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection, in the City of Bonita Springs, Florida. The study area limits extend along US 41 from Foley Road to just south of the Imperial River bridge, a distance of approximately 0.9 miles. Additionally, the study area extends along Bonita Beach Road from Windsor Road to Spanish Wells Boulevard, a distance of approximately 0.8 miles.

US 41 is a north-south principal arterial roadway running parallel to Interstate 75 (I-75) and facilitates movement of regional and local traffic (including truck traffic) along Florida's west coast. Bonita Beach Road is an east-west minor arterial roadway providing a connection to I-75 and is one of two east-west connections between the Lee County mainland and coastal communities and barrier island tourist destinations and beaches to the west. US 41 is a state roadway maintained by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District 1, while Bonita Beach Road is maintained by the Lee County. Both US 41 and Bonita Beach Road are designated as emergency evacuation routes.

US 41 within the project limits is a six-lane divided roadway with 5' on-street bicycle lanes and 5' sidewalks on both sides of the roadway. Bonita Beach Road is a four-lane divided roadway with 5' sidewalks on both sides but no on-street bicycle facilities.

The US 41 at Bonita Beach Road intersection is currently a signalized intersection with two exclusive left turn lanes and an exclusive right turn lane in each approach. Aside from the main intersection, there is currently one other signalized intersection along US 41 at the Center of Bonita Springs (Tuffy Auto/Advanced Auto Parts). There are three additional signalized intersections along Bonita Beach Road at the Center of Bonita Springs, Arroyal Road, and Spanish Wells Boulevard.

The existing US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection has two high volume left turn movements, those being eastbound to northbound and southbound to eastbound. To partially address these heavy movements, the City of Bonita Springs conducted the "Network Enhancement Alignment Study – Quadrant Plan" in May 2017. From this, the City will be designing and building a two-lane quadrant roadway connecting Bonita Beach Road at Windsor Road to US 41 at the Center of


Bonita Springs. This Northwest Quadrant Roadway is currently in design by the City and anticipated to be built ahead of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection improvements.

The proposed improvements will modify the signalized configuration of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection to be a partial displaced left turn (PDLT), with the northbound and southbound left turn movements to crossover and be located outside of the opposing traffic flow. This configuration will allow the northbound and southbound left turning movements to operate in the same signal phase or simultaneously as the northbound and southbound through movements. To accommodate the partial displaced left turn configuration and facilitate the relocation of northbound and southbound turning vehicles, two new signalized "crossover" intersections will be added along US 41 approximately 675' south and 460' north of Bonita Beach Road. The southbound and eastbound left turn movements are proposed to have three lanes each, and the eastbound and westbound right turn movements are proposed to have two lanes each.

As noted above, a Northwest Quadrant Roadway is being constructed by the City of Bonita Springs. As part of the PD&E study's proposed improvements, the US 41 and the Center of Bonita Springs intersection is proposed to be changed from a standard signalized intersection to a "thrucut" intersection. A thru-cut intersection restricts through movements from the minor street typically due to operational and/or geometric conditions. In this case, the west leg is being widened from two lanes to five lanes (four eastbound approach lanes and one westbound receiving lane) and the east leg is being widened from two lanes to four lanes (two westbound approach lanes and two eastbound receiving lanes). This creates skew issues for any east/west through movements and creates operational constraints that are alleviated by the thru-cut intersection configuration. Tying into the new east leg is a Northeast Quadrant Roadway proposed between US 41 and Arroyal Road, northeast of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. This will be a new three-lane roadway with two lanes eastbound and one lane westbound. The Quadrant Roadway System is discussed in more detail in Section 2.4.2.3.


Along US 41 in the northbound direction, a 6' sidewalk is proposed from Foley Road to Springs Plaza (Sta. 232+50) and a 12' shared-use path is proposed from Springs Plaza to just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00). In the southbound direction, a 12' shared-use path is proposed from just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00) to Bonita Funeral Home (Sta. 231+00) and a 6' sidewalk is proposed from Bonita Funeral Home to Foley Road. Along both sides of Bonita Beach Road, the sidewalks will be widened to 12' shared-use paths from the Center of Bonita Springs to Arroyal Road. Signalized marked crosswalks will be maintained on every leg of the PDLT, including the channelized right turn lanes. Signalized marked crosswalks will also be provided on every leg of each signalized intersection along US 41 and Bonita Beach Road within the study area.

The project location is shown in **Figure 1** and the study area is shown in **Figure 2**.



FPID 444321-1-22-01

FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION



FPID 444321-1-22-01

FIGURE 2: STUDY AREA

2.2 Purpose & Need

The purpose of this project is to address the deficient operational capacity of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection to relieve existing congestion and accommodate projected future traffic demand. The project's secondary goals are to 1) Enhance regional and local mobility; 2) Enhance safety conditions; and 3) Improve multi-modal access. The need for these improvements is described in this section.

2.2.1 Transportation Demand/Capacity

The US 41 at Bonita Beach Road intersection experiences chronic congestion. As population and employment growth are expected to continue within this area of Lee County, the intersection's congestion is anticipated to increase. Based on 2019 traffic counts taken, the existing Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) ranges from 39,000 to 53,000 along US 41 and was 30,000 along Bonita Beach Road. Based on future growth projections to a 2050 design year, the AADTs are forecast to range from 60,000 to 78,000 along US 41. The future 2050 AADT forecast along Bonita Beach Road is 39,000.

The existing (2019) mid-day traffic analysis for the US 41 at Bonita Beach Road intersection shows that six of the 12 movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) of F, with one of those being overcapacity (volume-to-capacity >1.0). The existing (2019) PM traffic analysis for the intersection shows that seven of the 12 movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) of F, with two of those being overcapacity. In the future 2050 condition, the no-build intersection operates at LOS F with an overall average vehicle delay between 85 and 92 seconds. While there are a similar number of LOS F movements between the existing and future no-build, latent demand is expected to increase by nearly 30 percent. The future no-build intersection is serving approximately the same amount of traffic volume as the existing condition but with the increased volumes, there are more vehicles in the overall network not being served.

2.2.2 Safety

Crash records were obtained for both US 41 and Bonita Beach Road within the study area, as described below:

- US 41 from Foley Road (MP 0.540) to the Imperial River bridge (MP 1.482); and
- Bonita Beach Road from 400' west of Windsor Road to 450' east of Spanish Wells Boulevard.

Crash data was obtained for the most recent five-year period on record (2018 through 2022). The crash data was obtained from the University of Florida's Signal Four (S4) Analytics crash database for US 41 and Bonita Beach Road. The safety analysis was performed for the most recent five years of crash data (January 1, 2018 – December 31, 2022). Supplemental crash data from previous years (2014 to 2017) and January 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023 were also analyzed to verify crash trends and patterns.

Figure 3 displays a summary of crash frequency by year along with the respective severities from 2014 to 2022. There was an increase in crashes between 2014 and 2017, but there has been a decrease in crashes between 2017 and 2019 before an approximate 30 percent drop in crashes due to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. The number of crashes have stayed relatively constant in 2021 and 2022. There were 163 crashes per year on average between 2014 to 2017. However, there were 146 crashes per year on average in the study area between 2018 to 2022, not including 2020 (a 10 percent decrease). The fatal crash in 2019 involved a vehicle striking a pedestrian on US 41 just south of Bonita Beach Road, and the fatal crash in 2021 involved an angle crash at the intersection of US 41 at Foley Road/Shanna Lane.




FIGURE 3: CRASHES PER YEAR (ENTIRE STUDY AREA)

Forty three percent of the total study area crashes were located within the intersection influence area of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road. **Figure 4** displays a summary of crash frequency by year along with the respective severities from 2018 to 2022. There was a total of 298 reported crashes during this period, 65 injury crashes (22 percent), and one fatal crash (in 2019). As displayed in **Figure 4**, there were an average of 60 crashes per year at the intersection.

Figure 5 displays the crashes at the intersection by type and severity for the five-year study period. The highest crash type observed was rear end, comprising 59 percent of the total crashes. Sideswipe crashes (13 percent) and left turn (8 percent) were the second and third highest crash types. These trends are consistent with the overall study area. The fatal crash in 2019 occurred when a vehicle struck a pedestrian crossing US 41.




FIGURE 4: CRASHES PER YEAR (US 41 AND BONITA BEACH ROAD INTERSECTION)




FIGURE 5: CRASHES BY TYPE AND SEVERITY (US 41 AND BONITA BEACH ROAD INTERSECTION: 2018 TO 2022)

A crash rate analysis was performed for the US 41 at Bonita Beach Road intersection. Note that as 2020-2022 average crash rates are not yet available, crash rate analyses were limited to 2018

and 2019 data. Based on the analysis, the study intersection experienced higher than average crash rates in both 2018 and 2019 when compared to both Statewide and Districtwide average crash rates.

US 41 and Bonita Beach Road are designated emergency evacuation routes for both the Florida Division of Emergency Management and Lee County. Providing parallel service to I-75, US 41 plays an important role in facilitating north-south traffic during incidences and emergency evacuation periods (particularly within southwest Florida). Bonita Beach Road also serves a critical role during emergency evacuation periods as it connects US 41 and I-75 (facilities of the state evacuation route network) and provides one of two connections for residents and tourists between the barrier islands/tourist destinations to the west and mainland of Lee County.

2.2.3 Modal Interrelationships

While sidewalks are present on both sides of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road, the only bicycle facilities present in the study area are 5' marked bicycle lanes along both sides of US 41. Two LeeTran bus routes (Routes 150 and 600) operate along US 41 and Bonita Beach Road. In addition to the two bus routes, LeeTran has partnered with Uber to provide ULTRA On-Demand Transit service in the Bonita Springs area. With LeeTran's ULTRA On-Demand Transit service is a deluxe mini-bus available seven days a week from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM. ULTRA On-Demand Transit allows riders to request a ride as needed, with curb to curb service.

Due to the presence of these facilities/services and the surrounding urban environment, heavy pedestrian and bicycle traffic exists in the area (as observed during field reviews conducted for the project).

The Office of Greenways and Trails (OGT) and the Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) have identified trail opportunities in the vicinity of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road study intersection. The Coastal Loop Trail is a spur loop from the Southwest Coastal Regional Trail, which is part of the larger FDOT Shared-Use Nonmotorized (SUN) Trail Program. This is a planned loop trail that begins at the Southwest Coastal Regional Trail in Bonita Springs, travels along Bonita Beach Road to the barrier islands, then travels through Fort Myers Beach and southern Fort Myers before connecting back to the Southwest Coastal Regional Trail east of US 41 in Fort Myers. Through discussions with Lee County MPO, no future funding has been dedicated for Coastal Loop Trail improvements in the vicinity of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection as per the date of this report.

2.2.4 System Linkage

US 41 serves as a critical arterial in facilitating the north-south movement of regional and local traffic (including truck traffic) as it runs parallel to I-75 along Florida's west coast. Similarly, Bonita Beach Road serves as a major east-west local roadway within Lee County, linking US 41 and I-75 and providing access (as one of two connections) between the mainland of Lee County and coastal communities/tourist destinations to the west (i.e., barrier islands and beaches).

The City of Bonita Springs performed the Network Enhancement Alignment Study, also known as the "Quadrant Plan", in May 2017. The purpose of the Quadrant Plan is to develop an expanded roadway network between Bonita Beach Road with US 41 that improves the area's mobility, maintains a high-quality environment for the community, and minimizes impacts to the natural environment. The City is moving forward with design and construction for a northwest quadrant roadway.

2.3 Alternatives Analysis Summary

2.3.1 Prior Grade Separated Alternative

During the preliminary alternatives analysis efforts in 2020, a single point diamond interchange (SPDI) was one of two alternatives being considered (along with the PDLT). The SPDI alternative assumes the northbound and southbound through lanes on US 41 are elevated over Bonita Beach Road. Turning movements for US 41 and Bonita Beach Road occur at a single intersection underneath the US 41 overpass. To allow access to local businesses through movements on the US 41 ramps were allowed. The US 41 overpass begins between the two access points for Springs Plaza on the south side of Bonita Beach Road and ends north of the Crown Lake Boulevard intersection to the north. Access to any minor streets along the US 41 ramps are maintained as intersections with the US 41 ramps only.

The SPDI alternative was reviewed as part of the Stage 1 Intersection Control Evaluation. During this evaluation, a new development was approved with their primary access to US 41 occurring at the Center of Bonita Springs signalized intersection via the northwest quadrant roadway. The overpass' ramps would tie-in to US 41 north of this location and convert the Center of Bonita Springs intersection into a right-in/right-out configuration. With this new development needing full access to US 41 at the Center of Bonita Springs, the SPDI alternative was removed from consideration and an enhanced at-grade traffic signal was reviewed (as discussed in the next section).

2.3.2 Intersection Alternatives

Two intersection alternatives were developed to support the US 41 at Bonita Beach Road purpose and need:

- Alternative A Enhanced Traffic Signal (**Figure 6**)
 - Widens US 41 to eight lanes from Foley Road to the southern end of the Imperial River bridge.
 - \circ Provides additional turn lane improvements to the existing signalized intersection.
- Alternative B Partial Displaced Left Turn (**Figure 7**)
 - Northbound and southbound left turn movements are relocated to the outside of the opposing flow of traffic, allowing the northbound and southbound left turning

movements to operate in the same signal phase as the northbound and southbound through movements.

- Two new signalized "crossover" intersections are proposed along US 41 approximately 675' south and 460' north of Bonita Beach Road to allow left turning vehicles to cross to the other side of the opposing flow.
- The southbound and eastbound left turn movements are proposed to have three lanes each.
- The eastbound and westbound right turn movements are proposed to have two lanes each.

The intersection alternatives were developed using design provisions from the FDOT Design Manual (FDM). Each of the proposed intersection alternatives were applied along US 41 from Sta. 221+19 to Sta. 271+81 and along Bonita Beach Road from Sta. 254+57 to Sta. 300+33.




FIGURE 6: ALTERNATIVE A – ENHANCED TRAFFIC SIGNAL




FIGURE 7: ALTERNATIVE B – PARTIAL DISPLACED LEFT TURN

2.3.3 Other US 41 Improvements (Outside of Main Intersection)

2.3.3.1 Alternative A – Enhanced Traffic Signal

For Alternative A, US 41 is proposed to be modified based on the following:

- Adding a fourth travel lane in each direction and reducing the lane widths to 11':
 - Additional northbound travel lane will start just north of Foley Road (Sta. 223+50) and end at the driveway for the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 270+00).
 - The additional southbound travel lane will start at Sta. 265+00 (halfway between the Imperial River Boat Ramp driveway (Sta. 270+00) and the US 41/Center of Bonita Springs intersection (Sta. 260+00)) and end at the Foley Road intersection (Sta. 222+75).
- A 12' shared-use path is proposed on both sides of US 41 in lieu of the on-street bicycle facilities:
 - In the northbound direction from Springs Plaza (Sta. 232+50) to just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00).
 - In the southbound direction from just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00) to Bonita Funeral Home (Sta. 231+00).
- A 7' on-street buffered bicycle lane is proposed on the south end of the corridor:
 - In the northbound direction from Foley Road (Sta. 222+75) to just north of Springs Plaza (Sta. 234+50).
 - In the southbound direction from Bonita Funeral Home (Sta. 231+00) to Foley Road (Sta. 222+75).
- A 6' sidewalk is proposed on the south end of the corridor:
 - In the northbound direction from Foley Road (Sta. 222+75) to Springs Plaza (Sta. 232+50).
 - In the southbound direction from Bonita Funeral Home (Sta. 231+00) to Foley Road (Sta. 222+75).

A graphic depiction of the roadway features for Alternative A is shown in **Figure 8** below.





FIGURE 8: ALTERNATIVE A US 41 ROADWAY FEATURES

2.3.3.2 Alternative B – Partial Displaced Left Turn

For Alternative B, the northbound and southbound left turn movements will be relocated outside of the opposing flow of traffic. This configuration will allow the northbound and southbound left turning movements to operate in the same signal phase as the northbound and southbound through movements. To accommodate the Alternative B configuration and facilitate the relocation of northbound and southbound turning vehicles, two new signalized "crossover" intersections will be added along US 41 approximately 675' south and 460' north of Bonita Beach Road (as shown in **Figure 7**). The following features detail the improvements proposed as part of the new "crossover" intersections:

- Between Foley Road (Sta. 222+75) and southern "crossover" intersection (Sta. 239+00):
 - \circ $\;$ Three 11' northbound and southbound through lanes.
- Between southern "crossover" intersection (Sta. 239+00) and US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection (Sta. 246+00) (described from right side to left side across US 41 and shown in **Figure 9**):
 - Exclusive 11' northbound right turn lane.
 - Three 11' northbound and southbound through lanes.
 - Dual 11' northbound exclusive left turn lanes positioned outside of the southbound through lanes.
 - Dual 11' eastbound to southbound exclusive right turn lanes positioned outside of the northbound left turn lanes.
- Between US 41/Bonita Beach Road intersection (Sta. 246+00) and northern "crossover" intersection (Sta. 251+00) and (described from right side to left side across US 41):
 - Dual 11' westbound to northbound exclusive right turn lanes positioned outside of the southbound left turn lanes.
 - Triple 11' southbound exclusive left turn lanes positioned outside of the northbound through lanes.
 - Three 11' northbound and southbound through lanes.
 - Exclusive 11' southbound right turn lane.
- Between northern "crossover" intersection (Sta. 251+00) and US 41/Center of Bonita Springs intersection (Sta. 260+00):
 - Four 11' northbound through lanes.
 - Three 11' southbound through lanes.
- Between US 41 and Center of Bonita Springs intersection (Sta. 260+00) and the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 266+50):

- Four 11' northbound through lanes (outside lane drops at the Imperial River Boat Ramp).
- Three 11' southbound through lanes (a fourth "auxiliary" lane begins at Sta. 265+00 that drops into the triple southbound left turn lanes).
- A 12' shared-use path is proposed on both sides of US 41 in lieu of the on-street bicycle facilities:
 - In the northbound direction from Springs Plaza (Sta. 232+50) to just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00).
 - In the southbound direction from just north of the Imperial River Boat Ramp (Sta. 271+00) to just south of Access Road (Sta. 237+00).
- A 7' on-street buffered bicycle lane is proposed on the south end of the corridor:
 - In the northbound direction from Foley Road (Sta. 222+75) to just north of Springs Plaza (Sta. 234+50).
 - In the southbound direction from just south of Access Road (Sta. 237+00) to Foley Road (Sta. 222+75).
- A 6' sidewalk is proposed on the south end of the corridor:
 - In the northbound direction from Foley Road (Sta. 222+75) to Springs Plaza (Sta. 232+50).
 - In the southbound direction from just south of Access Road (Sta. 237+00) to Foley Road (Sta. 222+75).



200'

FIGURE 9: ALTERNATIVE B US 41 ROADWAY FEATURES

2.4 Description of Preferred Alternative

2.4.1 Preferred Intersection Control Alternative

The purpose of this project is to address the deficient operational capacity of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection to relieve existing congestion and accommodate projected future traffic demand. The project's secondary goals are to 1) Enhance regional and local mobility; 2) Enhance safety conditions; and 3) Improve multi-modal access.

Alternatives A (Enhanced Traffic Signal) and B (PDLT) were presented at the Alternatives Public Workshop conducted virtually on Monday April 3 and in-person on Tuesday April 4, 2023. Following the workshop, feedback was gathered from members of the public for both alternatives. The majority of public comments received expressing support for Alternative B, PDLT. Alternative B was favored as it does not add through lanes along US 41, was viewed as being more operationally efficient, and provided better pedestrian and bicyclist safety. These alternatives were also presented to the Lee County MPO on June 16, 2023 and the public support for the PDLT alternative was documented with the MPO Board.

Discussions were held with FDOT District 1 after the Alternatives Public Workshop and it was determined Alternative B - PDLT best aligns with the purpose and need of the project and was selected as the preferred alternative. The following bullets summarize how the PDLT recommendation meets the primary and secondary purpose and need goals noted above:

- Transportation Demand/Capacity
 - In the 2050 future build condition, the average network delay for vehicles traveling through the PDLT is approximately 50 percent less than the No-Build Alternative.
 - The number of vehicles served by the PDLT in 2050 is approximately 20 percent higher than the No-Build Alternative.
 - The PDLT is anticipated to improve average vehicle delay by over 45 seconds in both the 2050 mid-day and PM peak hours when compared to the No-Build Alternative.
- Safety
 - Using the predictive safety analysis methods provided in the FDOT Safety Performance for Intersection Control Evaluation (SPICE) Tool, the PDLT intersection is predicted to decrease total and fatal/injury crashes by over 10 percent vs the No-Build Alternative over the 20 year life cycle from 2030 to 2050.
 - Increase the volume of residents and tourists from coastal communities that can be evacuated during an emergency event by improving intersection operations of two major evacuation routes.
 - \circ $\,$ Enhance access to facilities of the state evacuation route network.

- Improve response times (due to enhanced access) to emergency events and incidences.
- Modal Interrelationships
 - Sidewalks in the study area are proposed to be widened to 12' shared-use paths along both sides of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road.
 - These shared-use paths will improve pedestrian/bicycle access and circulation by modifying/limiting opportunities for conflicts between automobiles and pedestrians/bicyclists.
 - The 12' shared-use path improvements proposed as part of the PDLT would help further enhance the future vision of the Coastal Loop Trail in the study area.
 - Additional median and concrete traffic separators are included in the PDLT concept to provide pedestrian refuge areas and better facilitate non-motorist crossings.
 - The PDLT will also enhance the performance and reliability of transit service operating along US 41 and Bonita Beach Road by reducing delays at the intersection.
- System Linkage
 - Improve the viability of US 41 as a regional alternative facility to I-75 by reducing travel delay.
 - Enhance east-west access between two primary north-south transportation corridors (US 41 and I-75) as well as between the mainland of Lee County and coastal communities/tourist destinations to the west.
 - Enhance freight mobility and access within the area as US 41 is designated as regional freight mobility corridor (Tier 1 Regional Freight Corridor) in the Lee County 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan.
 - The proposed PDLT improvements will support local system linkage planning efforts by providing a Northeast Quadrant Roadway connecting US 41 to Arroyal Road.

The preferred alternative concept plans can be found in **Appendix I** of the US 41 at Bonita Beach Road PD&E Preliminary Engineering Report.

2.4.2 Preferred Alternative Features

The following highlights the key improvement elements within the US 41 at Bonita Beach Road intersection area for Alternative B:

2.4.2.1 US 41

The proposed roadway/intersection improvements discussed in **Section 2.3.1** and **2.3.3.2** were brought forward as part of the preferred alternative design. Outside of the main US 41 and Bonita

Beach Road intersection and "crossover" locations, additional intersection improvements are included as part of the preferred alternative:

- Signalization and turn lane improvements at the intersection of US 41 and Foley Road (Sta. 222+75).
- Modified "thru-cut" signalized intersection at US 41 and Center of Bonita Springs (Sta. 260+00) as shown in **Figure 10**:
 - A thru-cut intersection restricts through movements from the minor street typically due to operational and/or geometric conditions. In this case, the west leg is being widened from two lanes to five lanes (four eastbound approach lanes and one westbound receiving lane) and the east leg is being widened from two lanes to four lanes (two westbound approach lanes and two eastbound receiving lanes).
 - Dual southbound left turn lanes are also proposed in the new thru-cut configuration.




FIGURE 10: US 41/CENTER OF BONITA SPRINGS "THRU-CUT" INTERSECTION

2.4.2.2 Bonita Beach Road

The following roadway improvements are proposed along Bonita Beach Road as part of the preferred alternative:

- Three 11' travel lanes in each direction from the Center of Bonita Springs (Sta. 266+50) to Arroyal Road (Sta. 286+25). The third eastbound through lane drops at the Spanish Wells Boulevard signal.
- Widening the sidewalk to be a 12' shared-use path on both sides from the Center of Bonita Springs (Sta. 266+50) to Arroyal Road (Sta. 286+25).

At intersections along Bonita Beach Road, the following features are included are part of the preferred alternative:

- Bonita Beach Road at Center of Bonita Springs (Sta. 266+50):
 - Develop a third 11' eastbound travel lane departing intersection.
- Bonita Beach Road at Arroyal Road (Sta. 286+25):
 - One additional 11' eastbound through lane (will be a shared through/right configuration).
 - Develop a third 11' westbound travel lane departing intersection.
 - The southbound approach will be modified to include two southbound left turn lanes and one southbound shared through/right turn lane.

2.4.2.3 Quadrant Roadway System

A new Northwest Quadrant Roadway from Bonita Beach Road at Windsor Road (Bonita Beach Road Sta. 260+00) to US 41 at the Center of Bonita Springs (US 41 Sta. 260+00) will be constructed by the City of Bonita Springs before the preferred alternative is planned to be constructed at the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. The following features describe the Northwest Quadrant Roadway improvements as shown in **Figure 11**:

- Intersection of Bonita Beach Road and Windsor Road (Bonita Beach Road Sta. 260+00):
 - An eastbound displaced left turn to the Northwest Quadrant Roadway with a new crossover intersection just west of Windsor Road.
 - \circ The southbound approach from Windsor Road will be widened to two lanes.
 - An exclusive westbound right turn lane will be added.
- Along Windsor Road:
 - Two southbound lanes and one northbound lane.
 - o 6' sidewalk on the west side and 12' shared-use path on the east side of the roadway.

- Along New Roadway between Windsor Road and the Northwest Corner of the Center of Bonita Springs Shopping Plaza:
 - One 11' travel lane in each direction.
 - 4' paved shoulders in each direction.
 - 6' sidewalk on the west side and 12' shared-use path on the east side of the roadway.




FIGURE 11: NORTHWEST QUADRANT ROADWAY – PROPOSED CITY ALIGNMENTS

The design concept for the City's Northwest Quadrant Roadway ties in at the existing US 41/Center of Bonita Springs intersection and is not making any improvements to this intersection. In the future condition, this intersection will not have enough capacity to accommodate the forecasted traffic demand, necessitating additional turn lane improvements on the intersection's west leg. As part of the preferred alternative, the Northwest Quadrant Roadway is being modified from the northwest corner of the Center of Bonita Springs Shopping Plaza to US 41. These changes are described below and shown in **Figure 12**:

• Northwest Corner of the Center of Bonita Springs Shopping Plaza to US 41:

- Roadway is widened to develop a center median with varying width.
- One 11' travel lane in each direction.
- \circ 6' sidewalk on the north side of the roadway.
- \circ 12' shared-use path on the south side of the roadway.
- New 11' westbound left turn lane into Center of Bonita Springs behind the Old Time Pottery building.
- West Leg at US 41 Intersection:
 - One 11' eastbound right turn lane.
 - Three 11' eastbound left turn lanes.
 - One 11' westbound receiving lane.




FIGURE 12: NORTHWEST QUADRANT ROADWAY – WEST LEG AT US 41

Tying into the east leg of this intersection is a Northeast Quadrant Roadway proposed between US 41 and Arroyal Road, intersecting at Arroyal Road and Carolina Street. This will be a new threelane roadway with two lanes eastbound and one lane westbound, as shown in **Figure 13**. The lane configuration at the US 41 intersection is discussed below:

- One 11' westbound left turn lane.
- One 11' westbound right turn lane.

• Two 11' eastbound receiving lanes.




FIGURE 13: NORTHEAST QUADRANT ROADWAY – EAST LEG AT US 41

3.0 Methodology

A contamination screening was conducted to identify contamination issues from properties or operations located within the vicinity of the project. This evaluation consisted of the following tasks:

- A Site Contamination Map (**Appendix A**) using data acquired by Environmental Data Management, Inc. (EDM) was drafted to illustrate the locations of the contamination sites with respect to the study area limits.
- Aerial photographs were reviewed to develop a history of the previous land uses within the study area and to identify sites which may have historical uses that pose contamination concerns. Aerial photographs dated 1944, 1958, 1968, 1975, 1986, 1996, 2005, 2014, and 2020 were provided by EDM. Google Earth images were reviewed where data gaps were evident in the aerials provided by EDM. A summary is provided in **Table 1**. Copies of the historical aerial photographs are presented in **Appendix B**.
- Topographic maps were reviewed to develop a history of the previous land uses within the study area and to identify sites which may have historical uses that pose contamination concerns. Topographic maps can prove useful in identifying contamination concerns such as railroads, mine lands, bulk storage tanks, and landfills/disturbed lands. Additionally, land use and water features, including elevation contours can be identified on topographic maps. Topographic maps dated 1958, 1972, 1987, and 1991were provided by EDM. These maps were obtained from the digital map collections of the United States Geological Survey (USGS). Only 7.5 Minute Series maps were selected for this report. A summary is provided in **Table 2**. Copies of the historical topographic maps are presented in **Appendix C**.
- An environmental database search using EDM was conducted on September 7, 2023 to identify sites, facilities or listings within the study area containing documented or suspected petroleum contamination or other hazardous materials. This report utilizes the search distances included in the FDOT PD&E Manual (except the 1,000 foot search buffer). The search distances are as follows:
 - 500 feet from the ROW line for petroleum, drycleaners, and non-petroleum sites, and
 - ¹/₂ mile from the ROW line for Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), National Priorities List (NPL) Superfund sites, or Landfill sites.

- The EDM report is used as a preliminary screening tool to identify facilities that are registered with various county, state, and federal agencies. The regulatory review of federal and state environmental records utilizes an integrated geographic information system database. The database report provides geocoded and non-geocoded regulatory listings of interest that are identified within the study area. Each listing is located by address, facility identification number and field verified where possible. All are reviewed for the potential of contamination to impact the project. The reviewed records include information compiled by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), and other various reporting programs, as identified in EDM's report. A complete list of all regulatory record databases searched is included in the environmental database search report, provided in **Appendix D**. The facilities identified in the EDM report are discussed in **Section 7.0**.
- Supplemental Information made available through FDEP OCULUS files may provide relative information not included within the EDM report. These resources are presented in **Appendix E**.
- A site visit was conducted on October 26, 2023, to verify the current statuses of the contamination sites identified in EDM's report, and to identify new and or undocumented contamination sites. Select site photographs are presented in **Appendix F**.
- Lee County Property Appraiser database information was reviewed for suspect contamination sites where other resources may not have provided ample information regarding the site, or to determine addresses, parcel boundaries and other pertinent information.
- Assigned risk ratings for each contamination site after evaluating the findings of each of the previously mentioned methodologies. The rating system defined in PD&E Manual is divided into four categories of risk which express the degree of concern for contamination problems. The four degrees of risk ratings are "No," "Low," "Medium," and "High" and are defined as follows:
 - <u>No Risk Site</u>: a review of available information on the property and a review of the conceptual or design plans indicates there is no potential contamination impact to the project. It is possible that contaminants have been handled on the property. However, findings from the Level I evaluation indicate that contamination impacts are not expected.
 - <u>Low Risk Site</u>: a review of available information indicates that past or current activities on the property have an ongoing contamination issue; the site has a

hazardous waste generator identification number, or the site stores, handles, or manufactures hazardous materials. However, based on the review of conceptual or design plans and/or findings from the Level I evaluation, it is not likely that there would be any contamination impacts to the project.

- Medium Risk Site: after a review of conceptual or design plans and findings from a Level I evaluation, a potential contamination impact to the project has been identified. If there is insufficient information (such as regulatory records or site historical documents) to make a determination as to the potential for contamination impact, and there is reasonable suspicion that contamination may exist, the property should be rated at least as a "Medium." Properties used historically as gasoline stations and which have not been evaluated or assessed by regulatory agencies, sites with abandoned in place underground petroleum storage tanks or currently operating gasoline stations should receive this rating.
- <u>High Risk Site</u>: after a review of all available information and conceptual or design plans, there is appropriate analytical data that shows contamination will substantially impact construction activities, have implications to ROW acquisition or have other potential transfer of contamination related liability to the FDOT.

While not specifically discussed in the PD&E Manual as a basis for a Medium or High risk rating, sites located within 500 feet of the project limits also receive these ratings when identified as "contaminated" by state and/or federal regulatory agencies due to the documented presence of un-remediated impacts onsite and/or offsite of the site's property boundaries. This rating is assigned in consideration of a dewatering permit that may be necessary under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. In addition to sites identified as contaminated, there are often sites that do not appear on state and/or federal regulatory agency databases as "contaminated" but have remaining soil and/or groundwater impacts detailed in documents such as a Conditional Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (C-SRCO) or a restrictive covenant. Sites of this nature also receive a risk rating of Medium or High.

4.0 Land Uses

Determination of previous land uses and occupancies is an important factor when evaluating the potential for contamination involvement. Developing a history of the project and surrounding areas can assist in determining the potential for releases or discharges of hazardous materials or petroleum products. To determine land uses for this project, a review of historical aerial photographs and historical USGS topographic maps was conducted.

4.1 Historical Aerial Photograph Review

Aerial photographs dated 1944, 1958, 1968, 1975, 1986, 1996, 2005, 2014, and 2020 were provided by EDM. A summary of our review is discussed in **Table 1** below. Copies of the historical aerial photographs are presented in **Appendix B**.

	TABLE 1: AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW			
Year	Comment	Contamination Concerns		
1944	Bonita Beach Road is first depicted. Grassy fields, woods, and low wet areas are within and adjoining the study area. Windsor Road and Arroyal Road were both first depicted.	No concerns noted.		
1958	Development depicted along Bonita Beach Road. Retention pond was depicted within and adjoining west of US 41 ROW (US 41 not depicted).No concerns noted.			
1968	Little to no changes depicted. Trailer park depicted adjoining Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW. Carolina Street first depicted.	No concerns noted.		
1975	US 41 first depicted bisecting the retention pond. Strip mall depicted southeast of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. Little to no changes were depicted.	No concerns noted.		
1986	Commercial (strip mall) and residential developments were depicted northeast of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. Commercial developments depicted on both the southwest and southeast quadrants of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. The eastern portion of Foley Road is first depicted. Spanish Wells Boulevard first depicted.	No concerns noted		
1996	The western portion of Foley Road was first depicted. Commercial development first depicted on the northwestern quadrant of the US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection. US 41 and Bonita Beach Road depicted in their current configurations.	No concerns noted.		
2005	Little to no changes were observed. Retention pond depicted in northeast quadrant of US 41 and proposed Carolina Road intersection.	No concerns noted.		
2014 - 2020	Little to no changes depicted.	No concerns noted.		

No contamination concerns were noted during the review of historical aerial photographs.

4.2 USGS Topographic Map Review

Topographic maps are reviewed to develop an understanding of previous land uses in the study area and to identify any areas that may show historical, natural, and manmade features, which aid in determining contamination concerns. The following reviews are provided based on a review of the USGS 7.5-Minute "Bonita Springs, Florida" topographic maps dated 1958, 1972, 1987, and 1991. Copies of the historical topographic maps are presented in **Appendix C**.

TABLE 2: TOPOGRAPHIC MAP REVIEW			
Year	Comment	Contamination Concerns	
1958	Bonita Beach Road first depicted. Woods (shaded green) depicted within and adjoining the northern and central study area. Imperial River depicted north of the study area. A rectangular retention pond was depicted within the central study area. Grassy/undeveloped fields (shaded beige) depicted within and adjoining the southern study area. Low and wet area (depression contour with blue plants) depicted along the southern boundary of the study area.	No concerns noted.	
1972	Little to no changes were observed. Developments depicted around the study area (shaded purple indicating developed).	No concerns noted.	
1987	US 41 first depicted intersecting Bonita Beach Road. Rectangular retention pond bisected by US 41. Additional developments (shaded purple) depicted surrounding the study area.	No concerns noted.	
1991	Little to no changes observed.	No concerns noted.	

No contamination concerns were noted during the review of historical topographic maps.

5.0 Hydrologic Features

5.1 Aquifers of Florida

The Floridan aquifer is found throughout Florida and extends into the southern portions of Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. This aquifer system is comprised of a sequence of limestone and dolomite, which thickens from about 250 feet in Georgia to about 3000 feet in south Florida. The Floridan aquifer system has been divided into an upper and lower aquifer separated by a unit of lower permeability. The upper Floridan aquifer is the principal source of water supply in most of north and central Florida. In the southern portion of the state, where it is deeper and contains brackish water, the aquifer has been used for the injection of sewage and industrial waste. Groundwater flow is generally from high elevations within the central portion of the state towards the east and west coasts.

The surficial aquifer system in Florida includes any otherwise undefined aquifers that are present at land surface. The surficial aquifer is mainly used for domestic, commercial, or small municipal supplies. The surficial aquifer system is generally under unconfined, or water table conditions and is made up of mostly unconsolidated sand, shelly sand, and shell. The aquifer thickness is typically less than 50 feet. Groundwater in the surficial aquifer generally flows from areas of higher elevation towards the coast or streams where it can discharge as base flow. Water enters the aquifer from rainfall and exits as base flow to streams, discharge to the coast, evapotranspiration, and downward recharge to deeper aquifers.

5.2 Soils

Lee County Geology was paraphrased from the Florida Geological Survey, Open-File Report 80, 2001 and other geologic references.

The near surface geologic deposits and formations from youngest to oldest in Lee County include Holocene Sediment, Undifferentiated sediments, Shelly sediments, the Tamiami Formation, the Peace River Formation, and the Arcadia Formation.

The Holocene sediments generally occur near the coastline and with river flood plains and includes; quartz sands, carbonate sand and muds with organics. The Undifferentiated sediments are siliciclastics that are light gray, tan, brown to black, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, clean to clayey silty, unfossiliferous, variably organic-bearing sands to blue green to olive green, poorly to moderately consolidated, sandy, silty clays. The Shelly sediments are variably calcareous and fossiliferous quartz sands to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestones with clayey sands and sandy clays present.

The Tamiami Formation is a poorly defined lithostratigraphic unit containing a wide range of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic lithologies. The lithologies include: 1) light gray to tan,

unconsolidated, fine to coarse grained, fossiliferous sand; 2) light gray to green, poorly consolidated, fossiliferous sandy clay to clayey sand; 3) light gray, poorly consolidated, very fine to medium grained, calcareous, fossiliferous sand; 4) white to light gray, poorly consolidated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone; and 5) white to light gray, moderately to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone. The Tamiami Formation has from highly permeable to impermeable lithologies that form a complex aquifer and primarily outcrops in most of eastern Lee County and can reach thicknesses of greater than 100 feet.

The Peace River Formation is primarily found near sea level elevation and is approximately 50 to 150 feet thick under the county. The Peace River Formation is composed of interbedded sands, clays, and carbonates. The sands are generally light gray to olive gray, poorly consolidated, clayey, variably dolomitic, very fine to medium grained and phosphatic. The clays are yellowish gray to olive gray, poorly to moderately consolidated sandy, silty, phosphatic and dolomitic. The carbonates are light gray to yellowish gray, poorly to well indurated, variably sandy, and clayey, and phosphatic. The carbonates often include opaline chert.

The Arcadia Formation is predominantly a carbonate unit with variable siliciclastic component and is found about 150 to 200 feet below land surface (bls) in Lee County. Arcadia Formation is composed of yellowish gray to light olive gray to light brown, micro to finely crystalline, variably sandy, clayey and phosphatic, fossiliferous limestones and dolostones. Thin beds of sand and clay are common. The sand is yellowish gray, very fine to medium grained, poorly to moderately indurated, clayey, dolomitic and phosphatic. The clays are yellowish gray to light olive gray, poorly to moderately indurated, sandy, silty, phosphatic and dolomitic.

6.0 Interviews

Communication with landowners, facility operators, residents, and governmental agencies can aid in the understanding of past and current land uses within the study area. Where possible or when necessary, interviews or requests for information are collected in an effort to identify potential concerns associated with petroleum storage tanks; automotive or marine, maintenance, service, or repair facilities; dry-cleaning processes; and other industrial or agricultural operations that could affect the project.

Given that sufficient information was available in regulatory databases, as well as historical aerial photographs and topographic maps, interviews with property owners were not conducted for this evaluation.

7.0 Project Impacts

Based on the methodologies performed, twenty contamination sites were identified within the study area which may impact the proposed improvements. Risk ratings and supporting research information are provided in **Table 3**. Contamination sites identified in the EDM report are illustrated on the Contamination Site Map in **Appendix A**. Aerial photographs provided by EDM are available in **Appendix B**. Topographic maps provided by EDM are available in **Appendix C**. EDM's report is available in **Appendix D**. Supplemental files from the FDEP OCULUS database and Map Direct are available in **Appendix E**. Select site photographs are available in **Appendix F**.

	TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES					
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments
1	Devoe Pontiac Buick Infiniti Volvo Inc / Bonita Springs Mitsubishi / Bonita Springs Infiniti 28450 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134 Facility IDs: 9803805, 9800304 & 9813692	EDM	230 feet southwest US 41 ROW (nearest structure) 460 feet southwest US 41 ROW (10,000-gallon AST)	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as an active were associated with any of the Facility IDs. Facility ID: 9800304: One 10,000 gallon unleaded gasoline Aboveground Storage Tank (AS former ASTs (one 2,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, one 10,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, t removed from site. FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided a recent most Storage Tank 130, 2021, that found this facility and the 10,000-gallon AST in compliance. No contamination Facility ID: 9803805: Two 1,000-gallon ASTs (one waste oil & one lube oil) are in service a oil) were removed from site. FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided a recent Lee County Facility ID: 9813692: Two 550-gallon ASTs (one waste oil & one lube oil) are in service a Storage Tank Annual Compliance Site Inspection Report dated November 7, 2016, that found During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as a Mitsubishi Motors, Seadoo Can A observed near the northwest corner of the property 460 west of US 41 ROW (Facility ID: 980 of the 550-gallon ASTs were observed. Risk Rating: Given the lack of reported discharges, and the non-retail use of the ASTs at the
2	Springs Plaza Sewer System 28239 South US 41 Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility ID: 9400174	EDM	500 feet east of US 41 ROW	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as a closed FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided and NFA dated August 25, 1994. A Site Mar Reporting Form (DRF) was filed in response to elevated Organic Vapor Analysis (OVA) assessment activities lasted from December 1993 to July 1994. No subsequent files were prov During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as Life Storage facility. Several storag was not possible. Risk Rating: Given the completion of site assessment activities, and the issuance of NFA statement.

ve car dealership with three Facility IDs. No reported discharges

ST) is in service at this facility 460 feet west of US 41 ROW. Six two 1,000-gallon waste oil, & two 1,000-gallon lube oil) were k Facility Routine Compliance Site Inspection Report dated March on concerns were observed in the report.

at this facility. Two 1,000-gallon ASTs (one waste oil & one lube ity letter dated October 17, 2022, that found this site in compliance. e at this facility. FDEP OCULUS files (**Appendix E**) provided a ind this facility in compliance.

Am, and Power Lodge dealerships. One 10,000-gallon AST was 800304). No petroleum stains or corrosion were observed. Neither

ese facilities, a risk rating of Low is assigned.

ed nonretail fuel user with one discharge dated August 19, 1993. anager Summary Report dated May 26, 2004, stated a Discharge) readings taken during diesel fuel tank removal activities. Site ovided. Contamination impacts are not anticipated.

ge units were observed behind a locked security gate. Site access

tatus by the FDEP, this site is assigned a risk rating of Low.

						TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES				
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments				
3	7-Eleven Store #34806 28175 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility IDs: 8944111, 2023	EDM	Adjoining east US 41 ROW OSB-1N 20 feet east of US 41 ROW Former UST farm 100 feet east of US 41 ROW	Petroleum (Benzene Total xylenes)	Medium	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as an active 10, 1988, April 11, 1990, April 20, 1990, April 23, 1990, June 18, 1990, April 11, 2005, and were filed incorrectly and do not exist and have no regulatory files in reference to those disservice at this facility (two 15,000-gallon unleaded gasoline & one 20,000-gallon disesl). For site in 2005. This facility is also listed in the Florida Institutional Controls Registry. A Quart E) dated April 20, 2020, stated only four discharges had occurred at this facility (December 1 December 1988 discharge was filed based on groundwater exceedances reported in onsite m eligible for rehabilitation under the EDI program on September 18, 1991. The April 23 and Ju release of unleaded gasoline during assessment activities. Both April and June, 1990 discl Liability and Restoration Insurance Program. Both April and June 1990 discharges were lat Cleanup Participation Program on May 17, 2010. The April 11, 2005, discharge was filed afted during UST system upgrade activities. A total of 1,071 tons of petroleum impacted soil was feet east of US 41 ROW) and current UST farm (20 feet east of US 41 ROW). A limited closu exceedances in the area of the former UST farm 100 feet east of US 41 ROW. No Site ass activities resumed in 2018, and again from August 2019 to January 2020. Groundwater sam GCTLs in all monitoring wells sampled. The average depth to water was listed as 4.33 feet b northwest towards to US 41 ROW. A Closure Report dated March 22, 2023, stated two 15,00 UST were replaced as part of facility upgrade activities. Three laboratory samples were coll found exceedances above Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs) for Leachability Based on Residential. Contaminants (Benzene and Total Xylenes) at OSB-1N did exceed their establise below their established Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs) via Synthetic IN is 20 feet east of US 41 ROW. The exceedance in GCTLs was responsible for the filting od dated August 28, 2023, indic				
4	Bonita Springs Central Off / Cellular Tower 28160 Beaumont Road Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility ID: 9602086	EDM	410 feet west of US 41 ROW (nearest observed emergency generator) 520 feet west of US 41 ROW (regulated AST)	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as a non-retargenerator at this facility 520 feet west of US 41 ROW. Two former ASTs were also identified 1,000-gallon diesel AST) at this facility. No discharges are associated with this facility. A l facility in compliance. No subsequent regulatory files were available. During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as an operation Cellular Tower, and ogenerators were observed (the nearest one 410 feet west of US 41 ROW). Contamination imp Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, and no reported discharges, this site 				

ve retail gas station with five reported discharges dated: December nd February 15, 2023. The April 11 and April 20 1990 discharges discharge dates. Three Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) are in our 10,000-gallon unleaded gasoline USTs were removed from the rterly Natural Attenuation Monitoring (QNAM) report (Appendix : 10, 1988, April 23, 1990, June 18, 1990, and April 11, 2005). The monitoring wells. The December 1988 discharge was determined June 18, 1990, discharges were filed due to a contractor causing a scharges were ineligible for funding under the Florida Petroleum later found eligible for rehabilitation funding under the Petroleum fter a product pipe containing unleaded gasoline was compromised as removed from the site in the areas of the former UST farm (100 sure assessment report submitted in 2005 found slight groundwater ssessment activities occurred from 2005 to 2017. Site assessment impling on April 6, 2020 found no exceedances above established below land surface (bls) and groundwater flow was depicted to the 5,000-gallon unleaded gasoline USTs and one 20,000-gallon diesel ollected on February 15, 2023, at overspill buckets (OSB)-1N and on Groundwater Criteria, but below SCTLs for Direct Exposure lished Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) but remained ic Precipitation Leaching Procedure testing. The location of OSBof the DRF on February 15, 2023. A field work notification email ber 2023. No subsequent regulatory files were provided. No Site sted discharges at this facility by the FDEP. This facility remains f US 41 ROW and could trigger the testing requirements necessary

h an active carwash. No ASTs or hazardous materials were noted. Modern car wash facilities, such as this one, recycle wastewater in car wash facilities abide by FDEP Best Management Practices to

charges having met conditions for an SRCO or NFA by the FDEP,

etail fuel user with one 1,200-gallon diesel AST for an emergency ied (one 1,500-gallon diesel AST that was closed in place, and one A Lee County letter (**Appendix E**) dated May 4, 2022, found this

l office building. Site access was not permitted. Three emergency npacts are not anticipated.

te is assigned a risk rating of Low.

					TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES				
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments			
5	Spring Fresh Dry Cleaners 8951 Bonita Beach Road Suite 21D Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility IDs: 50410, 9502316, ERIC_4849, ERIC_11227, 9502316	EDM	200 feet southeast of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road Intersection ROW	Hazardous materials	Medium	Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as a former dr Road Intersection ROW. This facility was also identified in the FDEP's Drycleaning Solvent E) dated May 23, 2016, state this former drycleaning facility was located in Unit 210 from the facility was enrolled in the FDEP's Voluntary Cleanup Program. The FDEP approved a Rem source removal in the unsaturated soils beneath, and in the rear of the facility. RAP activities a contaminants. Groundwater monitoring wells were also installed. RAP activities ceased in M 2014. Groundwater testing performed in 2015 yielded a slight exceedance in bromodichloron and 200 feet east of US 41 ROW), a slight exceedance in vinyl chlorides (VCs) in CW-1 and C east of US 41 ROW). Other contaminants were identified but were below their established GC 2, and MW-9 for remediation benefits. A total of 275-gallons were purged from CW-1, 55- from MW-9. A second round of groundwater sampling occurred December 10, 2015, and rev- recent round of groundwater sampling occurred March 10, 2016, and yielded slight exceedance by the FDEP. The location of the nearest contaminated wells (CW-1 and CW-2) are 200 feet ROW. This facility remains listed as a contamination site in the FDEP's Contamination Loca ROW and could trigger the testing requirements necessary for NPDES permitting. During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as Smilecreator of Bonita dentist office Risk Rating: Given unresolved contamination impacts, and effect on potential NPDES permit			
6	Martinizing Dry Cleaning 3525 Bonita Beach Rd Bonita Springs, FL 34134 Facility ID: 9811287	EDM	Adjoining Bonita Beach Road ROW	Tetrachloroethyl ene (PCE)	Medium	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) has identified this site as an operatin Storage Tanks & Contamination Monitoring (STCM) database states a Tetrachloroethylene (I and remains in service. No soil or groundwater contamination reports were provided in FDE was observed as Martinizing Dry Cleaning. Runoff was observed flowing towards a low wet Contamination impacts should be investigated given the adjoining nature of this facility to the of contamination. Risk Rating: Given the active status of this dry cleaning facility adjoining Bonita Beach Road 			

dry cleaning facility 200 feet southeast of US 41 and Bonita Beach nt Cleanup Priority Ranking list. FDEP OCULUS files (**Appendix** the 1980s to the 1990s (extreme northwest corner of building). The emedial Action Plan (RAP) in June 1999. RAP activities included es also included biosparging to accelerate the natural attenuation of March 2004. No subsequent field activities occurred from 2005 to romethane in MW-9 (150 feet south of Bonita Beach Road ROW, d CW-2 (both 200 feet south of Bonita Beach Road ROW, 200 feet GCTLs. Well over-purging activities occurred at wells CW-1, CW-55-gallons were purged from CW-2, and 165-gallons were purged evealed a slight exceedance in GCTLs in VCs for CW-2. The most lances in VCs for CW-1 and CW-2. No NFA or SRCO was issued eet south of Bonita Beach Road ROW, and 200 feet east of US 41 ocation Map within 500 feet of both US 41 and Bonita Beach Road

ce. No monitoring wells were observed.

mitting, this site is assigned a risk rating of **Medium**.

ting dry cleaning facility with no discharges reported. The FDEP's (PCE) AST of unknown volume was installed on January 1, 2003 DEP's OCULUS database. During the site reconnaissance, this site et area southeast of the facility from a vent.

e Bonita Beach Road ROW. This may represent an ongoing source

bad ROW, this site is assigned a risk rating of Medium.

					TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES		
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments	
7	BP-Bonita-Oleum Corp 9021 Bonita Beach Road Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility ID: 8520618	EDM	Plume within and adjoining south of Bonita Beach Road ROW Former UST farm 30 feet south of Bonita Beach Road ROW	Petroleum	High	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this closed former retaunleaded gasoline, one leaded gasoline, and one diesel), three 10,152-gallon (one unleaded gallon unleaded gasoline USTs previously existed at this facility. UST removal activities occ reported on November 17, 1994. FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided a Template S former facility was demolished in 1994. The former UST tank farm was located near the nor ROW. A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was filed after elevated soil vapor readings were Report indicated 400 tons of contaminated soil were removed from the facility for thermal tr Closure Report. Groundwater sampling between January and March 1995 discovered exceed their established GCTLs. Benzene was also detected above its established GCTL in the deep has been prepared at this facility. No other source removal activities have been reported at tha available for this facility in 1996. No remediation activities occurred at this facility from 1990 of the 1994 discharge. Site assessment activities resumed in 2016. Between January and Nove depicted exceedances in petroleum hydrocarbons in soil borings collected between 0 and 5.5 monitoring wells (maximum depth at 12 feet bls), and three deep monitoring wells (maximum results indicated exceedances in GCTLs for benzene, methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MBTE), 1-me south of Bonita Beach Road ROW. Laboratory results also indicated exceedances above their xylenes, and Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRPHs) within and adjoining south of Bonit detected in any of the deeper monitoring wells. A shallow dissolved hydrocarbon map da contamination within and adjoining south of Bonita Beach Road ROW on February 13, 2017. Groundwater flow was depicted flowing i Groundwater flow was also depicted flowing north towards Bonita Beach Road ROW on D remediation by natural attenuation was not recommended. No subsequent files were available Contamination Location Map within Bonita Beach Road ROW and could trigger the testin impacts are anticipate	

tail gas station with eight former USTs. Four 10,000-gallon (two ed gasoline, one leaded gasoline, and one diesel), and one 12,000curred in November 1988 and September 1994. One discharge was Site Assessment Report (TSAR) dated January 2, 2018, stating this ortheast corner of the property 30 feet south of Bonita Beach Road e encountered during UST removal activities. A 1995 Site Closure reatment. Groundwater impacts were not included in the 1995 Site edances of petroleum hydrocarbons and lead concentrations above well (MW-9D) which is screened from 19 to 24 feet bls. No RAP is site other than UST closure/removal activities. Funding was not 96 to 2014. In 2015 funding was made available to assess the extent rember 2017, soil assessment activities occurred. Laboratory results .5 feet bls. Between February and November 2017, eleven shallow m depths ranging from 25 to 30 feet bls) were installed. Laboratory hethylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene, within and adjoining ir established NADCs for naphthalene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total ta Beach Road ROW. No exceedances in GCTLs, or NADCs were ated February 13 and December 1, 2017, depicted the extent of vas depicted cross gradient from Bonita Beach Road ROW flowing northwest toward Bonita Beach Road ROW on August 14, 2017. December 1, 2017. Due to NADC exceedances in the groundwater, e. This facility remains listed as a contamination site in the FDEP's g requirements necessary for NPDES permitting. Contamination of Bonita Beach Road ROW.

shallow groundwater monitoring wells within and adjoining south a risk rating of **High.**

						TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES		
	EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments	
	8	7-Eleven Store #40327 / Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134 Facility ID: 8518113, 8840379	EDM	Adjoining northwest	Petroleum	Medium	Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this facility as an active Monitoring (STCM) database identified three active USTs at this facility (one 6,000-gallon diesel). The STCM database also identified eight former USTs (one 10,000-gallon diesel) that were removed from this facility. Three reported discharges dat associated with this facility. FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided a letter dated Ja (product volume and type unknown) was reported in February 1988. Facility upgrades occurr USTs. An undetermined volume of groundwater was removed from the UST farm by dewate and removed from the facility. A RAP was approved for this facility in June 1993, and operat turned off when site rehabilitation activities were suspended due to Senate Bill 92-2 Laws of A Site Assessment Report (SAR) dated May 2004, stated petroleum impacts in the soil were be dated 2006, concluded the horizontal extent of petroleum inpacts was localized to MW-7. A from the facility during a second UST system upgrade in 2007. Three replacement wells (MW 7R (100 feet west of US 41 ROW) had petroleum concentrations above their established N petroleum constituents above their established GCTLs. Two overpurge events occurred i concentrations. A Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan (LSRAP) was approved in 2010 with August 2012 when the petroleum concentrations at MW-7R were reduced below their NAI (PARM) Approval Order in September 2012, which included quarterly monitoring of wells events were conducted at MW-7R between July and August 2013. All petroleum concent Continued short term biosparging was approved in December 2013, and was conducted in J naphthalene concentrations. Groundwater sampling activities were conducted December 12, 2/ ROW) had petroleum concentrations exceeding their GCTLs for 1-methylnaphthal to the northwest cross gradient of US 41 ROW and away from the Bonita Beach Road ROW. laboratory samples were collected. No contaminants exceeded their SCTLs. A subsequent T 2018, stated additional groundwater sampling oc	

ve retail gas station. The FDEP Storage Tanks & Contamination unleaded gasoline, one 20,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, and one -gallon leaded gasoline, six 10,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, and lated: February 6, 1988, February 10, 1995, and May 6, 2003, are January 26, 2018, which stated petroleum impacted groundwater urred in September 1988, with the removal and installation of new tering, and 1,700 tons of petroleum impacted soil were excavated rated intermittently until April 1995. The remediation system was of Florida. No remediation activities occurred from 1995 to 2003. below established SCTLs. A Supplemental Site Assessment report A total of 200 tons of impacted soil were excavated and removed W-6R, MW-7R, and MW-12R) were installed in 2008. Only MW-NADCs. None of the other monitoring wells at this facility had in 2009 at MW-7R but had no effect in reducing petroleum ith the use of biosparging at MW-7R. LSRAP activities paused in ADCs. The FDEP issued a Post Active Remediation Monitoring ls MW-7R, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15. Additional overpurge ntrations except naphthalene were reduced below their GCTLs. June, September, and December 2014 at MW-7R to reduce the 2014 and found wells MW-7R and MW-14 (110 feet west US 41 a Limited Site Assessment (LSA) became available for this site in or naphthalene for both MW-7R and MW-16 (MW-16 is 150 feet alene, and 2-methylnaphthalene. Groundwater flow was depicted W. A total of 12 soil borings were also conducted onsite, and four Template Site Assessment Report (TSAR) dated September 13, d MW-16 remained. Since MW-16 is 20 feet south of an existing d. No contaminants were identified in the existing retention pond. bsequent soil or groundwater testing events have occurred at this 2023, found this facility in compliance. This facility remains listed onita Beach Road ROW and could trigger the testing requirements discharge.

n. Seven groundwater monitoring wells were observed.

ta Beach Road intersection ROW and effect on potential NPDES

					TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES			
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments		
9	Publix Super Market #1449 Publix Super Market #365 3304 & 3306 Bonita Beach Road Bonita Springs, FL 34141 & 34134 Facility ID: 9814048, 9808472	EDM	Active AST 340 feet southeast of nearest Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW Former AST 450 north of Bonita Beach Road ROW	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) has identified this facility as a new current 3304 address 340 feet southeast of the Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW. One form feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW (AST was removed June 2014). Both ASTs were utill reported. FDEP OCULUS files (Appendix E) provided a Lee County letter dated October 3 this facility was found in compliance with the department's storage tank rules and regulations dated July 31, 2014 regarding Facility ID: 9808472 (removed AST) stated no contamination assessments were conducted due to the lack of reported discharges. During the site reconnaissance, the site listed at 3304 Bonita Beach Road was observed as a l facility. The site listed at 3306 Bonita Beach Road was depicted as two department stores ar Super Market location until the site was remodeled and relocated to the current 3304 address was observed behind the Crunch Fitness. Risk Rating: Given that the lack contamination concerns, and the current facility found in contamination concerns. 		
10	Former Sunshine Dry Cleaners 9048 Bonita Beach Road Bonita Springs, FL 33923 Facility ID: 9801967	EDM	250 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW	Hazardous materials	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) identified this former dry cleaning f Tanks & Contamination Monitoring database stated a tetrachloroethylene AST was remov associated with this former dry cleaner facility. No regulatory information related to soil and a was not found in the FDEP's Dry Cleaning Solvent Cleanup Program Priority Ranking List. solvents are denser than water and tend to sink to greater depths in comparison to petro groundwater contamination would be found beyond the zone of construction. During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as Cosmotique Salon, and an abandom Risk Rating: Given the lack of reported discharges, characteristics of chlorinated solvents, a former dry cleaner facility are not anticipated. This site is assigned a risk rating of Low. 		
11	Former Prestige Cleaners 3300 Bonita Beach Road #107 Bonita Springs, FL 34134 Facility ID: 9503050	EDM	120 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW	Hazardous materials	Low	 Contamination Concerns: EDM's report (Appendix D) has identified this former dry clear FDEP STCM database stated an AST containing PCE was removed from site. Both the date of not found in the FDEP's Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup Program Priority Ranking List. A confi are denser than water and tend to sink to greater depths in comparison to petroleum related contamination would be found beyond the zone of construction. During the site reconnaissance, the site was observed as a Salon plex hair salon. No groundw Risk Rating: Given the lack of reported discharges, characteristics of chlorinated solvents, a former dry cleaner facility are not anticipated. This site is assigned a risk rating of Low. 		
12	Tuffy Tire & Auto Service Center 27790 South Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Site reconnaissance MapDirect	Adjoining US 41 and Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW	Petroleum Hazardous materials	Low	 Contamination Concerns: This facility was not identified in EDM's report. During the site Service Center an operational auto service center that offers alignment, exhaust, brake, and a Quadrant Roadway ROW. One Aboveground Storage Tank (AST) was observed 40 feet nor of US 41 ROW. The tank volume, and contents are unknown (access to the AST was not per more are required to be registered with the FDEP. The AST observed appears to be in the ra and under an aluminum canopy. Typically, auto repair facilities are Small Quantity Generationsidered a low risk. Seven hydraulic lifts were observed adjoining north of the Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROV of encountering contamination during site demolition activities/removal of the hydraulic lift hazardous materials may be stored onsite in small quantities such as waste oil, brake fluids, a Risk Rating: Given the lack of reported discharge, and the non-commercial use of the AST, 		

nonretail fuel user with one active 1,000-gallon diesel AST at the ormer 1,000-gallon diesel AST was at the former 3306 address 450 cilized as fuel sources for emergency generators with no discharges 31, 2022 regarding Facility ID: 9814048 (AST in service) stating ns. An FDEP Storage Tank Facility Closure Site Inspection Report on concerns were noted during tank removal activities. No closure

a Publix Super Market with an active 1,000-gallon AST behind the and a Crunch Fitness gym. The 3306 address was a former Publix ess in 2014. The pad for the former AST and emergency generator

compliance, this site is assigned a risk rating of Low.

g facility 250 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW. FDEP Storage oved from site on an unknown date. No reported discharges are d groundwater testing is available. This former dry cleaning facility t. A confirmed discharge is required to be on the list. Dry cleaning roleum related contaminants. Because of this characteristic, any

ned pharmacy. No groundwater monitoring wells were observed.

and the distance from ROW. Contamination impacts regarding the

aning facility 120 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW. The e of removal and volume of the tank are unknown. This facility was nfirmed discharge is required to be on the list. Dry cleaning solvents ted contaminants. Because of this characteristic, any groundwater

lwater monitoring wells were observed.

and the distance from ROW. Contamination impacts regarding the

ite reconnaissance this facility was identified as Tuffy tire & Auto d air conditioning services adjoining west of US 41 and Northwest orth of the Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW, and 130 feet west bermitted due to fencing). AST's with a tank size of 550-gallons or range of 400 to 500-gallons in size. The AST is on a concrete pad erators (SQGs) of hazardous waste (100-1,000 kg/month) and are

DW, and 30 feet west of US 41 ROW. Although there is a possibility lifts, impact to the ROW is not anticipated due to distance. Other, and freon.

Γ, this site is assigned a risk rating of Low.

US 41 and Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study FPID No.: 444321-1-22-01

TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES						
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments
13	Bonita Boat Center 27760 South Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Site reconnaissance	Adjoining west of US 41 ROW	N/A	Low	Contamination Concerns: This facility was not identified in EDM's report. During the site marine/boat dealer and service/repair center adjoining west of US 41 ROW. No FDEP OCU sources were observed at this facility. Contamination concerns are not anticipated for this fac Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, this site is assigned a risk rating of I
14	Advance Auto Parts 27791 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Site reconnaissance MapDirect	Within and Adjoining north of proposed Carolina Street ROW Adjoining east US 41 ROW	Hazardous materials	Low	 Contamination Concerns: This facility was not identified in EDM's report. During the sit retail store with no service bays or petroleum storage tanks. The Advance Auto Parts is withi adjoining east of US 41 ROW. Typically, retail auto parts stores are SQGs of hazardous waste and petroleum products on impermeable surfaces such as concrete and are not used onsite. T Hazardous materials such as oil, brake fluids, and freon may be stored and sold onsite in sn facility. Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, this site is assigned a risk rating of I
15	NCH Healthcare Systems 24020 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134 Facility ID: 9816752 3302 Bonita Beach Road Bonita Springs, FL 34134	MapDirect	Adjoining south of Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW (3302 address) Approximately 4-miles north of study area (24020 address)	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: This site was not identified in EDM's report, but rather using facility adjoining south of the Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW with a diesel AST used Storage Tank Facility Registration Form dated July 13, 1998 for Facility 9816752 located at The site referenced at 24020 South Tamiami Trail is another NHC property located approxima Trail. No regulatory files were provided for the 3302 address. During the site reconnaissance, this site was observed as NCH Immediate Care at 3302 Bonit at the 3302 address. An enclosed structure was observed connected to the east of the site, but emergency generator). Risk Rating: Given the lack of a reported discharge, and the unknown location of the AST, to supervise the structure of the storage to the structure of the storage.
16	Discarded Buckets / Construction Site / Disaster Debris Management Site 27711 Windsor Road/Anglers Paradise Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Field Review March 4, 2020 Site reconnaissance October 26, 2023	 330 feet west of Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW 570 feet west of Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW (5-gallon buckets) 	Petroleum	Low	 Contamination Concerns: This site was identified in EDM's report 330 feet west of North provided. MapDirect (Appendix E) provided an FDEP Inspection Checklist dated December managed and processed at this site. No environmental issues or concerns were noted during t 4, 2020, four abandoned 5-gallon buckets of hydraulic oil were discovered 570 feet northwest During the most recent site reconnaissance, this site was observed as an active construction Windsor Road, behind the strip mall plaza (northwest quadrant of the US 41 and Bonita b northern project boundary. Three temporary petroleum ASTs (tank volumes in the range of observed 480 feet northwest of Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW. The discarded 5-gallon Risk Rating: Since the presence of the hydraulic oil buckets could not be confirmed durind discharges for the three petroleum ASTs on the construction site, this site is assigned a risk ratio.
17	Super Suds Car Wash 28301 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Site reconnaissance October 26, 2023	Adjoining east US 41 ROW	Hazardous materials	Low	Contamination Concerns: This site was not identified in EDM's report. No reported reconnaissance, this site was observed as an active Super Suds Car Wash. No ASTs or haza waxes used at car washes are non-toxic and biodegradable. Modern car wash facilities, such as may contain oils, greases, and detergents. Presumably, car wash facilities abide by FDEP Be impacts to the environment.
18	Jiffy Lube 28145 South Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, FL 34134	Site reconnaissance October 26, 2023 MapDirect	Adjoining east US 41 ROW	Petroleum	Low	 Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, this site is assigned a risk rating of I Contamination Concerns: This site was not identified in EDM's report. During the site rective hydraulic lifts. No ASTs were observed. No reported discharges are associated with this fawaste listing. These facilities generate small amounts of hazardous waste (100-1,000 kg/mon low risk. Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, this site is assigned a risk rating of I

ite reconnaissance, this site was observed as Bonita Boat Center a CULUS regulatory files were provided. No ASTs or possible fuel acility.

f Low.

site reconnaissance, this site was observed as Advance Auto Parts hin and adjoining north of the proposed Carolina Street ROW, and te (100-1,000 kg/month). These facilities store hazardous materials Therefore, auto parts facilities are typically considered a low risk. small quantities. Contamination impacts are not anticipated at this

Low.

g MapDirect. FDEP OCULUS files (**Appendix E**) identified this sed for an emergency generator. FDEP OCULUS files provided a at 24020 Tamiami Trail (diesel AST for an emergency generator). mately four miles north of the project area at 24020 South Tamiami

nita Beach Road. No ASTs or emergency generators were observed but no signage was present to indicate its usage (such as an AST or

this site was assigned a risk rating of Low.

rthwest Quadrant Roadway ROW, but no regulatory listings were er 15, 2017, that stated vegetative debris was the only type of waste g the closure of the site. During a field review conducted on March est of the Northwest Quadrant Roadway ROW.

on site encompassing all of the undeveloped land adjoining east of beach Road intersection), and adjoining west of US 41 near the of 400 to 500-gallons) associated with the construction site were on buckets (from the March 2020 field review) were not observed.

ing the most recent site reconnaissance and there are no reported rating of Low.

ed discharges are associated with this facility. During the site izardous materials were observed. Typically soaps, detergents and as this one, recycle wastewater in a closed-loop system. Wastewater Best Management Practices to eliminate and/or minimize potential

f Low.

econnaissance, this site was observed as an active Jiffy Lube with facility. MapDirect identified this facility with a SQG of hazardous onth) that are disposed of offsite. These facilities are considered a

Low.

TABLE 3: RISK RATINGS FOR MAINLINE SITES							
EDM Map ID	Site Information	Source	Distance from Study Area	Contaminants of Concern	Risk Rating	Comments	
19	Tires Plus 9050 Bonita Beach Road Southeast Bonita Springs, FL 34135	Site reconnaissance October 26, 2023	Parcel adjoining Bonita Beach Road ROW Nearest hydraulic lift 510 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW 640 feet north Bonita Beach Road ROW (Waste oil AST)	Petroleum	Low	Contamination Concerns: This site was not identified in EDM's report. During the site records shop with eight hydraulic lifts. One waste oil AST (tank volume in the range of 400 to 500-gate) No reported discharges are associated with this facility. Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, and the separation distance, this site	
20	Lexpert Automotive Inc. 27861 Crown Lake Boulevard Bonita Springs, FL 34135	Site reconnaissance October 26, 2023	340 feet east of US 41 ROW	Petroleum	Low	Contamination Concerns: This site was not identified in EDM's report. During the site recon Inc. auto shop with seven hydraulic lifts 340 feet east of US 41 ROW. No ASTs were observ Risk Rating: Given the lack of contamination concerns, this site is assigned a risk rating of I	

reconnaissance, this site was observed as an active Tires Plus autogallons) was observed 640 feet north of Bonita Beach Road ROW.

site is assigned a risk rating of Low.

onnaissance, this site was observed as an active Lexpert Automotive erved. No reported discharges are associated with this facility.

f Low.

8.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

A total of twenty contamination sites were evaluated. The following table presents a summary of the risk ratings assigned for each site:

Table 4: Summary of Risk Ratings – Mainline Sites							
High	Medium	Low	No				
1	4	15	0				

8.2 Recommendations

Based on the conclusions of this study and the risk ratings noted above, the following recommendations are made.

- Additional information may become available or site-specific conditions may change from the time this report was prepared and should be considered prior to acquiring ROW and/or proceeding with roadway construction. If the proposed improvements change, and/or new potential contamination sites have been constructed, this report should be revised and updated to reflect those changes.
- For the locations rated "No" or "Low" for contamination, no further action is required. These locations have been determined not to have any contamination risk to the study area at this time.
- Four Medium rated locations (Map ID 3, Map ID 5, Map ID 6, and Map ID 8) and one High rated location (Map ID 7) were identified within the study area and should be considered for Level II testing. The Level II can include hazardous material surveys, soil borings, monitoring well installation, soil and groundwater sampling, and laboratory testing. Level II testing costs are estimated at \$2,000 to \$10,000 per site. Further evaluation and Level II testing, at the discretion of the District Contamination Impact Coordinator, is recommended for the following:
 - Map ID 3 7-Eleven Store #34806 (active gasoline station with five reported discharges): Soil and/or groundwater analytical testing may include Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRPH) by the Florida PRO Method, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and methyl-tert-butyl-ether (BTEX/MTBE) by EPA Method 8260, and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270. Organic Vapor Analyzer screening is also recommended.

- Map ID 5 Spring Fresh Dry Cleaners (former dry cleaning facility): Soil and/or groundwater analytical testing may include volatile organic halides in water by EPA Method 8260.
- Map ID 6 Martinizing Dry Cleaning (active dry cleaning facility with a PCE AST): Soil and/or groundwater analytical testing may include volatile organic halides in water by EPA Method 8260.
- Map ID 7 BP-Bonita-Oleum Corp (former retail gasoline station with unresolved contamination issues): Soil and/or groundwater analytical testing may include TRPH by the Florida PRO Method, BTEX/MTBE by EPA Method 8260, and PAHs by EPA Method 8270. Organic Vapor Analyzer screening is also recommended.
- Map ID 8 7-Eleven Store #40327 / Apex Station (active gasoline station with three reported discharges): Soil and/or groundwater analytical testing may include TRPH by the Florida PRO Method, BTEX/MTBE by EPA Method 8260, and PAHs by EPA Method 8270. Organic Vapor Analyzer screening is also recommended.
- Once final design plans are available, additional review is recommended in consideration of dewatering operations that may be necessary under the *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Generic Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Large and Small Construction Activities.* Verification testing may be warranted for contamination issues within 500 feet of the dewatering area.

APPENDIX A CONTAMINATION SITE MAP



District One - Lee County, Florida Tierra Project No.: 6511-19-135E

University of South Florida, County of Collier, County of Lee, FL, FDEP, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS, Lee County, FL, State of Florida, Maxar

NO/LOW RATED SITES SITE NO.

STENO MEDIUM/HIGH RATED SITES

APPENDIX B AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Historical Aerial Photograph Report

Subject Property:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Prepared For:

Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Prepared By:



Environmental Data Management, Inc. 2840 West Bay Drive, Suite 208 Belleair Bluffs, Florida 33770

September 6, 2023



September 6, 2023

Collin Duncan Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Subject: Historical Aerial Photos-- EDM Project #: 26654 Client Project# 444321-1-22-01

Dear Mr. Duncan:

Thank you for choosing Environmental Data Management, Inc. The following report contains a series of Historical Aerial Photographic images for the following location:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

These images were selected to provide you with an aerial photographic record of this location at approximate ten year intervals and/or one photograph per decade, where available.

Should you have any questions regarding this report or our service, please feel free to contact us. We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you and look forward to working with you in the future.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT, INC.








Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023





Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023







Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023






Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023 shap beate and Property boundaries are ripp





Subject Property


U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023







Subject Property


U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023







Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023

Map Scale and Property Boundaries are App







Source: University of Florida

Subject Property


U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023







rce: University of Florida

Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023 Map Scale and Property Boundaries are Approxim

APPENDIX C TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

Historical Topographic Map Report

Subject Property:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida Bonita Springs Quadrangle

Prepared For:

Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Prepared By:



Environmental Data Management, Inc. 2840 West Bay Drive, Suite 208 Belleair Bluffs, Florida 33770

September 5, 2023



September 5, 2023

Collin Duncan Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Subject: Historical Topographic Maps-- EDM Project #: 26654 Client Project #: 444321-1-22-01

Dear Mr. Duncan:

Thank you for choosing Environmental Data Management, Inc. The following report contains a series of Historical Topographic Maps for the following location:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida Bonita Springs Quadrangle

These maps were obtained from the digital map collections of the US Geological Survey. Only 7.5 Minute Series maps were selected for this report.


Should you have any questions regarding this report or our service, please feel free to contact us. We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you and look forward to working with you in the future.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT, INC.



Historical Topographic Map Bonita Springs 1991





Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida


Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023



a

Historical Topographic Map Bonita Springs 1987



Source: USGS Historical Topographic Map Collection

Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida


Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023 Map Scale and Property Boundaries are App



Historical Topographic Map Bonita Springs 1972





Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida


Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023



Historical Topographic Map Bonita Springs 1958





Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 5, 2023

APPENDIX D EDM REPORT

Environmental Data Report

Custom Radius Research

Subject Property:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Prepared For:

Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Prepared By:



Environmental Data Management, Inc. 2840 West Bay Drive, Suite 208 Belleair Bluffs, Florida 33770

September 07, 2023



September 07, 2023

Collin Duncan Tierra Inc 7351 Temple Terrace Hwy Tampa, FL 33637

Subject: Custom Radius Research - EDM Project #26654

Dear Mr. Duncan

Thank you for choosing Environmental Data Management, Inc. The following report provides the results of our environmental data research that you requested for the following location:

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road

PD&E Study

Lee County, Florida

The following is a summary of the components contained within this report:

- **Executive Summary** –lists the databases that were searched for this report, the search distance criteria and the number of sites identified for each database.
- Map of Study Area- street map showing the location of the Subject Property and any regulatory listed sites identified within the search criteria.
- Site Summary Table –displays the Map ID number, Permit or Registration number, Name/Address and the Government Database(s) for the identified regulatory listed sites.
- Detail Reports data detail for each database record identified.
- **Proximal Records Table** a listing of potentially relevant sites identified just beyond the search criteria.
- Non-Mapped Records Table lists those government records that do not contain sufficient address information to plot within our GIS system, but may still exist within your study area.
- Addl Maps (where applicable) includes Recent Aerial Photo, USGS Topographic maps, FEMA Floodplain & NWI Wetland Map, map of statewide American Indian Lands and our Environmental Impact Areas map, showing the location of suspect sites such as NPL/STNPL, Brownfields, FUDS, etc.... Our Florida well data report is also include with the Standard and Comprehensive formats.
- Agency List Descriptions defines the regulatory databases included in this report along with the dates that each database was last updated by the respective agency and EDM.

At EDM we take great pride in our work, and continually strive to provide you with the most accurate and thorough research service available. This report is only intended as a means to assist in identifying locations that may pose an environmental concern relative to the property under evaluation. Its use is not intended to replace the need for a complete environmental assessment or regulatory file review, but rather as a supplement to the overall evaluation.

Thank you again for selecting EDM as your data research provider. Should you have any questions regarding this report or our service, please feel free to contact us. We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you and look forward to working with you in the future.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT, INC.

Executive Summary

Report Date: 9/7/2023	Executive outfinding						
Client Information	Project Information						
Tierra Inc	Custom Radius Research						
7351 Temple Terrace Hwy	U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road						
Tampa, FL 33637	PD&E Study						
Client Job No: 444321-1-22-01	Lee County, Florida						
Client P.O. No:	EDM Job No# 26654						

The following table displays the databases that were included in the research provided and the number of records identified for each database. Site distance values indicated in this report are measured from the boundary of the Subject Property. The absence of records in this table and the Site Summary Tables indicates that our research found no regulated sites within the specified search distances from the Subject Property.

AGENCY DATABASES RESEARCHED	Total # Found
EPA DATABASES	
National Priorities List(NPL)	0
SEMS Active Site Inventory List(SEMSACTV)	0
Comp Env Resp, Compensation & Liability Info Sys List(CERCLIS)	0
SEMS Archived Site Inventory List(SEMSARCH)	0
Archived Cerclis Sites(NFRAP)	0
RCRIS Handlers with Corrective Action(CORRACTS)	0
Tribal Tanks List(TRIBLTANKS)	0
Tribal Lust List(TRIBLLUST)	0
Brownfields Management System(USBRWNFLDS)	0
Institutional and/or Engineering Controls(USINSTENG)	0
NPL Liens List(NPLLIENS)	0
RCRA-Treatment, Storage and/or Disposal Sites(TSD)	0

*** Disclaimer ***

Please understand that the regulatory databases we utilize were not originally intended for our use, but rather for the source agency's internal tracking of sites for which they have jurisdiction or other interest. As a result of this difference in intended use, their data is frequently found to be incomplete or inaccurate, and is less than ideal for our use. Our report is not to be relied upon for any purpose other than to "point" at approximate locations where further evaluation may be warranted. No conclusion can be based solely upon our report. Rather, our report should be used as a first step in directing your attention at potential problem areas, which should be followed up by site inspections, interviews with relevant personnel, regulatory file review and other means as specified in the ASTM Standard E 1527-13. Readers proceed at their own risk in relying upon this data, in whole or in part, for use within any evaluation. More detailed language with regard to such limitations and our Terms and Conditions may be found on our website at edm-net.com.



Copyright © 1990-2023 Environmental Data Management, Inc. For further information please contact us at 727-586-1700 Use of this information is strictly limited by EDM's authorization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each report.

AGENCY DATABASES RESEARCHED	Total # Found
FDEP DATABASES	
State NPL Equivalent(STNPL)	0
State CERCLIS/SEMS Equivalent(STCERC)	5
Solid Waste Facilities List_Landfills(SLDWST_LF)	0
Leaking Underground Storage Tanks List(LUST)	5
Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks(TANKS)	14
State Designated Brownfields(BRWNFLDS)	0
Voluntary Cleanup List(VOLCLNUP)	2
Institutional and/or Engineering Controls(INSTENG)	1
Dry Cleaners List(DRY)	4

*** Disclaimer ***


Please understand that the regulatory databases we utilize were not originally intended for our use, but rather for the source agency's internal tracking of sites for which they have jurisdiction or other interest. As a result of this difference in intended use, their data is frequently found to be incomplete or inaccurate, and is less than ideal for our use. Our report is not to be relied upon for any purpose other than to "point" at approximate locations where further evaluation may be warranted. No conclusion can be based solely upon our report. Rather, our report should be used as a first step in directing your attention at potential problem areas, which should be followed up by site inspections, interviews with relevant personnel, regulatory file review and other means as specified in the ASTM Standard E 1527-13. Readers proceed at their own risk in relying upon this data, in whole or in part, for use within any evaluation. More detailed language with regard to such limitations and our Terms and Conditions may be found on our website at edm-net.com.



Copyright © 1990-2023 Environmental Data Management, Inc. For further information please contact us at 727-586-1700 Use of this information is strictly limited by EDMs authorization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each report.



Custom Radius Research Report Street Map



Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 7, 2023 Approximate Site Boundary




NPLLIENS. CORRACTS, NFRAP, TSD, STCERC, LUST, BRWNFLDS, VOLCLNUP, DRY, TANKS & INSTENG sites - 500 Feet



Custom Radius Research Report 2020 Aerial Photo





Subject Property

U.S 41 & Bonita Beach Road PD&E Study Lee County, Florida

Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 7, 2023




NPL, STNPL, CERCLIS, SEMSACTV, SEMSARCH and SLDWST_LF sites - 1/2 Mile

NPLLIENS. CORRACTS, NFRAP, TSD, STCERC, LUST, BRWNFLDS, VOLCLNUP, DRY, TANKS & INSTENG sites - 500 Feet

1



Custom Radius Research Report Environmental Impact Areas Map



Lat (DMS): 26 19' 49.2348" Lon (DMS: -81 48' 23.5476"

EDM Job No: 26654 September 7, 2023



USEPA NPL & FDEP STNPL Sites FDEP Cattle

FDEP Solid Waste Sites Institutional Controls

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT

Custom Radius Research

Site Summary Table

Page 1 of 1

MapID		Site Dist	Site Elev	Elev vs Sub		
Prgm List	Fac ID No	(ft)	(ft)	Prop	Site Name	Site Address
1						
TANKS	9800304	410	12.53	Higher	BONITA SPRINGS MITSUBISHI	28450 TRAILS EDGE BLVD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
TANKS	9803805	410	12.53	Higher	DEVOE PONTIAC BUICK INFINITI VOLVO INC	28450 S TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
TANKS	9813692	410	12.53	Higher	BONITA SPRINGS INFINITI	28480 S TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
2						
LUST	9400174	400	13.15	Higher	SPRINGS PLAZA SEWER SYSTEM	28239 S US 41 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
TANKS	9400174	400	13.15	Higher	SPRINGS PLAZA SEWER SYSTEM	28239 S US 41 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
3						
INSTENG	2032	96	12.20	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #34806	28175 S Tamiami Trl Bonita Springs, FL 34134
LUST	8944111	96	12.20	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #34806	28175 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339233204
STCERC	8944111	96	12.20	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #34806	28175 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339233204
TANKS	8944111	96	12.20	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #34806	28175 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
4						
TANKS	9602086	463	12.06	Higher	BONITA SPRINGS CENTRAL OFF	28160 BEAUMONT RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
5						
DRY	9502316	478	12.23	Higher	SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS	8951 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
STCERC	ERIC_11227	478	12.23	Higher	SPRINGS PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER (SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS)	8951 BONITA BEACH RD SUITE 21D BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
STCERC	ERIC_4849	478	12.23	Higher	Spring Fresh Cleaners Inc	8951 Bonita Beach Rd SE Bonita Springs, FL 341354202
TANKS	9502316	478	12.23	Higher	SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS	8951 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
VOLCLNUP	50410	478	12.23	Higher	SPRINGS PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER (SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS)	8951 BONITA BEACH RD SUITE 21D BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
VOLCLNUP	ERIC_11227	478	12.23	Higher	SPRINGS PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER (SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS)	8951 BONITA BEACH RD SUITE 21D BONITA SPRINGS, FL
6					,	
DRY	9811287	144	12.16	Higher	MARTINIZING DRY CLEANING	3525 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
7						
LUST	8520618	80	13.12	Higher	BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP	9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339234213
STCERC	8520618	80	13.12	Higher	BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP	9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339234213
TANKS	8520618	80	13.12	Higher	BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP	9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
8						
LUST	8518113	64	11.38	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #40327	27990 TAMIAMI TRL *** SEE #8840379 *** BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
LUST	8840379	64	11.38	Higher	APEX STATION	27990 TAMIAMI TRL *** USE #8518113 *** BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339234224
STCERC	8840379	64	11.38	Higher	APEX STATION	27990 TAMIAMI TRL *** USE #8518113 *** BONITA SPRINGS, FL 339234224
TANKS	8518113	64	11.38	Higher	7-ELEVEN STORE #40327	27990 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
TANKS	8840379	64	11.38	Higher	APEX STATION	27990 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
9						
TANKS	9808472	319	11.74	Higher	PUBLIX SUPER MARKET #365	3306 BONITA BCH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
TANKS	9814048	319	11.74	Higher	PUBLIX SUPER MARKET #1449	3304 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34141
10						
DRY	9801967	348	14.87	Higher	SUNSHINE DRY CLEANERS	9048 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
TANKS	9801967	348	14.87	Higher	SUNSHINE DRY CLEANERS	9048 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923
11						
DRY	9503050	390	9.99	Higher	PRESTIGE CLEANERS	3300 BONITA BCH RD #107 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134
TANKS	9503050	390	9.99	Higher	PRESTIGE CLEANERS	3300 BONITA BEACH RD #107 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134



Report Date: 9/7/2023

Report Date: 9/7/2023	TANKS Page 1 of 4					
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION 9800304 BONITA SPRINGS MITSUBISHI 28450 TRAILS EDGE BLVD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For			OWNERSHIP INFORMATION THE SEMINOLE TRIBE OF FL I 3170 HORSESHOE DR S ATTN: STORA NAPLES, FL 34104 CONTACT: CAROL BEGELMAN/2392624124 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): 26 19 23 / 81 48 25 For All Records)	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 410.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.53 Elev vs Higher Sub Prop:		
FAC STATUS: OPE TANK #: TANK VOL(G/ 1 2000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: A LEAK MONITORING: 4	ALS): INST.DATE: 01-Feb-1998 CKOP STEEL/AST CONT ABDI ABV, NO SOIL CO		TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND GAUGES/ALARMS METAL/EXTERNAL PROTECTIVE COATING/SUCTION NAL PIPING MONITORING/VISUAL INSPECTION OF			
TANK #: TANK VOL(G/ 2 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING: LEAK MONITORING:		TANK CONTENTS: Waste Oil	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) DELETED 24-Apr-2001		
TANK #: TANK VOL(G/ 3 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING:	ALS): INST.DATE: 01-Jun-1998	TANK CONTENTS: New/Lube Oil	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) DELETED 24-Apr-2001		
TANK #: TANK VOL(G/A) 4 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING:	ALS): INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS: Waste Oil	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) DELETED 24-Apr-2001		
TANK #: TANK VOL(G/ 5 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING: 1000	ALS): INST.DATE: 01-Nov-1998	TANK CONTENTS: New/Lube Oil	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) DELETED 24-Apr-2001		
TANK #: TANK VOL(G/ 6 10000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: O PIPING TYPE: A LEAK MONITORING: O	01-Jul-2011 CILMNOP STEEL/DOUBLE V ABD ABV, NO SOIL CO	NTACT/STEEL/GALVANIZED	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND ILL CONTAINMENT BUCKET/FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGH METAL/EXTERNAL PROTECTIVE COATING BL WALL TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF AS			



Report Date: 9	9/7/2023		(TANI	KS)	TANKS Page 2 of 4
<mark>TANK #:</mark> 7	<u>TANK VOL(GALS):</u> 10000	INST.DATE: 01-Jul-2011	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) DELETED 16-Aug-2011
CONSTR	UCTION TYPE:				
	PIPING TYPE:				
LEAK	MONITORING:				



Report Date: 9/7/2023		(Т	TANKS Page 3 o				
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION 9803805 DEVOE PONTIAC BUICK INFINITI VOLVO INC 28450 S TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For		(-)	GMC IN RTH ATTN:	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 410.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.53 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	1 T A N K S		
FAC STATUS: OPEN TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 1 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CK PIPING TYPE: BN	INST.DATE: 01-Jun-1998 STEEL/AST CONTAINM	el user/Non-retail <u>TANK CONTENTS:</u> Waste Oil IENT ETAL/APPROVED SYNT	HETIC MATERIAL	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	<u>TANK STATUS (as of</u> REMOVED FROM SITE	_	
LEAK MONITORING: 6Q TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 2 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CK PIPING TYPE: BN	INST.DATE: 01-Jun-1998 I STEEL/AST CONTAINM STEEL/GALVANIZED M	ETAL/APPROVED SYNT	HETIC MATERIAL	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE	-	
LEAK MONITORING: 6Q TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 3 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CK PIPING TYPE: ABDN LEAK MONITORING: 6Q	INST.DATE: 01-Nov-1998 STEEL/AST CONTAINM ABV, NO SOIL CONTAC		METAL/EXTERNAL PROTEC	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of IN SERVICE 01-Aug-201 ED SYNTHETIC MATERIAL		
TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 4 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CK PIPING TYPE: ABDN LEAK MONITORING: 6Q	INST.DATE: 01-Nov-1998 STEEL/AST CONTAINM ABV, NO SOIL CONTAC	TANK CONTENTS: New/Lube Oil	METAL/EXTERNAL PROTEC	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND TIVE COATING/APPROV	TANK STATUS (as of IN SERVICE 01-Aug-201 ED SYNTHETIC MATERIAL	_	



Report Date: 9	9/7/2023
----------------	----------

(TANKS)

F۸	CII	ITV	חו	NIIME	RED	NAME		1000	
ГΑ	ᄓட	111	יטו			NANE	AND	LUCA	

9813692 BONITA SPRINGS INFINITI 28480 S TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134 OWNERSHIP INFORMATION BONITA SPRINGS INFINITI 28480 S TAMIAMI TRL ATTN: STORA BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134 CONTACT: MARK ROBINSON/8887776365 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): /

MAF	D NUN	IBER:	4
D	ist (FEET):	410.00	
	Direction:		
	Elev (Ft):	12.53	
	Elev vs Sub Prop:	Higher	

TANKS Page 4 of 4

Т

Α

Ν

K

S

FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For All Records)

FAC ST	ATUS: OPEN	FAC TYPE:	Fuel user/Non-retail		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1	550	01-Jul-2011	New/Lube Oil	ABOVEGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Jul-2011
CONSTR	UCTION TYPE: CIM	STEEL/DOUBLE	WALL/SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCKET		
	PIPING TYPE: AB	ABV, NO SOIL CO	ONTACT/STEEL/GALVANIZED METAL		
LEAK	MONITORING: FQ	MONITOR DBL W	ALL TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF ASTS		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
2	550	01-Jul-2011	Waste Oil	ABOVEGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Jul-2011
CONSTR	UCTION TYPE: CI	STEEL/DOUBLE	WALL		
	PIPING TYPE: AB	ABV, NO SOIL CO	DNTACT/STEEL/GALVANIZED METAL		
LEAK	MONITORING: FQ	MONITOR DBL W	ALL TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF ASTS		



Report Date: 9/7/2023	(L	UST)			LUST Page 1 of 2
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION	1		OWNERSHIP INFO:	MAP ID NUMBE	ER: 2 L
9400174 SPRINGS PLAZA SEWER SYSTEM 28239 S US 41 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923- FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENT	S (May Not Be Available For A	All Records)	ACCOUNT OWNER FORTY ONE CORP 41 S HIGH ST COLUMBUS, OH 43287- (614)463-4612 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE AGCY LAT/LON(DMS): 26 FAC OPERATOR: SPRING FAC TEL #:		.15 U
FAC STATUS: CLOSED FAC TYPE: C -	Fuel user/Non-retail				
SCORE SCORE EFF DT:	RANK:	SCORE	WHEN RANKED:		
	DISCHARG				Mapid: 2
	DISCHARG	E DATE: 8	/19/1993		
INSPECTION DATE: CLEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEANUP REQUIRED INFO SOURCE: D - DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 8/25/1994 NFA - NFA COMPLET CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOIL: SUR WATER: POLLUTANT : -	GR WATER: MO	ON WELL: DTHER	CLEANUP WOR	K STATUS: COMPLETED	
	CLEANUP	INFORMAT	ΓΙΟΝ		Mapid: 2
PGM ELIG OFF: - PGM ELIG SCORE: PGM ELIG SCORE E ELIG STAT: NOT ELIGIBLE ELIG STAT DT: DEDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP PROG: C - PETROLEUM CLEANUP PA CLNUP OF	APPL RCVD: COPAY AMT:	PGM ELIG R LOI: COPAY TO		LTR SNT: MT: 0	REDETERM:
SITE ASSESSMENT*	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN*		REME	EDIAL ACTION*	
CLNP RESP: RP - RESPONSIBLE PARTY FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:	CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:		CLEA FUNE ACTL	NUP RESP: -) ELLIG: - JAL COST: &S TO COMPL:	
SITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT* ACTION TYPE: NFA - NO FURTHER ACTION SUBMIT DATE: 08-25-1994 REVIEW DATE: 08-18-1994 ISSUE DATE: 08-25-1994 COMPL STATUS: A - APPROVED COMMENTS: *			CLEJ FUN ACT FREI SOIL SOIL SOIL OTH ALT	RCE REMOVAL* ANUP RESP: - D ELLIG: - UAL COMPLETION DATE: E PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N): REMOVAL? (Y/N): TONNAGE REMOVED: TREATMENT?(Y/N): ER TREATMENT?: PROC STATUS: PROC STATUS DT: PROC COMMENT:	I):



(LUST)

Report Date: 9/7/2023

LUST Page 2 of 2

TANKS Data for LUST Sites:

FACILITY ID N	FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION				PINFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER: 2	Т
9400174 SPRINGS PLAZA SEWER SYSTEM 28239 S US 41 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923			FORTY ONE COF 41 S HIGH ST COLUMBUS, OH CONTACT TEL # CONTACT: FOF FACILTY TEL #: COUNTY ID: 36	43287 6144634612 RTY ONE CORP	Dist (FEET): 400.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 13.15 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	A N K S	
FDEP INFORMA		LINE DOCUME	NTS (May Not Be Available F Fuel user/Non-retail		LEE		3
	VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS: Emerg Generator Diesel		TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Au	ıg-1993
PIPING 1	YPE: FIBERGLASS YPE: RING: NOT REQUIRED	1					



FDEP SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION SITES, FDEP ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES, FDEP CLEANUP SITES AND FDER SITES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023	(\$	STCERC)			STCERC Page 1 of 1
FACILITY NAME AND LOCATION: 7-ELEVEN STORE #34806 28175 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923-3204		AGENCY SITE LAT 260072.78935005 618738.94424832	/LON:	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 96.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.20 Av vs Sub Prop: Higher	3 T C E
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOO SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION INFO: SITE NO: ALT SITE NO: DISTRICT: SD	CUMENTS (May Not Be Availabl FDER SITES LIST IN SITE NO: LEAD UNIT: PRJ MGR: ATTY: SUP UNIT: STATUS: STATUS DATE:	NFO: C S P C R	LEANUP SITES INFO: RC DATA ID: 8944117 RC DATA PGM: STCM GM AREA: TK LNP CAT: PETRO EM STATUS: ACTIVE OMMENTS:		R C
ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES INFO: SRC FAC ID: PROGRAM: PROGRAM STATUS: OFFSITE COMTAM KEY:	ERIC ID NO: SRC FAC NAME: PROGRA SITE PHASE	M TYPE:	ITE NAME: SITE STATUS: DISCHARGE	DATE:	

(LUST)

LUST Page 1 of 9

FACILITY ID NUMBER, NA	AME AND LOCATION	1		OWNERSHIP INF	O: M	AP ID NUMBER:	3	I
8944111				ACCOUNT OWNER		Dist (FEET): 96.00 Direction:	5	
7-ELEVEN STORE #34	1806			7-ELEVEN INC. PO BOX 711 ATTN: MG	R-FL REGION	Elev (Ft): 12.20		U
28175 TAMIAMI TRL	1000			Dallas, TX 75221-711		Elev vs Higher		S
		(407)403-2995 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE		Sub Prop:		-		
BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923-3204				AGCY LAT/LON(DMS):		81,48,18		
FDEP INFORMATION PORTA	L ON LINE DOCUMENT	S (May Not Be Available)	For All Records)	FAC OPERATOR: CAT FAC TEL #: (407)247-6				
FAC STATUS: OPEN	FAC TYPE: A	- Retail Station						
SCORE 6 SCOR	RE EFF DT: 11/15/2	005 RANK : 129	937 SCORE	WHEN RANKED:	6	_		
		DISCHA	ARGE INFORM	ATION				
		DISCHA	ARGE DATE:	12/10/1988			Mapid: 3	
INSPECTION DATE:				CLEANUP	WORK STATUS	COMPLETED		
CLEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEAN	NUP REQUIRED	CLEANUP COMBINED:						
DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 6/22/202	20 LNFA - LOW SCORE	SITE INITIATIVE NO FURT	HER ACTION					
CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOIL	SUR WATER:	GR WATER: Y	MON WELL: Y	# DW WELLS CONT	MINATED: 0			
POLLUTANT : Y - Unknown/Not F	Reported	GALLONS	OTHER					
		CLEAN	NUP INFORMA	TION			Mapid: 3	
PGM ELIG OFF: PCTM5 - PETRO	DLEUM CLEANUP TEAM 5							
PGM ELIG SCORE: 6	PGM ELIG SCORE	FF DT:	PGM ELIG R					
ELIG STAT: ELIGIBLE	ELIG STAT DT:	APPL RCVD:	LOI		LIG LTR SNT:	F	REDETERM:	
DEDUCT AMT: DECLNUP PROG: E - EARLY DETEC	DEDUCT PD TO DT:	COPAY AMT: FF: PCTM5 - PETROLEU	COPAY TO		P AMT: 0			
SITE ASSESSMENT*		REMEDIAL ACTION PLA			REMEDIAL ACTI	<u>ON*</u>		
CLNP RESP: RP - RESPONSIBLE	E PARTY	CLEANUP RESP: RP	- RESPONSIBLE PA	RTY C	LEANUP RESP	: RP - RESPONSIBL	E PARTY	
FUND ELLIG: -		FUND ELLIG: -			UND ELLIG: -			
ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: 10 PAYMENT DATE: 08-17-1993)-14-1991	ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE			ACTUAL COST: (EARS TO COM			
ACTUAL COST:		PAYMENT DATE: 08-1			EARS TO COM	FL.		
		ACTUAL COST: \$58,4	456.01					
SITE REHABILITATION COMPLET	ION REPORT*			<u> </u>	SOURCE REMO	VAL*		
ACTION TYPE: -					CLEANUP RESP			
SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE:					FUND ELLIG:	- LETION DATE: 04-1	1 1000	
ISSUE DATE:						REMOVAL?(Y/N):	1-1990	
COMPL STATUS: -					SOIL REMOVAL	. ,		
COMPL STATUS DT:					SOIL TONNAGE			
COMMENTS:					SOIL TREATME OTHER TREATI			
					ALT PROC STA			
					ALT PROC STA			
					ALT PROC CON	IMENT:		

* Data current as of November 2019

Report Date: 9/7/2023



Report Date: 9/7/2023		(L	UST)			LUST Page 2 of 9
DISCHARGE INFORMATION Mapid: 3 DISCHARGE DATE: 4/11/1990						
INSPECTION DATE: CLEANUP REQUIRED COMBINI INFO SOURCE: DISCHARGE NO DISCH CLNUP STATUS: CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOU POLLUTANT :	DTIFICATION		DN WELL: Y OTHER	CLEANU # DW WELLS COM	IP WORK STATUS: NTAMINATED:	
		CLEANUP	INFORMATI	ON		Mapid: 3
PGM ELIG OFF: PGM ELIG SCORE: ELIG STAT:	PGM ELIG SCORE EFF	DT: APPL RCVD:	PGM ELIG R LOI:		ELIG LTR SNT:	REDETERM:
	DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP OFF:	COPAY AMT:	COPAY TO I	DT:	CAP AMT:	
SITE ASSESSMENT* CLNP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST: SITE REHABILITATION COMPLE ACTION TYPE:		REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN* CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG: DRDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:			REMEDIAL ACTION* CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COST: YEARS TO COMPL: SOURCE REMOVAL* CLEANUP RESP:	
SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE: ISSUE DATE: COMPL STATUS: COMPL STATUS DT: COMMENTS:					FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N): SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED: SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?: ALT PROC STATUS: ALT PROC STATUS DT: ALT PROC COMMENT:	
* Data current as of November 20	113					



Report Date: 9/7/2023		(L	UST)			LUST Page 3 of 9	
DISCHARGE INFORMATION DISCHARGE DATE: 4/20/1990 Mapid: 3							
INSPECTION DATE: CLEANUP REQUIRED COMBIN INFO SOURCE: DISCHARGE N DISCH CLNUP STATUS: CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SC POLLUTANT :			DN WELL: Y OTHER		NUP WORK STATUS: ONTAMINATED:		
		CLEANUP	INFORMAT	ION		Mapid: 3	
PGM ELIG OFF: PGM ELIG SCORE:	PGM ELIG SCORE EF	F DT:	PGM ELIG R				
ELIG STAT: DEDUCT AMT: CLNUP PROG:	ELIG STAT DT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP OF	APPL RCVD: COPAY AMT: F:	LOI: COPAY TO	DT:	ELIG LTR SNT: CAP AMT:	REDETERM:	
SITE ASSESSMENT* CLNP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:		REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN* CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE:			REMEDIAL ACTION* CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COST: YEARS TO COMPL:		
SITE REHABILITATION COMPLE ACTION TYPE: SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE: ISSUE DATE: COMPL STATUS: COMPL STATUS: COMPL STATUS DT: COMMENTS:	TION REPORT*	ACTUAL COST:			SOURCE REMOVAL* CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG: ACTUAL COMPLETION DAT FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED: SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?: ALT PROC STATUS ALT PROC STATUS DT: ALT PROC COMMENT:	?(Y/N):	
* Data current as of November 2	019				ALT PROC COMMENT:		



eport Date: 9/7/2023		(LUST)		LUST Page 4
	DISC	HARGE INFORMATIO	N	Mapid: 3
	DISC	HARGE DATE: 4/23/1	990	
SPECTION DATE:			CLEANUP WORK STATUS: COMPLET	ED
LEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEANUP REQUIRED		ED:		
NFO SOURCE: D - DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION				
	OW SCORE SITE INITIATIVE NO FU			
	R WATER: GR WATER:		W WELLS CONTAMINATED:	
OLLUTANT : B - Unleaded Gas	GALLONS 3500	OTHER		
	CLE	ANUP INFORMATION		Mapid: 3
GM ELIG OFF: PCTM5 - PETROLEUM CLEAN	JP TEAM 5			
GM ELIG SCORE: 6 PGM EL	IG SCORE EFF DT:	PGM ELIG R		
LIG STAT: INELIGIBLE ELIG STAT	APPL RCVD:	LOI:	ELIG LTR SNT:	REDETERM:
EDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO	DDT: COPAY AMT:	COPAY TO DT:	CAP AMT: 400000	
LNUP PROG: P - PETROLEUM LIABILITY AN	CLNUP OFF: PCTM5 - PETROL	EUM CLEANUP TEAM 5		
ITE ASSESSMENT*	REMEDIAL ACTION I	PLAN*	REMEDIAL ACTION*	
LNP RESP: -	CLEANUP RESP:	-	CLEANUP RESP: -	
UND ELLIG: -	FUND ELLIG: -		FUND ELLIG: -	
CTUAL COMPLETION DATE:	ORDER APPRV DAT		ACTUAL COST:	
AYMENT DATE:	ACTUAL COMPL DA	JTE:	YEARS TO COMPL:	
CTUAL COST:	PAYMENT DATE:			
ITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT*	ACTUAL COST:		SOURCE REMOVAL*	
CTION TYPE: -				
UBMIT DATE:			CLEANUP RESP: RP - RES FUND ELLIG: -	SPONSIBLE PARTY
EVIEW DATE:			ACTUAL COMPLETION DAT	·F·
SSUE DATE:			FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL	
OMPL STATUS: -			SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): Y	
OMPL STATUS DT:			SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED:	
OMMENTS:			SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N):	/
			OTHER TREATMENT?:	
			ALT PROC STATUS:	
			ALT PROC STATUS DT:	
Data current as of November 2019			ALT PROC COMMENT:	



Report Date: 9/7/2023	(L	UST)		LUST Page 5 o
	DISCHARG	E INFORMATIO	N	Mapid: 3
	DISCHARG	E DATE: 6/18/1	990	
NSPECTION DATE:			CLEANUP WORK STATUS: COMPLETED	
LEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEANUP REQUIRED	CLEANUP COMBINED:			
NFO SOURCE: D - DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION				
DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 6/22/2020 LNFA - LOW	SCORE SITE INITIATIVE NO FURTHER	ACTION		
CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOIL: SUR WA	ATER: GR WATER: MO	N WELL: # D	W WELLS CONTAMINATED:	
OLLUTANT : B - Unleaded Gas	GALLONS	THER		
	CLEANUP	INFORMATION		Mapid: 3
PGM ELIG OFF: PCTM5 - PETROLEUM CLEANUP T	EAM 5			
PGM ELIG SCORE: 6 PGM ELIG S	CORE EFF DT:	PGM ELIG R		
LIG STAT: INELIGIBLE ELIG STAT DT:	APPL RCVD:	LOI:	ELIG LTR SNT:	REDETERM:
EDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO DT		COPAY TO DT:	CAP AMT: 400000	
CLNUP PROG: P - PETROLEUM LIABILITY AN	LNUP OFF: PCTM5 - PETROLEUM CL			
ITE ASSESSMENT*	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN*		REMEDIAL ACTION*	
LNP RESP: -	CLEANUP RESP: -		CLEANUP RESP: -	
UND ELLIG: -	FUND ELLIG: -		FUND ELLIG: -	
CTUAL COMPLETION DATE:	ORDER APPRV DATE:		ACTUAL COST:	
PAYMENT DATE:	ACTUAL COMPL DATE:		YEARS TO COMPL:	
ACTUAL COST:	PAYMENT DATE:			
ITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT*	ACTUAL COST:		SOURCE REMOVAL*	
CTION TYPE: -			CLEANUP RESP: -	
REVIEW DATE:			FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE:	
SSUE DATE:			FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N)	
COMPL STATUS: -			SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N):	
OMPL STATUS DT:			SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED:	
OMMENTS:			SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N):	
			OTHER TREATMENT?:	
			ALT PROC STATUS:	
			ALT PROC STATUS DT:	
Data current as of November 2019			ALT PROC COMMENT:	



Report Date: 9/7/2023	(LUST)		LUST Page 6 of 9
		RGE INFORMATION	5	Mapid: 3
INSPECTION DATE: CLEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEANUP REQUIRED	CLEANUP COMBINED:	4/1/200	CLEANUP WORK STATUS: COMPLETED	
INFO SOURCE: D - DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 6/22/2020 LNFA - LOW SCORE : CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOIL: Y SUR WATER: N POLLUTANT : B - Unleaded Gas	SITE INITIATIVE NO FURTHE N GR WATER: Y I GALLONS		VELLS CONTAMINATED: REMOVAL	
	CLEANU	IP INFORMATION		Mapid: 3
PGM ELIG OFF: PCSD - SD CLEANUP & COMPLIANCE ASSI PGM ELIG SCORE: 6 PGM ELIG SCORE E ELIG STAT: NOT ELIGIBLE ELIG STAT DT:	FF DT: APPL RCVD:	PGM ELIG R LOI:	ELIG LTR SNT:	REDETERM:
DEDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP PROG: S-SITE REHABILITATION FUN CLNUP O	COPAY AMT: FF: PCSD - SD CLEANUP &	COPAY TO DT: & COMPLIANCE ASSURANC	CAP AMT: 0 E	
SITE ASSESSMENT* CLNP RESP: RP - RESPONSIBLE PARTY FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST: SITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT*	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:	<u>*</u>	REMEDIAL ACTION* CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COST: YEARS TO COMPL: SOURCE REMOVAL*	
ACTION TYPE: - SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE: ISSUE DATE: COMPL STATUS: - COMPL STATUS DT: COMMENTS:			CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N): SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED: SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?: ALT PROC STATUS:	
* Data current as of November 2019			ALT PROC STATUS DT: ALT PROC COMMENT:	



eport Date: 9/7/2023	(LUS	51)		LUST Page 7 of
	DISCHARGE IN DISCHARGE DA			Mapid: 3
NSPECTION DATE:			CLEANUP WORK STATUS: ACTIVE	
ILEANUP REQUIRED R - CLEANUP REQUIRED	CLEANUP COMBINED:			
ISCH CLNUP STATUS: SA - SA ONGOING				
ONTAMINATED MEDIA?: SOIL: Y SUR WATER: OLLUTANT : D - Vehicular Diesel	GR WATER: MON WE		LLS CONTAMINATED:	
				Mapid: 3
	CLEANUP INF	ORMATION		Mapid. 5
GM ELIG OFF:				
GM ELIG SCORE: PGM ELIG SCOR		ELIG R		
LIG STAT: ELIG STAT DT:	APPL RCVD:		ELIG LTR SNT:	REDETERM:
EDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO DT:		OPAY TO DT:	CAP AMT:	REDETERM.
	OFF: PCSWD - SWD CLEANUP & CO			
ITE ASSESSMENT*	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN*		REMEDIAL ACTION*	
LNP RESP: UND ELLIG:	CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG:		CLEANUP RESP: FUND ELLIG:	
CTUAL COMPLETION DATE:	ORDER APPRV DATE:		ACTUAL COST:	
AYMENT DATE:	ACTUAL COMPL DATE:		YEARS TO COMPL:	
CTUAL COST:	PAYMENT DATE:			
	ACTUAL COST:			
ITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT*			SOURCE REMOVAL*	
CTION TYPE:			CLEANUP RESP:	
UBMIT DATE:			FUND ELLIG:	
EVIEW DATE:			ACTUAL COMPLETION D	
SSUE DATE:			FREE PRODUCT REMOVA	AL?(Y/N):
OMPL STATUS: OMPL STATUS DT:			SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N):	
OMMENTS:			SOIL TONNAGE REMOVE	
ommervo.			SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?:	
			ALT PROC STATUS:	
			ALT PROC STATUS DT:	
			ALT PROC COMMENT:	
Data current as of November 2019				



(LUST)

Report Date: 9/7/2023

LUST Page 8 of 9

TANKS Data for LUST Sites:

FACILIT	Y ID NUMBER, NAM	IE AND LOCATIO	N	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER: 3
8944111 7-ELEVEN STORE #34806 28175 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923		7-ELEVEN INC. PO BOX 711 ATTN: MGR-FL REGION Dallas, TX 75221 CONTACT TEL #: 4074032995 CONTACT: 7-ELEVEN INC. FACILTY TEL #: 4072476750 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE	Dist (FEET): 96.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.20 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher		
			ITS (May Not Be Available F	or All Records)	L
FAC STA	ATUS: OPEN	FAC TYPE:	Retail Station	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1	10000	01-Apr-1987	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Apr-200
F	PIPING TYPE:		/SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCK OR/AUTOMATIC TANK GAUG		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
2	10000	01-Apr-1987	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Apr-200
LEAK N			OR/AUTOMATIC TANK GAUG		
ANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS): 10000	INST.DATE: 01-Apr-1987	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Apr-200
LEAK N <u>TANK #:</u>	IONITORING: MECHANICA	AL LINE LEAK DETECT	OR/AUTOMATIC TANK GAUG	ING-USTS 	TANK STATUS (as of)
4	10000	01-Apr-1987	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Apr-200
F	PIPING TYPE:		SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCK		
FANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
5	15000	01-Apr-2005	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Apr-2005
F	PIPING TYPE: DOUBLE WA	ALL/PRESSURIZED PIF JS ELECTRONIC SENS	NING SYSTEM/DISPENSER LII	OW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL GAUGES/ALAF NERS/APPROVED SYNTHETIC MATERIAL UMPS/ELECTRONIC MONITOR PIPE SUMPS/ELE LEAK DETECTOR/MONITOR DBL WALL PIPE SPA	CTRONIC MONITOR DISPENSER
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
6	15000	01-Apr-2005	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Apr-2005
F	PIPING TYPE: DOUBLE WA	ALL/PRESSURIZED PIF JS ELECTRONIC SENS	NING SYSTEM/DISPENSER LI	OW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL GAUGES/ALAF NERS/APPROVED SYNTHETIC MATERIAL UMPS/ELECTRONIC MONITOR PIPE SUMPS/ELE LEAK DETECTOR/MONITOR DBL WALL PIPE SPA	CTRONIC MONITOR DISPENSER
	DIVI		For further information	nvironmental Data Management, Inc. please contact us at 727-586-1700 horization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each rep	

Report Date: 9/7	7/2023		(LUS	ST)	LUST Page 9 of 9
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
7	20000	01-Apr-2005	Vehicular Diesel	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Apr-2005
CONSTRU	CTION TYPE: FIBERGLAS	S/DOUBLE WALL/COM	PARTMENTED/SPILL CONTAINMENT	BUCKET/FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL G	AUGES/ALARMS
F	PIPING TYPE: DOUBLE W	ALL/PRESSURIZED PIP	ING SYSTEM/DISPENSER LINERS/A	PPROVED SYNTHETIC MATERIAL	
LEAK M				ELECTRONIC MONITOR PIPE SUMPS/ELECTRON ETECTOR/MONITOR DBL WALL PIPE SPACE/AU	

FDEP INSTITUTIONAL/ENGINEERING CONTROLS REGISTRY

2032 ICR CONTROL #: 2032 Dist (FEET): 96.00 O 7-ELEVEN STORE #34806 PRIMARY FAC ID: STCM-8944111 Direction: 28175 S Tamiami Trl STELAT/LON: 26328000 81805070 Elev (Ft): 12.20 Bonita Springs, FL 34134 STELAT/LON: 26328000 81805070 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher COMMENTS: PARCEL ID, BOOK, PG: / COMMENTS FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For All Records) BOUNDARY KEY: 2061 DESCRIPTION: LSSI NFA Boundary CONTROL MECHANISM: LSSI NFA Orders /LSSI NFA Order PRGM AREA: / IC RECORDED: IC AMENDED: 2/8/2023 CONTAM MEDIA: Soil CONTAMMIT: Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(a)pyrene Equivalents	Report Date: 9/7/2023	(INSTENG)	INSTENG Page 1 of
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For All Records) BOUINDARY KEY: 2061 DESCRIPTION: LSSI NFA Boundary CONTROL MECHANISM: LSSI NFA Orders PRGM AREA: / IC RECORDED: 6/22/2020 IC EFFECTIVE: 6/22/2020 IC REMOVED: IC AMENDED: 2/8/2023 CONTAMINEDIA: Soil CONTAMINT: INST CONTROL RESTRICTION: LSSI	2032 7-ELEVEN STORE #34806 28175 S Tamiami Trl	PRIMARY FAC ID: STCM-8944111 PRIMARY SITE ID #: ERIC_18294 SITE LAT/LON: 26328000 81805070 COUNTY: LEE	Dist (FEET): 96.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.20
CONTROL MECHANISM: LSSI NFA Orders /LSSI NFA Order PRGM AREA: / IC RECORDED: 6/22/2020 IC EFFECTIVE: 6/22/2020 IC REMOVED: IC REMOVED: IC AMENDED: 2/8/2023 CONTAM MEDIA: Soil CONTAMNT: Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo(a)pyrene Equivalents INST CONTROL RESTRICTION: LSSI	FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Avail BOUNDARY KEY: 2061	able For All Records)	
	CONTROL MECHANISM: LSSI NFA Orders /LSSI NFA Order PRGM AREA: / IC RECORDED: 6/22/2020 IC REFECTIVE: 6/22/2020 IC REM	IC AMENDED: 2/8/2023	nts
	INST CONTROL RESTRICTION: LSSI ENG CONTROL TYPE: None		

Report Date: 9/7/2023		(T	ANKS)		TANKS Page 1 of 1
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAM 9602086 BONITA SPRINGS CEN 28160 BEAUMONT RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 3	TRAL OFF		OWNERSHIP INFORMATION EMBARQ FLORIDA INC (DBA CE 555 LAKE BORDER FLAPKA0106 ATTN Apopka, FL 32703 CONTACT: DAVID HUNT/8132027055 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): 26 19 41 / 81 48 28 or All Records)	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 463.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.06 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	4 A N K S
FAC STATUS: OPEN TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 1 1500 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: I PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING: I	FAC TYPE: INST.DATE: 01-Jun-1986 DOUBLE WALL NOT REQUIRED	Fuel user/Non-retail TANK CONTENTS: Emerg Generator Diesel	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of CLOSED IN PLACE 0	
TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 2 1000 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CIMOP PIPING TYPE: ABDI LEAK MONITORING: 16FQ	ABV, NO SOIL CON	ITACT/STEEL/GALVANIZED N	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND JCKET/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL GAUGES/ALARMS METAL/EXTERNAL PROTECTIVE COATING/SUCT IAL PIPING MONITORING/MONITOR DBL WALL T		E 01-Mar-2012
TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 3 1200 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: CIMNOP PIPING TYPE: ABDI LEAK MONITORING: 6FQ	ABV, NO SOIL CON	ITACT/STEEL/GALVANIZED N	TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND JCKET/FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL GAU /IETAL/EXTERNAL PROTECTIVE COATING/SUCT L WALL TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF A	ION PIPING SYSTEM	



FDEP SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION SITES, FDEP ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES, FDEP CLEANUP SITES AND FDER SITES LIST

FACILITY NAME AND LOCATION:		-	MAP ID NUMBER:	5
SPRINGS PLAZA SHOPPING CENT 8951 BONITA BEACH RD SUITE 21 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923		AGENCY SITE LAT/LON: 260307.99465007 618758.99764832	Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOC	UMENTS (May Not Be Availabl	le For All Records)		
SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION INFO: SITE NO: ALT SITE NO: DISTRICT: SD	FDER SITES LIST IN SITE NO: LEAD UNIT: PRJ MGR: ATTY: SUP UNIT: STATUS: STATUS DATE:	NFO: CLEANUP SI SRC DATA II SRC DATA P PGM AREA: CLNP CAT: REM STATUS COMMENTS:): GM: S:	
ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES INFO:	ERIC ID NO: ERIC_1122	SITE NAME:	SHOPPING	
PROGRAM: Responsible Party Cleanup PROGRAM STATUS: COMPLETEWITH		MTYPE: RESPONSPARTY DESCR: Phase 5 - Cleanup Con	CENTER (SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS) ATUS: CLOSED DISCHARGE DATE: mplete	
PROGRAM: Responsible Party Cleanup PROGRAM STATUS: COMPLETEWITH OFFSITE COMTAM KEY: NOCONTAM	PROGRA	M TYPE: RESPONSPARTY	(SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS) ATUS: CLOSED DISCHARGE DATE: mplete	
PROGRAM: Responsible Party Cleanup PROGRAM STATUS: COMPLETEWITH	PROGRA	MTYPE: RESPONSPARTY DESCR: Phase 5 - Cleanup Con	(SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS) ATUS: CLOSED DISCHARGE DATE:	5
PROGRAM: Responsible Party Cleanup PROGRAM STATUS: COMPLETEWITH DFFSITE COMTAM KEY: NOCONTAM FACILITY NAME AND LOCATION: Spring Fresh Cleaners Inc 8951 Bonita Beach Rd SE	PROGRA ICOND SITE PHASE	AGENCY SITE LAT/LON: 260323.84035007 618862.10824833	(SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS) ATUS: CLOSED DISCHARGE DATE: mplete MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23	5

EDM

FDEP SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION SITES, FDEP ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES, FDEP CLEANUP SITES AND FDER SITES LIST

(STCERC)

STCERC Page 2 of 2

ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES INFO:	ERIC ID NO: ER	IC_4849	SITE NAM	E: Spring Fresh
				Cleaners Inc
SRC FAC ID: 9502316	SRC FAC NAME:		I DRY SITE	STATUS: ONHOLD
		CLEANERS		
PROGRAM: Drycleaning Solvent Cleanu	p Program P	ROGRAM TYPE:	DRYCLEANING	DISCHARGE DATE:
PROGRAM STATUS: AWAITFUND	SITE	PHASE DESCR:	Phase 1 - Initial Ass	sessment
OFFSITE COMTAM KEY: CONTAMUN	KNOWN	ICR ?:	N	



Report Date: 9/7/2023

(TANKS)

TANKS Page 1 of 1

9502316 SPRING FRESH DRY CLE 8951 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33		SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS 8951 BONITA BEACH RD #210 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923- CONTACT: MARION GETTA/9419927411 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): /	Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23 Elev vs Sub Prop:
FAC STATUS: CLOSED	FAC TYPE: DRYCLEANER		TANK STATUS (as of)

LEAK MONITORING:

Report Date: 9/7/2023



FDEP VOLUNTARY CLEANUP SITES

(VOLCLNUP)

Report Date: 9/7/2023	,	,		VOLCLNUP Page 1 of 1
8951 BONITA BEACH RI BONITA SPRINGS, FL 3	HISTORICAL ENTRY PING CENTER (SPRING FRESH DRY D SUITE 21D	DISTRICT: AGENCY LAT: AGENCY LON:	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	5 V 0 L C L N U P
BSRA DATA				
AREA ID:	AREA NAME:			
ACREAGE: COMMENTS:	REMED STATUS:	BSRA DATE:	SRCO DATE:	
WASTE CLEANUP DATA PROJ ID: 191337 O CONTAMINANTS: dryclean OFFSITE CONTAM?: N	DGC NO: STATUS: CLOS ing solvents FEATURE:	SED PRIORITY SCORE:	INIT DATA RCVD: 12/2/1997	
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AN	ND LOCATION:		MAP ID NUMBER:	
8951 BONITA BEACH RI BONITA SPRINGS, FL 3		AGENCY LON:	Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	5 CL CL N U
	-			P
ERIC WASTE CLEANUP DA SOURCE FAC ID NO: 6301		Fresh Dry Cleaners	SITE STATUS:	
PROGRAM: Responsible Pa			NAGER: F Nemec	GLOGED
DISCH DATE:	OFFSITE CONTAM KEY?: NOCONTAM	INST CONTROL?: N	SITE PHASE: Phase 5 - Cleanup Con	nplete
BSRA DATA				
AREA ID:	AREA NAME:			
ACREAGE:	REMED STATUS:	BSRA DATE:	SRCO DATE:	
COMMENTS:				
WASTE CLEANUP DATA PROJ ID: 0 CONTAMINANTS: OFFSITE CONTAM?:	DGC NO: STATUS:	PRIORITY SCORE:	INIT DATA RCVD:	



FDEP DRY CLEANING FACILITIES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023				(DRY)			DRY Page	je 1 of 1
FACILITY ID NUMBER, N	AME AND LOCATION						MAP ID NUMBER:	5	П
9502316 SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS 8951 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923			Facility Telephone (941)992-7411 Fac Status CLOSED Facility Type 1 - Drycleaner PRIORITY RANKING: Rank: 28 Score: 79		Dist (FEET): 478.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 12.23 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	5	R Y		
FDEP INFORMATION PORT	AL ON LINE DOCUMENTS	(May Not Be	Available	For All Reco	rds)				
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	PROPERTY OWNER DEVELOPERS DIVERSIF			Start Date			ANNA SCHUKERT (216)247-1764 _S, OH 44022		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	ACCOUNT OWNER SPRING FRESH DRY CLE	RP ID 42 EANERS 8		Start Date		RP Contact: BONITA SPRING	MARION GETTA (941)992-7411 S, FL 33923		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	SPRING FRESH DRY CLE	RP ID 42 EANERS 8		Start Date			MARION GETTA (941)992-7411 SS, FL 33923		
DRY CLEANER CLEANUI Facility Name: Spring Fresh Address: 8951 Bonita Beach City: Bonita Springs County: LEE District: SD Agcy Lat/Lon: 260323.8456 On Line Documents: https://	Cleaners Inc Rd SE 56933/618862.110586311			Program: Manager: Status:	NHOLD	NING			



FDEP DRY CLEANING FACILITIES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023			(DRY)			DRY Page 1
FACILITY ID NUMBER, N	AME AND LOCATION				MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 144.00	6
9811287			Facility Telephone (2 Fac Status OPEN	239)910-2382	Direction:	Ĭ
MARTINIZING DRY CL	EANING		Facility Type 1 - Dryc	eaner	Elev (Ft): 12.16	
3525 BONITA BEACH			PRIORITY RANKING:	Rank:	Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	
BONITA SPRINGS, FL	. 34134			Score:		_
FDEP INFORMATION PORT	AL ON LINE DOCUMENTS	(May Not Be Availab	le For All Records)			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	ACCOUNT OWNER	RP ID 45183	Start Date 4/3/2009	RP Contact:	BREEHNE, PAUL (239) 597-1330	
	BREEHNE CORP 883 V	ANDERBILT BEAC	HRD NAPLES, FL 34134	1		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	FACILITY OWNER	RP ID 46066	Start Date 4/3/2009	RP Contact:	PAUL BREEHNE (239)597-1330	
	PAUL BREEHNE CORP	883 VANDERBILT	RD NAPLES, FL 34108			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	TANK OPERATOR	RP ID 46066	Start Date 4/3/2009	RP Contact:	PAUL BREEHNE (239) 597-1330	
	PAUL BREEHNE CORP	883 VANDERBILT	RD NAPLES, FL 34134			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	PROPERTY OWNER	RP ID 64597	Start Date 4/3/2009	RP Contact:	PAUL BREEHNE (239) 597-1330	
	BREEHNE FAMILY LLC	883 VANDERBILT	BEACH RD NAPLES, FL	34134		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	TANK OPERATOR	RP ID 77867	Start Date 1/15/2019	RP Contact:	SARA STENSRUD (239)910-2382	
	STENSRUD, SARA 1573	30 CARBERRY CT	FORT MYERS, FL 33912			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	ACCOUNT OWNER	RP ID 77867	Start Date 1/15/2019	RP Contact:	SARA STENSRUD (239)910-2382	
	STENSRUD, SARA 1573	30 CARBERRY CT	FORT MYERS, FL 33912			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	TANK OWNER	RP ID 77867	Start Date 1/15/2019	RP Contact:	SARA STENSRUD (239)910-2382	
	STENSRUD, SARA 1573	30 CARBERRY CT	FORT MYERS, FL 33912			
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	PROPERTY OWNER	RP ID 77869	Start Date 1/15/2019	RP Contact:	DAWN BREEHNE (239)290-6707	
	SUNSHINE ENTERPRISE	S OF NAPLES INC	C 4606 ABACA CIR NAP	LES, FL 34119		
DRY CLEANER CLEANU	P PROGRAM DATA:					
Facility Name:			ERIC ID: Program:			
Address: City:			Program: Manager:			
County:			Status:			
District:						
Agcy Lat/Lon: /						



FDEP SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION SITES, FDEP ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES, FDEP CLEANUP SITES AND FDER SITES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023	(STCERC)			STCERC Page 1 of 1
FACILITY NAME AND LOCATION: BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP 9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923-4213 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DO SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION INFO: SITE NO: ALT SITE NO: DISTRICT:	CUMENTS (May Not Be Availa FDER SITES LIST SITE NO: LEAD UNIT: PRJ MGR: ATTY: SUP UNIT: STATUS:	INFO: CLE SRC SRC PGM CLN REM	ON:	AP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 80.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 13.12 vs Sub Prop: Higher	7 S T C E R C
ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES INFO: SRC FAC ID: PROGRAM: PROGRAM STATUS: OFFSITE COMTAM KEY:	STATUS DATE: ERIC ID NO: SRC FAC NAME: PROGR SITE PHAS	AM TYPE:	E NAME: SITE STATUS: DISCHARGE I	DATE:	



Report Date: 9/7/2023	LUST Page 1 of 3
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION	OWNERSHIP INFO: MAP ID NUMBER: 7
8520618 BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP 9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923-4213 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available For FAC STATUS: CLOSED FAC TYPE: A - Retail Station	ACCOUNT OWNER OLEUM CORP PO BOX 413038 Elev (Ft): 13.12 NAPLES, FL 33941-3038 (813)262-8333 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE AGCY LAT/LON(DMS): 26,19,48.3326 81,48,9.1978 FAC OPERATOR: OLEUM CORP FAC TEL #: (813)992-4941
SCORE 10 SCORE EFF DT : 6/17/2013 RANK : 12568	3 SCORE WHEN RANKED: 7
DISCHAR	GE INFORMATION Mapid: 7
DISCHAR	GE DATE: 11/17/1994
POLLUTANT : - GALLONS	CLEANUP WORK STATUS: ACTIVE
PGM ELIG OFF: PCLP58 - SARASOTA CNTY AIR QUALITY/STORAGE TANK MGMT	
PGM ELIG SCORE 10 PGM ELIG SCORE EFF DT: ELIG STAT: ELIG IBLE ELIG STAT DT: APPL RCVD: DEDUCT AMT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: COPAY AMT: CLNUP PROG: P-PETROLEUM LIABILITY AN CLNUP OFF: PCLP58-SARASOTA C	PGM ELIG R ELIG LTR SNT: REDETERM: COPAY TO DT: CAP AMT: 400000 NTY AIR QUALITY/STORAGE TANK MGMT VICENTIAL CONTINUES CONT
SITE ASSESSMENT* REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN* CLNP RESP: RP - RESPONSIBLE PARTY CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: ORDER APPRV DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: ACTUAL COST: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:	REMEDIAL ACTION* CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COST: YEARS TO COMPL:
SITE REHABILITATION COMPLETION REPORT*	SOURCE REMOVAL*
ACTION TYPE: - SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE: ISSUE DATE: COMPL STATUS: - COMPL STATUS DT: COMMENTS: * Data current as of November 2019	CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N): SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED: SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?(Y/N): ALT PROC STATUS: ALT PROC STATUS DT: ALT PROC COMMENT:



(LUST)

LUST Page 2 of 3

TANKS Data for LUST Sites:

FACILIT	Y ID NUMBER, NAM	IE AND LOCATIO	DN	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER: 7
8520618 BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP 9021 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available F			NTS (May Not Be Available	OLEUM CORP PO BOX 413038 NAPLES, FL 33941 CONTACT TEL #: 8132628333 CONTACT: OLEUM CORP FACILTY TEL #: 8139924941 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE For All Records)	Dist (FEET): 80.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 13.12 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher
FAC STA	ATUS: CLOSED	FAC TYPE:	Retail Station		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1	10152	01-Jul-1976	Leaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 30-Nov-198
F	CTION TYPE: BALL CHECH PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: UNKNOWN	< VALVE/STEEL			
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1R1	10000	01-Nov-1988	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Sep-199
-	PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: MANUALLY S	SAMPLED WELLS			
TANK #: 2	TANK VOL(GALS): 12000	INST.DATE: 01-Jul-1980	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 30-Nov-198
F	CTION TYPE: BALL CHECH PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: UNKNOWN	VALVE/STEEL			
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
2R1	10000	01-Nov-1988	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Sep-199
F	CTION TYPE: FIBERGLASS PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: MANUALLY S		CHECK VALVE		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
3	10152	01-Jul-1976	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 30-Nov-198
F	CTION TYPE: BALL CHECH PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: UNKNOWN	< VALVE/STEEL			
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
3R1	10000	01-Nov-1988	Leaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Sep-199
	CTION TYPE: FIBERGLASS	S-CLAD STEEL/BALL (CHECK VALVE		
	PIPING TYPE: IONITORING: MANUALLY S	SAMPLED WELLS			

For further information please contact us at 727-586-1700



Report Date: 9/7/2023

35

teport Date: 9/	/7/2023		(LUST)	LUST Page 3 of			
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)			
4	10152	01-Jul-1976	Vehicular Diesel	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 30-Nov-1988			
CONSTRU	CONSTRUCTION TYPE: BALL CHECK VALVE/STEEL							
1	PIPING TYPE:							
LEAK	MONITORING: UNKNOWN							
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)			
4R1	10000	01-Nov-1988	Vehicular Diesel	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Sep-1994			
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: FIBERGLASS-CLAD STEEL/BALL CHECK VALVE								
1	PIPING TYPE:							
LEAK	MONITORING: MANUALLY	SAMPLED WELLS						



FDEP SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION SITES, FDEP ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES, FDEP CLEANUP SITES AND FDER SITES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023	(STCERC)		STCERC Page 1 of 1
FACILITY NAME AND LOCATION: APEX STATION 27990 TAMIAMI TRL *** USE #8518 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923-4224		AGENCY SITE LAT/LON: 260473.99345008 618591.9967483	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 64.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 11.38 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	8 S T C E
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOO SITE INVESTIGATION SECTION INFO: SITE NO: ALT SITE NO: DISTRICT: SD	CUMENTS (May Not Be Available FDER SITES LIST I SITE NO: LEAD UNIT: PRJ MGR: ATTY: SUP UNIT: STATUS: STATUS DATE:	NFO: SRC DA SRC DA PGM AF CLNP C	TA PGM: STCM REA: TK AT: PETRO TATUS: PENDING	R C
ERIC WASTE CLEANUP SITES INFO: SRC FAC ID: PROGRAM: PROGRAM STATUS: OFFSITE COMTAM KEY:	ERIC ID NO: SRC FAC NAME: PROGR. SITE PHASE	AM TYPE:	ME: E STATUS: DISCHARGE DATE:	



Report Date: 9/7/2023			(LUST)			LUST Page 1 of 6
FACILITY ID NUMBER	, NAME AND LOCATIO)N		OWNERSHIP INFO:	MAP ID NUMBER	
8518113 7-ELEVEN STORE ; 27990 TAMIAMI TRI	#40327 L *** SEE #8840379	***		ACCOUNT OWNER 7-ELEVEN INC. PO BOX 711 ATTN: MGR-FL RE Dallas, TX 75221-711 (407)403-2995	Direction:	U
BONITA SPRINGS,	FL 34134-			COUNTY ID: 36 LEE		т.
FDEP INFORMATION POP	RTAL ON LINE DOCUMEN	ITS (May Not Be Available F	For All Records)	AGCY LAT/LON(DMS): 26,19, FAC OPERATOR: CATHY WISI FAC TEL #: (407)247-6750		
FAC STATUS: OPEN	FAC TYPE: A	- Retail Station				
SCORE 6 SC	CORE EFF DT: 12/4/2	008 RANK:	SCOR	WHEN RANKED:		
		DISCHA		IATION		
		DISCHA	RGE DATE:	2/10/1995		Mapid: 8
CLEANUP REQUIRED R - CL INFO SOURCE: D - DISCHAR DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 10/5 CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: 5 POLLUTANT: Z - OTHER NO	RGE NOTIFICATION 9/2000 DNR - DISCHARGE SOIL: N SUR WATER:	GALLONS	MON WELL: Y OTHER UNKI		_	Mapid: <mark>8</mark>
PGM ELIG OFF: PCSD - Sout	th District					
PGM ELIG SCORE:	PGM ELIG SCORE	EFF DT:	PGM ELIG R			
ELIG STAT: DEDUCT AMT: CLNUP PROG:	ELIG STAT DT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP	APPL RCVD: COPAY AMT: OFF:	LC COPAY 1		SNT:	REDETERM:
SITE ASSESSMENT*		REMEDIAL ACTION PLA	<u>N*</u>	REMEDIA	L ACTION*	
CLNP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:		CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:		CLEANU FUND EL ACTUAL YEARS T	LIG: -	
SITE REHABILITATION COMP	PLETION REPORT*			SOURCE	REMOVAL*	
ACTION TYPE: - SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE: ISSUE DATE: COMPL STATUS: - COMPL STATUS DT: COMMENTS:				FUND EI ACTUAL FREE PF SOIL RE SOIL TO SOIL TR OTHER ALT PRO	P RESP: - LIG: - COMPLETION DATE: RODUCT REMOVAL? (Y/N): MOVAL? (Y/N): NNAGE REMOVED: EATMENT?(Y/N): IREATMENT?: DC STATUS: DC STATUS DT:	
* Data current as of November	r 2019				DC COMMENT:	



Report Date: 9/7/2023		(LUST)		LUST Page 2 of 6
		DISCHAR	GE INFORMATION		
		DISCHAR	GE DATE: 5/6/2003	3	Mapid: 8
INSPECTION DATE: CLEANUP REQUIRED R INFO SOURCE: D - DISC		CLEANUP COMBINED:		CLEANUP WORK STATUS: COMPLETED	
DISCH CLNUP STATUS: CONTAMINATED MEDIA? POLLUTANT : H - GENE	SOIL: SUR WATER:		ION WELL: # DW \ OTHER	WELLS CONTAMINATED:	
		CLEANU	P INFORMATION		Mapid: 8
PGM ELIG OFF:					
PGM ELIG SCORE: ELIG STAT: DEDUCT AMT: CLNUP PROG:	PGM ELIG SCORE ELIG STAT DT: DEDUCT PD TO DT: CLNUP	APPL RCVD: COPAY AMT:	PGM ELIG R LOI: COPAY TO DT:	ELIG LTR SNT: CAP AMT:	REDETERM:
SITE ASSESSMENT*		REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN*		REMEDIAL ACTION*	
CLNP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION D/ PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:	ATE:	CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ORDER APPRV DATE: ACTUAL COMPL DATE: PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:		CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COST: YEARS TO COMPL: 0	
SITE REHABILITATION CC ACTION TYPE: SRCR - S SUBMIT DATE: 03-19-201 REVIEW DATE: 04-17-201 ISSUE DATE: 04-17-201 COMPL STATUS: A - APF COMPL STATUS DT: 04- COMMENTS:	TITE REHABILITATION COMPLETI 15 115 5 PROVED			SOURCE REMOVAL* CLEANUP RESP: - FUND ELLIG: - ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE: FREE PRODUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N): SOIL REMOVAL? (Y/N): SOIL TONNAGE REMOVED: SOIL TREATMENT?(Y/N): OTHER TREATMENT?: ALT PROC STATUS:):
				ALT PROC STATUS DT:	

* Data current as of November 2019

EDM



(LUST)

Report Date: 9/7/2023

LUST Page 3 of 6

TANKS Data for LUST Sites:

FACILIT	LITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION			MAP ID NUMBER: 8		
85181	13			7-ELEVEN INC.	Dist (FEET): 64.00	
7-ELE	VEN STORE #403	327		PO BOX 711 ATTN: MGR-FL REGION Dallas, TX 75221	Elov (Et): 11.29	
				CONTACT TEL #: 4074032995	Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher	
	TA SPRINGS, FL 3	2/12/		CONTACT: 7-ELEVEN INC.	K	
DON		54154		FACILTY TEL #: 4072476750 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE	S	
FDEP IN	FORMATION PORTAL	ON LINE DOCUMEN	ITS (May Not Be Available			
FAC ST	ATUS: OPEN	FAC TYPE:	Retail Station			
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
1	10000	01-Dec-1975	Leaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: STEEL					
	PIPING TYPE:					
LEAK I	MONITORING: NOT REQU	IRED				
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
10	20000	01-Jan-2008	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Jan-2008	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: FIBERGLAS	SS/DOUBLE WALL/SPIL	L CONTAINMENT BUCKET/F	FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL GAUGES/ALA	RMS	
	PIPING TYPE: FIBERGLAS	SS/DOUBLE WALL/PRE	SSURIZED PIPING SYSTEM	/DISPENSER LINERS		
LEAK		IIC MONITOR PIPE SUN XAUTOMATIC TANK GA		R DISPENSER LINERS/MONITOR DBL WALL TANK	SPACE/MECHANICAL LINE LEAK	
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
11	20000	01-Jan-2008	Vehicular Diesel	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Jan-2008	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: FIBERGLAS	SS/DOUBLE WALL/COM	PARTMENTED/SPILL CONT	AINMENT BUCKET/FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/L	EVEL GAUGES/ALARMS	
	PIPING TYPE: FIBERGLAS	SS/DOUBLE WALL/PRE	SSURIZED PIPING SYSTEM	/DISPENSER LINERS		
LEAK		IIC MONITOR PIPE SUN XAUTOMATIC TANK GA		R DISPENSER LINERS/MONITOR DBL WALL TANK	SPACE/MECHANICAL LINE LEAK	
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
12	6000	01-Jan-2008	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	DELETED 01-Jan-2008	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: FIBERGLAS	SS/DOUBLE WALL/SPIL	L CONTAINMENT BUCKET/F	FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL		
	PIPING TYPE:					
LEAK				SUMPS/ELECTRONIC MONITOR PIPE SUMPS/VIS PACE/MECHANICAL LINE LEAK DETECTOR/AUTON		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
2	10000	01-Dec-1975	Vehicular Diesel	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: STEEL					
	PIPING TYPE:					
LEAK	MONITORING: NOT REQU	IRED				
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)	
3	10000	01-Dec-1975	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989	
CONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: STEEL					
	PIPING TYPE:					
	MONITORING: NOT REQU	IRED				
EAF 1	DIM			Environmental Data Management, Inc.		
103		Line of this infor	For runtier informatio	on please contact us at 727-586-1700	port	

Use of this information is strictly limited by EDM's authorization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each report.

TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1	10000	01-Dec-1975	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-19
ONSTRI	ICTION TYPE: STEEL				-
	PIPING TYPE:				
	MONITORING: NOT REQUI	RED			
<u>ANK #:</u>	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
5	6000	01-Sep-1995	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	IN SERVICE 01-Dec-2007
ONSTRU	ICTION TYPE: STEEL/SAC	RIFICIAL ANODE CP/D	OUBLE WALL/SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCK	ET/FLOW SHUT OFF/TIGHT FILL/LEVEL	GAUGES/ALARMS
	PIPING TYPE: FIBERGLAS	S/DOUBLE WALL/PRE	SSURIZED PIPING SYSTEM/DISPENSER L	INERS	
LEAK			IPS/ELECTRONIC MONITOR DISPENSER		CE/MECHANICAL LINE LEAK
ANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
	10000	01-Sep-1989	Unleaded Gas	UNDERGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS		IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT		ICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL
	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS			ICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL
LEAK I	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS			ICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL
LEAK I	PIPING TYPE: Monitoring: Visual Ins Piping Pre	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	
LEAK I	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE <u>TANK VOL(GALS):</u> 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	UAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of)
LEAK I ANK #: 7 CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE <u>TANK VOL(GALS):</u> 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	UAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC 	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of)
LEAK I ANK #: 7 CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS ISSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR	UAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC 	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK <u>#:</u> CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU LEAK I	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL
LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU LEAK I ANK #:	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC TANK CONTENTS:	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of)
LEAK I ANK #: 7 CONSTRU LEAK I ANK #: 3 CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of)
LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU LEAK I ANK #:	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK #: CONSTRU LEAK I CONSTRU	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK #: ONSTRU LEAK I ANK #: LEAK I	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELECT TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20
LEAK I ANK #: ONSTRU LEAK I ANK #: LEAK I ANK #:	PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: BALL CHEC PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: VISUAL INS PIPING PRE TANK VOL(GALS): 10000	PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989 K VALVE/STEEL/SACR PECT PIPE SUMPS/VIS SSURE TEST INST.DATE: 01-Sep-1989	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas Unleaded Gas Unleaded Gas Unleaded Gas Unleaded Gas Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT Unleaded Gas IFICIAL ANODE CP/SPILL CONTAINMENT SUAL INSPECT DISPENSER LINERS/ELEC TANK CONTENTS:	TRONIC LINE LEAK DETECTOR/STATIST	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20 TICAL INVENTORY RECONCILE/ANNUAL TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 27-Dec-20



FDEP LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS REPORT

(LUST)

LUST Page 5 of 6

FACILITY ID NUMBER	R, NAME AND LOCATIO	N	OWN	ERSHIP INFO:	MAP ID NUMBER	8 L
8840379				NT OWNER RDS, JOAN & PETER	Dist (FEET): 64.00 Direction:	-
APEX STATION				RTEGA LN SE	Elev (Ft): 11.38	U
	L *** USE #8518113 *	***		SPRINGS, FL 33923-	Elev vs Higher	S
BONITA SPRINGS,			(813)99 COUNT	2-4033 YID: 36 LEE	Sub Prop:	-
			AGCY L	AT/LON(DMS): 26,19,5		
FDEP INFORMATION PO	RTAL ON LINE DOCUMENT	[S] (May Not Be Available For J		PERATOR: APEX OIL CO L #: (813)992-4033		
FAC STATUS: CLOSE	ED FAC TYPE: A	- Retail Station				
SCORE 6	CORE EFF DT: 11/4/19	97 RANK: 12937	SCORE WHEN	RANKED: 6		
		DISCHARG	E INFORMATION			
		DISCHARG	E DATE: 2/6/198	8		Mapid: 8
INSPECTION DATE:				CLEANUP WORK ST	ATUS: AWAITING	
CLEANUP REQUIRED R - C	LEANUP REQUIRED	CLEANUP COMBINED:				
INFO SOURCE: E - EDI						
DISCH CLNUP STATUS: 5/1					0	
CONTAMINATED MEDIA?: POLLUTANT : B - Unleaded			DNWELL: Y # DW DTHER	WELLS CONTAMINATED	: 0	
DELOTART D'Onicadoa	000					
		CLEANUP	INFORMATION			Mapid: 8
PGM ELIG OFF: PCTM6 - PE	ETROLEUM CLEANUP TEAM 6					
PGM ELIG SCORE: 6	PGM ELIG SCORE	EFF DT:	PGM ELIG R			
ELIG STAT: ELIGIBLE	ELIG STAT DT:	APPL RCVD:	LOI:	ELIG LTR S	INT:	REDETERM:
DEDUCT AMT: CLNUP PROG: E - EARLY D	DEDUCT PD TO DT:		COPAY TO DT:	CAP AMT:	0	
SITE ASSESSMENT*	ETECTION INCEN CENOP C	FF: PCTM6 - PETROLEUM C <u>REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN*</u>	LEANOP TEAM 6	REMEDIAL		
CLNP RESP: RP - RESPON		CLEANUP RESP: RP - RE			RESP: RP - RESPONSIB	E PARTY
FUND ELLIG: -	SIDLE FARTI	FUND ELLIG: -	SPONSIBLE PARTI	FUND ELL		
ACTUAL COMPLETION DATE	E: 08-05-1991	ORDER APPRV DATE: 5/1	/1992	ACTUAL C	OST:	
PAYMENT DATE:		ACTUAL COMPL DATE: 05	5-01-1992	YEARS TO	COMPL:	
ACTUAL COST:		PAYMENT DATE: ACTUAL COST:				
SITE REHABILITATION COM	PLETION REPORT*	ACTORE COOT		SOURCE I	REMOVAL*	
ACTION TYPE: -					RESP: RP - RESPONSIB	LE PARTY
SUBMIT DATE: REVIEW DATE:				FUND ELL	IG: - COMPLETION DATE:	
ISSUE DATE:					DUCT REMOVAL?(Y/N):	Y
COMPL STATUS: -					OVAL? (Y/N): Y	
COMPL STATUS DT:					NAGE REMOVED:	
COMMENTS:					ATMENT?(Y/N): Y REATMENT?: AIR STRIP/[
					STATUS:	
					STATUS DT:	
				ALT PRO	COMMENT:	

* Data current as of November 2019

Report Date: 9/7/2023



Copyright © 1990-2023 Environmental Data Management, Inc. For further information please contact us at 727-586-1700 Use of this information is strictly limited by EDM's authorization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each report.

FDEP LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS REPORT

(LUST)

LUST Page 6 of 6

TANKS Data for LUST Sites:

FACILIT	Y ID NUMBER, NAM	E AND LOCATIO	N	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER: 8
8840379 APEX STATION 27990 TAMIAMI TRL BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923 FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available F		EDWARDS, JOAN & PETER 995A ORTEGA LN SE BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923 CONTACT TEL #: 8139924033 CONTACT: EDWARDS, JOAN & PETER FACILTY TEL #: 8139924033 COUNTY ID: 36 LEE For All Records)	Dist (FEET): 64.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 11.38 Elev vs Sub Prop: Higher		
FAC ST	ATUS: CLOSED	FAC TYPE:	Retail Station		
	TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: STEEL PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: UNKNOWN	INST.DATE: 01-Dec-1975	TANK CONTENTS: Leaded Gas	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989
1	TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: STEEL PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: UNKNOWN	INST.DATE: 01-Dec-1975	TANK CONTENTS: Vehicular Diesel	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989
	TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: STEEL PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: UNKNOWN	INST.DATE: 01-Dec-1975	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989
	TANK VOL(GALS): 10000 ICTION TYPE: STEEL PIPING TYPE: MONITORING: UNKNOWN	INST.DATE: 01-Dec-1975	TANK CONTENTS: Unleaded Gas	TANK POSITION: UNDERGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of) REMOVED FROM SITE 31-Aug-1989



Report Date: 9/7/2023

Copyright © 1990-2023 Environmental Data Management, Inc. For further information please contact us at 727-586-1700 Use of this information is strictly limited by EDM's authorization agreement, acknowledged by our clients for each report.

(TANKS)

Report Date: 9/7/2023	(TANKS)		TANKS Pa	age 1 of 2
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND LOCATION	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER:	0	T
9808472 PUBLIX SUPER MARKET #365 3306 BONITA BCH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134	PUBLIX SUPER MARKETS INC - PO BOX 407 ATTN: ESP STORAGE TA LAKELAND, FL 33802 CONTACT: BRENDA WILLIAMS EXT-55017/8636881 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): /	Dist (FEET): 319.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 11.74 Elev vs Higher Sub Prop:	9	A N K S
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL ON LINE DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available)	able For All Records)			
FAC STATUS: CLOSED FAC TYPE: Fuel user/Non-ret	ail			

FAC 3	TATUS: CLOSED	FAC ITPE:	Fuel user/Non-retail		
TANK #:	TANK VOL(GALS):	INST.DATE:	TANK CONTENTS:	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)
1	1000	01-Sep-2006	Emerg Generator Diesel	ABOVEGROUND	REMOVED FROM SITE 01-Jun-2014
CONST	RUCTION TYPE: CIMP	STEEL/DOUBLE W	VALL/SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCKET/LEVE	EL GAUGES/ALARMS	
	PIPING TYPE: AI	ABV, NO SOIL CO	NTACT/SUCTION PIPING SYSTEM		
LEA	K MONITORING: 1FQ	CONTINUOUS ELI	ECTRONIC SENSING/MONITOR DBL WALI	TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF AS	TS



Report Date: 9/7/2023		(T.	ANKS)			TANKS Page 2 of 2
FACILITY ID NUMBER, NAM	E AND LOCATION		OWNERSHIP INFO	RMATION	MAP ID NUMBER:	9 T
9814048 PUBLIX SUPER MARKET 3304 BONITA BEACH RE BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34)		PUBLIX SUPER MARKETS PO BOX 407 ATTN: ESP S LAKELAND, FL 33802 CONTACT: BRENDA WII SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY):	STORAGE TA	Dist (FEET): 319.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 11.74 11 Elev vs Sub Prop:	A N K
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL C			r All Records)			S
FAC STATUS: OPEN TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): 1 1000	FAC TYPE: F INST.DATE: 01-Apr-2014	Fuel user/Non-retail <u>TANK CONTENTS:</u> Emerg Generator Diesel		TANK POSITION: ABOVEGROUND	TANK STATUS (as of. IN SERVICE 01-Apr-20	-

 CONSTRUCTION TYPE:
 CIMP
 STEEL/DOUBLE WALL/SPILL CONTAINMENT BUCKET/LEVEL GAUGES/ALARMS

 PIPING TYPE:
 AI
 ABV, NO SOIL CONTACT/SUCTION PIPING SYSTEM

LEAK MONITORING: 1FQ

 DRING:
 1FQ
 CONTINUOUS ELECTRONIC SENSING/MONITOR DBL WALL TANK SPACE/VISUAL INSPECTION OF ASTS



Report Date: 9/7/2023	()	TANKS)	TANKS Page 1 d
9801967 SUNSHINE DRY CLEANE 9048 BONITA BEACH RE BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33	HISTORICAL ENTRY ERS	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION KENT, JOSHUA 9048 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923- CONTACT: JOSHUA KENT/9414950017 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): /	MAP ID NUMBER: Dist (FEET): 348.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 14.87 Elev vs Sub Prop:
FDEP INFORMATION PORTAL (FAC STATUS: OPEN TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS):	FAC TYPE: DRYCLEANER INST.DATE: TANK CONTENTS:	For All Records) <u>TANK POSITION:</u>	TANK STATUS (as of)
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: PIPING TYPE: LEAK MONITORING:			

FDEP DRY CLEANING FACILITIES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023			(DRY	')			DRY Pa	ige 1 of 1
FACILITY ID NUMBER, N					MAP ID NUMBER:	10	П	
9801967 SUNSHINE DRY CLEANERS 9048 BONITA BEACH RD BONITA SPRINGS, FL 33923				Facility Telephone (941)495-0017 Dist (FEE) Fac Status CLOSED Direction Facility Type 1 - Drycleaner Elev (F PRIORITY RANKING: Rank: Score:			.87	
FDEP INFORMATION PORT	AL ON LINE DOCUMENTS	(May Not Be Ava	lable For All Rec	ords)				
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	ACCOUNT OWNER KENT, JOSHUA 9048 B	RP ID 49226 ONITA BEACH F		8/31/1999 PRINGS, FL 3	RP Contact:	JOSHUA KENT (941)495-0017		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	FACILITY OWNER KENT, JOSHUA 9048 B	RP ID 49226 ONITA BEACH F		8/31/1999 PRINGS, FL 3	RP Contact:	JOSHUA KENT (941)495-0017		
DRY CLEANER CLEANUR	P PROGRAM DATA:							
Facility Name: Address: City: County: District: Agcy Lat/Lon: / On Line Documents:			ERIC ID: Program Manager <mark>Status:</mark>	:				



(TANKS)

ACILITY ID NUMBER, NAME AND I	LOCATION	OWNERSHIP INFORMATION	MAP ID NUMBER:
9503050 PRESTIGE CLEANERS 3300 BONITA BEACH RD #107 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134-	HISTORICAL ENTRY	SHIVANI OF SOUTHWEST FLORI 3300 BONITA BEACH RD #107 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134- CONTACT: SURESH PATEL/9419923840 SITE COUNTY: 36 LEE SITE LAT/LON (AGCY): /	Dist (FEET): 390.00 Direction: Elev (Ft): 9.99 Elev vs Sub Prop:
AC STATUS: OPEN FAC	DOCUMENTS (May Not Be Available F	For All Records)	
TANK #: TANK VOL(GALS): INST	<u>.DATE:</u> <u>TANK CONTENTS:</u>	TANK POSITION:	TANK STATUS (as of)

LEAK MONITORING:



FDEP DRY CLEANING FACILITIES LIST

Report Date: 9/7/2023				(DRY)			DRY Pa	age 1 of 1
FACILITY ID NUMBER, N	AME AND LOCATION						MAP ID NUMBER:	11	D
9503050HISTORICAL ENTRY PRESTIGE CLEANERS 3300 BONITA BCH RD #107 BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134				Fac Statu Facility T	Facility Telephone (941) 992-3840 Dist (FEET): 390.0 Fac Status OPEN Direction: Facility Type Drycleaner Elev (Ft): 9.99 PRIORITY RANKING: Rank: Score:			••	R Y
FDEP INFORMATION PORT	AL ON LINE DOCUMENTS	(May Not	Be Availal	ble For All Reco	rds)				
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	PROPERTY OWNER	RP ID	42253	Start Date	12/27/199	RP Contact:	CHRIS AUSTIN (407) 790-1414		
	CENTER OF BONITA SPE	RING 10	0323 SOU	JTHERN BLVD	ROYAL PA	ALM BEACH, FL	34134		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	FACILITY OWNER SHIVANI OF SOUTHWES		44809 IDA INC	Start Date 3300 BONITA			SURESH PATEL (941) 992-3840 SPRINGS, FL 34134		
RELATED PARTY TYPE:	ACCOUNT OWNER SHIVANI OF SOUTHWES		44809 IDA INC	Start Date 3300 BONITA			SURESH PATEL (941) 992-3840 SPRINGS, FL 34134		
DRY CLEANER CLEANU	PROGRAM DATA:								
Facility Name: Address: City: County: District: Agcy Lat/Lon: /				ERIC ID: Program: Manager: Status:					



ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT

Custom Radius Research Proximal Site Summary Table

This table includes mapped sites whose plotted coordinates fall just outside of the ASTM or client defined research distance but whose property boundaries may still extend into the search area. These sites are typically large commercial or industrial tracts that may merit inclusion in the evaluation process. Detail data reports on any of these sites may be requested and will be sent as an addendum to this report at no additional cost.

Report Date: 9/7/2023						Page 1 of 1
MapID Prgm List Fac ID No	Site Dist (ft)	Site Elev (ft)	Elev vs Sub Prop	Site Name	Site Address	



ENVIRONMENTAL DATA MANAGEMENT

Custom Radius Research

Non-Mapped Records Summary Table

This table is a listing of database records that have not been plotted within our mapping system. Detail data reports on any of these sites may be requested and will be sent as an addendum to this report at no additional cost.

Report Date: 9/7/202	23		Page 1 of 1
Prgm List Fac ID No	Site Name	Site Address	



Agency List Descriptions

USEPA and State Databases are updated on a quarterly basis. Supplemental Databases are updated on an annual basis.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)

State Designated Brownfields(BRWNFLDS)

The FDEP Brownfields database contains a listing of State Designated Brownfield Areas and Brownfield Sites. Brownfields are typically defined as abandoned, idled or underused industrial and commercial sites where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination.

Agency File Date: 5/2/2023

Dry Cleaners List(DRY) The FDEP Dry Cleaning Facilities List is comprised of data from the FDEP Storage Tank and Contamination Monitoring (STCM) database and the Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup Program- Priority Ranking List. It contains a listing of those Dry Cleaning sites (and suspected historical Dry Cleaning sites) who have registered with the FDEP and/or have applied for the Dry Cleaning Solvent Cleanup Program.

Received by EDM: 5/3/2023

Received by EDM: 8/1/2023

Agency File Date: 7/27/2023

Institutional and/or Engineering Controls(INSTENG)

The FDEP Institutional Controls Registry Database (INSTENG) contains sites that have had Institutional and/or Engineering Controls implemented to regulate exposure to environmental hazards

Agency File Date: 6/23/2023

Leaking Underground Storage Tanks List(LUST)

The FDEP LUST list identifies facilities and/or locations that have notified the FDEP of a possible release of contaminants from petroleum storage systems. This Report is generated from the FDEP Storage Tank and Contamination Monitoring Database (STCM).

 Agency File Date:
 7/28/2023
 Received by EDM:
 7/28/2023
 EDM Database Updated:
 7/28/2023

Solid Waste Facilities List_Landfills(SLDWST_LF)

The SLDWST_LF list identifies locations that have conducted solid waste landfill activities as determined by the applicable FDEP Facility Classifications. Sites listed with "##" after the Facility ID Number are historical locations, obtained from documents on record at local agencies.

Received by EDM: 8/1/2023

Agency File Date: 8/1/2023

State CERCLIS/SEMS Equivalent(STCERC) The STCERC list is compiled from the FDEP Site Investigation Section list, the Florida SITES list(historical) and the FDEP Cleanup Sites list. These sites are being assessed and/or cleaned up as a result of identified or suspected contamination from the release of hazardous substances. The FDEP Cleanup Sites list programs include: Brownfields, Petroleum, EPA Superfund (CERCLA), Drycleaning, Responsible Party Cleanup, State Funded Cleanup, State Owned Lands Cleanup and Hazardous Waste Cleanup.

Agency File Date: 7/17/2023 Rece

Received by EDM: 7/2/2023

EDM Database Updated: 7/27/2023

EDM Database Updated: 8/1/2023

State NPL Equivalent(STNPL)

The FDEP State Funded Cleanup list contains facilities and/or locations where there are no viable responsible parties; the site poses an imminent hazard; and the site does not qualify for Superfund or is a low priority for EPA. Remedial efforts at these sites are currently being addressed through State funded cleanup action.

Agency File Date: 6/19/2023

Underground/Aboveground Storage Tanks(TANKS)

The FDEP TANKS list contains sites with registered aboveground and underground storage tanks containing regulated petroleum products.

Received by EDM: 7/12/2023

Agency File Date: 6/1/2023 Received by EDM: 6/1/2023

Voluntary Cleanup List(VOLCLNUP)

The VOLCLNUP List is derived from the FDEP Brownfields Site Rehabilitation Agreement (BSRA) database, the FDEP ERIC Waste Cleanup database and the FDEP Office of Waste Cleanup Responsible Party Sites database (not available as of June 2021). The VOLCLNUP List identifies sites that have signed an agreement to Voluntarily cleanup a site and/or sites where legal responsibility for site rehabilitation exists pursuant to Florida Statutes and is being conducted either voluntarily or pursuant to enforcement activity.

Agency File Date: 5/1/2023

Date: 5/1/2023 Received by EDM: 5/3/2023

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Comp Env Resp, Compensation & Liability Info Sys List(CERCLIS)

The US EPA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) database tracks potential and confirmed hazardous waste sites at which the EPA Superfund program has some involvement. It contains sites that are proposed to be on the NPL, are on the NPL and sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. The CERCLIS database was retired in November of 2013 and has been replaced by the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS).

Agency File Date: 11/12/2013

Received by EDM: 2/18/2016

:PA)

EDM Database Updated: 2/18/2016

EDM Database Updated: 5/3/2023

EDM Database Updated: 7/12/2023

EDM Database Updated: 6/2/2023

Received by EDM: 7/20/2023 EDM D

EDM Database Updated: 7/20/2023

EDM Database Updated: 5/3/2023

EDM Database Updated: 8/1/2023

RCRIS Handlers with Corrective Action(CORRACTS)

The US EPA Corrective Action Sites (CORRACTS) database is a listing of hazardous waste handlers that have undergone RCRA corrective action activity.

Received by EDM: 5/25/2023

Agency File Date: 5/22/2023

Archived Cerclis Sites(NFRAP)

The US EPA NFRAP list contains archived data of CERCLIS records where the EPA has completed assessment activities and determined that no further steps to list the site on the NPL will be taken. NFRAP sites may be reviewed in the future to determine if they should be returned to CERCLIS based upon newly identified contamination problems at the site. The NFRAP database was retired in November of 2013 and has been replaced by the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS) .

Agency File Date: 10/25/2013

National Priorities List(NPL)

The US EPA National Priorities List (NPL) contains facilities and/or locations where environmental contamination has been confirmed and prioritized for cleanup activities under the Superfund Program. EDM's NPL Report includes sites that are currently on the NPL as well as sites that have been Proposed, Withdrawn and/or Deleted from the list. Previously, information for the NPL was managed under the CERLIS data management system. In 2014 this system was replaced with the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS). EPA last updated CERCLIS in November of 2013. EDM's NPL Report contains available SEMS data and the archived CERCLIS data relative to NPL sites.

Agency File Date: 7/11/2023

NPL Liens List(NPLLIENS)

The US EPA NPL Liens List identifies those sites where under authority granted by CERCLA, liens have been filed against real property in order to recover expenditures from remedial action or when the property owner receives a notice of potential liability.

Received by EDM: 7/11/2023

Agency File Date: 6/22/2023 **Received by EDM:** 7/11/2023 EDM Database Updated: 7/11/2023

SEMS Active Site Inventory List(SEMSACTV)

The US EPA Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS) tracks potential and confirmed hazardous waste sites at which the EPA Superfund program has some involvement. The SEMSACTV list contains sites that are on the National Priorities List (NPL) as well as sites that are prosposed for or in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. SEMS has replaced the CERCLIS database, which was retired in November of 2013.

Agency File Date: 4/26/2023 Received by EDM: 5/24/2023

SEMS Archived Site Inventory List(SEMSARCH)

The US EPA Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS), contains archived data of CERCLIS or SEMS records where the EPA has completed assessment activities and determined that no further steps to list the site on the NPL will be taken. These sites may be reviewed in the future to determine if they should be returned to SEMS based upon newly identified contamination problems at the site. SEMS has replaced the CERCLIS database, which was retired in November of 2013. The SEMSARCH database contains these newly archived records under the SEMS database management system.

Agency File Date:	4/26/2023	Received by EDM:	5/24/2023	EDM Database Updated:	5/24/2023

Tribal Lust List(TRIBLLUST)

EDM's Tribal LUST list is derived from the USEPA Region IV Tribal Tanks database by extracting those sites with indicators of past and/or current releases

Agency File Date: 2/24/2010 Received by EDM: 3/9/2010 EDM Database Updated: 3/9/2010

Tribal Tanks List(TRIBLTANKS)

The USEPA Region IV Tribal Tanks database lists Active and Closed storage tank facilities on Native American lands.

Received by EDM: 3/9/2010 Agency File Date: 2/24/2010 EDM Database Updated: 3/9/2010

RCRA-Treatment, Storage and/or Disposal Sites(TSD)

The EDM TSD list is a subset of the US EPA RCRAInfo system and identifies facilities that Treat, Store and/or Dispose of hazardous waste. Agency File Date: 5/22/2023 Received by EDM: 5/23/2023 EDM Database Updated: 5/24/2023

Brownfields Management System(USBRWNFLDS)

The US EPA Brownfields program provides information on environmentally distressed properties that have received Grants or Targeted funding for cleanup and redevelopment. Tribal Brownfield sites are included in the USBRWNFLDS database.

Agency File Date: 5/25/2023 Received by EDM: 5/25/2023 EDM Database Updated: 5/30/2023

Institutional and/or Engineering Controls(USINSTENG)

The USINSTENG list is compiled from data elements contained in the NPL, CORRACTS, USBRWNFLDS and RCRAInfo databases. Agency File Date: 5/25/2023 Received by EDM: 5/25/2023 EDM Database Updated: 5/30/2023

Received by EDM: 2/18/2016

EDM Database Updated: 2/18/2016

EDM Database Updated: 7/11/2023

EDM Database Updated: 5/24/2023

EDM Database Updated: 5/25/2023

Environmental Impact Areas

Brownfield Areas and Sites

The FDEP Brownfields database contains a listing of State Designated Brownfield Areas and Brownfield Sites. Brownfields are typically defined as abandoned, idled or underused industrial and commercial sites where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination.

Agency File Date: 4/28/2023

Received by EDM: 5/2/2023

EDM Database Updated: 5/3/2023

https://floridadep.gov/waste/waste-cleanup/content/brownfields-program

Cattle Dipping Vats

From the 1910's through the 1950's, vats were filled with an arsenic solution for the control and eradication of the cattle fever tick. Other pesticides such as DDT where also widely used. By State law, all cattle, horses, mules, goats, and other susceptible animals were required to be dipped every 14 days. Under certain circumstances, the arsenic and other pesticides remaining at the site may present an environmental or public health hazard.

Some of the sites have been located and are currently under investigation. However, most of the listings are from old records of the State Livestock Board, which listed each vat as it was put into operation. In addition, some privately operated vats may have existed which were not listed by the Livestock Board. EDM's Cattle Dipping Vat sites are retrieved from the Voluntary Cleanup and STCERC datablases. For additional information on Cattle Dipping Vats visit the FDEP and FDOH websites at:

Agency File Date:10/31/2018Received by EDM:1/25/2019

EDM Database Updated: 1/25/2019

EDM Database Updated: 1/25/2019

https://floridadep.gov/waste/district-business-support/content/cattle-dipping-vats-cdv

http://www.floridahealth.gov/environmental-health/drinking-water/cattledipvathome.html

Formerly Used Defense Sites

The DoD is responsible for the environmental restoration of properties that were formerly owned by, leased to or otherwise possessed by the United States and operated under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense prior to October 1986. Such properties are known as Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS). The Army is the executive agent for the program and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers manages and directs the program's administration. For more information on the FUDS Program, including maps and data on individual sites, visit the Army Corps of Engineers website at:

Agency File Date: 5/29/2018 Received by EDM: 1/25/2019

http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environmental/Formerly-Used-Defense-Sites/

FUDS Munitions Response Sites

The DoD developed the Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP) in 2001 to addresses munitions-related concerns, including explosive safety, environmental, and health hazards from releases of unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded military munitions (DDM), and munitions constituents (MC) found at locations, other than operational ranges, on active and Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) installations and Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) properties. The MMRP addresses non-operational range lands with suspected or known hazards from munitions and explosives of concern (MEC) which occurred prior to September 2002, but are not already included with an Installation Response Program (IRP) site cleanup activity. For more information on the FUDS MMRP Program, including maps and data on individual sites, visit the Army Corps of Engineers website at:

Agency File Date: 5/14/2018

Received by EDM: 1/25/2019

EDM Database Updated: 1/25/2019

http://www.asaie.army.mil/Public/ESOH/mmrp.html

Groundwater Contamination Areas

The Ground Water Contamination Areas GIS layer is a statewide map showing the boundaries of delineated areas of known groundwater contamination pursuant to Chapter 62-524, F.A.C., New Potable Water Well Permitting In Delineated Areas. 38 Florida counties have been delineated primarily for the agricultural pesticide ethylene dibromide (EDB), and to a much lesser extent, volatile organic and petroleum contaminants. This GIS layer represents approximately 427,897 acres in 38 counties in Florida that have been delineated for groundwater contamination. However, it does not represent all known sources of groundwater contamination for the state of Florida.

This information is intended to be used by regulatory agencies issuing potable water well construction permits in areas of ground water contamination to protect public health and the ground water resource. Permitted water wells in these areas must meet specific well construction criteria and water testing prior to well use. This dataset only indicates the presence or absence of specific groundwater contaminants and does not represent all known sources of groundwater contamination in the state of Florida.

Agency File Date: 8/15/2022

Received by EDM: 8/15/2022

EDM Database Updated: 9/7/2022

https://floridadep.gov/water/source-drinking-water/content/delineated-areas

Institutional Controls

The FDEP Institutional Controls GIS layer is a statewide map showing the approximate boundaries of delineated areas where Institutional Controls are in place.

An institutional control provides for certain restrictions on a property. For example, a site may be cleaned up to satisfy commercial contamination target levels and an institutional control may be placed on that property indicating that it may only be used for commercial activities. If the owner of the property ever wanted to use that property for residential purposes, the owner would have to ensure that any contamination meets residential target levels.

The locational data for this layer is provided by the responsible party and reviewed by FDEP staff. Neither FDEP or EDM assumes respondibility for the accuracy of the boundary data.

Agency File Date: 6/23/2023

Received by EDM: 7/20/2023

EDM Database Updated: 7/20/2023

https://ca.dep.state.fl.us/mapdirect/?webmap=cff8d21797184421ab4763d3e4a01e48

National Priorities List

The US EPA National Priorities List (NPL) contains facilities and/or locations where environmental contamination has been confirmed and prioritized for cleanup activities under the Superfund Program. EDM's NPL site boundaries data include sites that are currently on the NPL as well as sites that have been Proposed, Withdrawn and/or Deleted from the list.

Agency File Date: 11/14/2018 Received by EDM: 12/10/2018

https://www.epa.gov/superfund/search-superfund-sites-where-you-live

Solid Waste Facilities

The FDEP SLDWST list identifies locations that have been permitted to conduct solid waste handling activities.

Agency File Date: 7/27/2023

Received by EDM: 8/1/2023

EDM Database Updated: 8/2/2023

EDM Database Updated: 1/22/2019

https://floridadep.gov/waste

State Funded Cleanup Sites

The FDEP State Funded Cleanup list contains facilities and/or locations where there are no viable responsible parties; the site poses an imminent hazard; and the site does not qualify for Superfund or is a low priority for EPA. Remedial efforts at these sites are currently being addressed through State funded cleanup action.

Agency File Date: 6/19/2023 Receive

Received by EDM: 7/12/2023

EDM Database Updated: 7/13/2023

https://floridadep.gov/waste/waste-cleanup/documents/state-funded-cleanup-program-site-list

APPENDIX E SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SITE 1 – DEVOE PONTIAC BUICK INFINITI VOLVO INC / BONITA SPRINGS MITSUBISHI / BONITA SPRINGS INFINITI



Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-2400 Division of Waste Management Petroleum Storage Systems Storage Tank Facility Annual Compliance Site Inspection Report

Facility Information:

Facility ID:	9813692	County: LEE	Inspection Date: 11/07/2016
Facility Type:	C - Fuel user/Non-retail	-	
Facility Name:	BONITA SPRINGS INFINITI		# of Inspected ASTs: 2
-	28480 S TAMIAMI TRL		USTs: 0
	BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134		Mineral Acid Tanks: 0
Latitude:	26° 19' 23.0458''		
Longitude:	81° 48' 25.1688"		
LL Method:	DPHO		

Inspection Result:

Result: In Compliance

Also Performed:

Financial Responsibility:

Financial Responsibility: EXEMPT-NON REGULATED

Insurance Carrier:

Effective Date:

Expiration Date:

Findings:

Signatures:

TKLENR - LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Storage Tank Program Office

(239) 533-8129

Storage Tank Program Office Phone Number

Facility ID: 9813692

Chris A. Zimmerman

INSPECTOR NAME

cus

Joe Parisi

REPRESENTATIVE NAME

INSPECTOR SIGNATURE

REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

Owners of UST facilities are reminded that the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 and 40 CFR 280 Subpart J, requires Operator Training at all facilities by October 15, 2018. For further information please visit: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/categories/tanks/pages/op_train.htm

Reviewed Records

Record Category	Record Type	From Date	To Date	Reviewed Record Comment
Two Years	Monthly Maint. Visual Examinations and Results	05/01/2014	11/01/2016	Records associated with monthly visuals

Inspection Comments

11/07/2016

Checked required documentation (RDRL, Visuals, insurance, maintenance records, etc..) along with required storage tank components (Tanks, lines, spill buckets, hoses, etc...)

Updated registration info as needed.

Alarm/Maintenance History: Nothing significant to note.

It was questionable as to weather the tanks were greater then 550 gals. Measurements of the tanks were taken and it was determine that the primary tanks were approx. 550gal or less. Registration was updated and submitted to FDEP. This facility does not have any other regulated tanks.



Florida Department of Environmental Protection Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-2400 Division of Waste Management Petroleum Storage Systems Storage Tank Facility Routine Compliance Site Inspection Report

Facility Information:

Facility ID:9800304County: LEEFacility Type:C - Fuel user/Non-retailFacility Name:BONITA SPRINGS MITSUBISHI
28450 TRAILS EDGE BLVD
BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134Latitude:26° 19' 22.8109''Longitude:81° 48' 25.1196''LL Method:DPHO

Inspection Date:03/30/2021

of inspected ASTs: 1 USTs: 0 Mineral Acid Tanks: 0

Inspection Result:

Result: In Compliance

Signatures:

TKLENR - LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES (239) 533-8129

Storage Tank Program Office and Phone Number

Mark Allen Sautter

Steve Whittaker

Representative Name

Inspector Name

MAAR

No Signature

Representative Signature

Inspector Signature Representativ Principal Inspector LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES DeVoe Autos

Owners of UST facilities are reminded that the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 and 40 CFR 280 Subpart J requires Operator Training at all facilities by October 13, 2018. For further information please visit: https://floridadep.gov/waste/permitting-compliance-assistance/content/underground-storage-tank-operator-training

Financial Responsibility:

 Financial Responsibility:
 INSURANCE

 Insurance Carrier:
 LIBERTY SURPLUS INSURANCE CORP

 Effective Date:
 09/10/2020

 Expiration Date:
 09/10/2021

Completed System Tests

Туре	Date Completed	Results	Reviewed	Next Due Date	Comment
Annual Operability - Release Detection	08/11/2020	Passed	03/30/2021	08/11/2021	Tests conducted by facility personnel

Reviewed Records

Record Category	Record type	From Date	To Date	Reviewed Record Comment
Two Years	Monthly Maint. Visual Examinations and Results	03/25/2015	09/05/2017	Records associated with monthly visuals.
Two Years	Certificate of Financial Responsiblity	09/10/2017	03/30/2021	Parts P and D
Two Years	Monthly Maint. Visual Examinations and Results	09/05/2017	03/30/2021	Records associated with monthly visuals.

Site Visit Comments

03/30/2021 One (1) 10,000 gallon AST Highland tank containing unleaded gasoline: UL-2085/EQ-673. Release detection consists of Monthly Visual Inspections of the visual portions of the AST and Krueger Gauge for leak detection. SPILL CONTAINMENT: Single-walled steel, OPW Pomeco: EQ-226 Product label present OVERFILL DETECTION: Krueger fuel level gauge: EQ-730

Inspection Comments

03/30/2021 Based upon the current pandemic concerns, signatures were not required during the inspection

Inspection Photos

Added Date 03/30/2021

Updated site photo





October 17, 2022

John E. Manning District One

Brian Hamman

Donna Marie Collins

Hearing Examiner

District Four

Cecil L Pendergrass District Two

Frank Mann

District Five

Larry Kiker **District Three**

Roger Desjarlais County Manager

Richard Wm. Wesch **Couunty Attorney**

ID:9803805 **District:SD** Facility Name: DEVOE PONTIAC BUICK INFINITI VOLVO INC **County:LEE** Address: 28450 S TAMIAMI TRL **Type:Fuel user/Non-retail** City/State:BONITA SPRINGS, FL 34134 **Status:OPEN Contact:JOE DICLAUDIO** Latitude:26° 19' 22.8267" Phone: (239) 597-6011

RE: **Return to Compliance**

Dear Sirs:

A storage tanks inspection and file review were conducted at the above noted facility by the Lee, Charlotte & Desoto County Storage Tanks Program, on behalf of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Based on the information provided during and following the inspection, the facility was determined to be out of compliance with the Department's storage tank rules and regulations. However, based on the information provided the facility was determined to have returned to compliance with the Department's Storage Tank rules and regulations.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Keith Kleinmann at (239) 822-6399 or kkleinmann@leegov.com.

Sincerely,

Keith Kleinmann Environmental Specialist, SR.

SITE 2 – SPRINGS PLAZA SEWER SYSTEM





Lawton Chiles Governor Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

August 25, 1994

Robert A, Graves, Vice President. Forty One Corporation 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43287

> RE: Springs Plaza Sewer System 28239 South US 41 Bonita Springs, FL DEP Facility No.: 369400174

Dear Mr. Graves:

The Bureau of Waste Cleanup has reviewed the Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and No Further Action Proposal (NFAP) addendum dated July 14, 1994 (received July 15, 1994), submitted for this site. Documentation submitted with the NFAP confirms that criteria set forth in Section 62-770.630(3), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) have been met. The NFAP is hereby incorporated by reference in this Order. Therefore, you are released from any further obligation to conduct site rehabilitation at the site, except as set forth below.

If a subsequent discharge of petroleum or petroleum product occurs at the site, the Department may require site rehabilitation in order to reduce contaminant concentrations to the levels approved through review of the NFAP or otherwise allowed by Chapter 62:770, F.A.C.

Additionally, you are required to properly abandon all monitoring wells except compliance wells required by Chapter 62-761 F.A.C. for release detection. The wells must be abandoned in accordance with the requirements of Section 62-532.500(4) F.A.C.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order have a right to challenge the Department's decision. Such a challenge may include filing a petition for an administrative determination (hearing) as described in the following paragraphs. However, pursuant to



Letter to Robert A. Graves August 25, 1994 Page 2

. .)

Chapter 62-103, F.A.C., you may request an extension of time to file the Petition. All requests for extensions of time or petitions for administrative determinations must be filed directly with the Department's Office of General Counsel at the address given below within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice (do not send them to the Bureau of Waste Cleanup).

Notwithstanding the above, a person whose substantial interests are affected by this Site Rehabilitation Completion Order may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance with Section 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.). The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Office of General Counsel of the Department at 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Section 120.57, F.S.

The Petition shall contain the following information:

(a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner, the Department file number (DEP facility number), and the name and address of the facility;

(b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action;

(c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action;

(d) A statement of the material facts disputed by each petitioner, if any;

(c) A statement of facts which each petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action;

(f) A statement of which rules or statutes each petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and

(g). A statement of the relief sought by each petitioner, stating precisely the action each petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

This Site Rehabilitation Completion Order is final and effective on the date of receipt of this Order unless a petition (or time extension) is filed in accordance with the preceding paragraphs. Upon the timely filing of a petition, this Order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

When the Order is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section

SITE MANAGER SUMMARY REPORT

Facility ID# Facility Name: Facility Address: 369400174 Springs Plaza Sewer System 28239 S US 41 Bonita Springs

Discharge 1

Lead Agency:	LP
Score:	No Score
Technical Status	NFA

A discharge reporting form was submitted 8/93 in response to elevated OVA readings taken from around the fill pipe and confirmation of groundwater contamination by lab analysis (EPA Methods 602/610) of water sample collected during diesel tank removal activities. Free floating product was also observed in the water in the excavation. The assessment was initiated 12/93 and finalized 7/94. The discharge was granted No Further Action status 8/25/94.

LCAR Needed	No
Discharge Date:	8/19/93
Program:	No Program
Eligibility Status:	No Eligibility Info Available
Determination Date:	
Discharge Combined	: No
Funding Cap:	NA
Deductible Amount:	NA
Deductible Paid:	NA

AMOUNT SPENT

State Cleanup	NA
Utility Invoices	NA
NPDES Permits	NA
Reimbursement	NA
Preapproval	NA

CAP AMOUNT	REMAINING	NA

SEE ATTACHED STCM REPORT SCREEN

REVIEWED BY	York STB, Inc.
REVIEWER	Stephanie Perkins
DATE	5/26/04

SITE 3 – 7-ELEVEN STORE #34806



APTIM 725 U.S. Highway 301 South Tampa, Florida 33619 www.aptim.com

QUARTERLY NATURAL ATTENUATION MONITORING REPORT

7-Eleven Store No. 34806 28175 Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida 33923 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111 FDEP LSSI Work Order No. 2019-95-W1961B

April 20, 2020

Submitted To:

Mr. Larry Smith, P.G. NorthStar Contracting Group, Inc. Petroleum Restoration Program Team 5, Site Manager 508-A Capital Circle Southeast Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Batt

Prepared by:

4/20/20

Date:

Brett Bohentin Project Manager

LONKA LIDWASCA

Reviewed by:

Monika Ugrinska Project Manager 4/20/20

Date:



APTIM 725 U.S. Highway 301 South Tampa, Florida 33619 www.aptim.com

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST LICENSED IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA

For

QUARTERLY NATURAL ATTENUATION MONITORING REPORT

7-Eleven Store No. 34806 28175 Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida 33923 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111 FDEP LSSI Work Order No. 2019-95-W1961B

In accordance with the provisions of Florida Statutes, Chapter 492 the Quarterly Natural Attenuation Monitoring Report for the above-referenced facility was prepared under the direct supervision of a Professional Geologist in the State of Florida. This report has been determined to be in accordance with good professional geological practices pursuant to Chapter 492 of the Florida Statutes and Chapter 62-780, Florida Administrative Code (FAC) as it applies to the work described herein.

The data, findings, recommendations, specifications or professional opinions were prepared solely for the use of 7-Eleven, Inc., Lee County, and the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC makes no other warranty; either expressed or implied and is not responsible for the interpretation by others of these data. Moreover, I certify that Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC holds an active certificate of authorization No. GB409.

HMM 2379 Dat

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC (APTIM), on behalf of 7-Eleven, Inc. (7-Eleven), presents the following Quarterly Natural Attenuation Monitoring Report for the 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 facility located at 28175 S. Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida. 7-Eleven is the Real Property Owner of the above referenced site as well as the Responsible Party for the discharges dated December 10, 1988 (Early Detection Incentive (EDI)), April 23, 1990 (Petroleum Cleanup Participation Program (PCPP)), June 18, 1990 (PCPP), and April 11, 2005 (Site Rehabilitation Funding Allocation (SRFA)). A copy of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Low Score Site Initiative (LSSI) Work Order No. 2020-95-W2546B is provided in **Appendix A**.

A Site Map depicting the property boundaries, locations of monitoring wells, current/historic underground storage tanks (UST), known utilities, and other pertinent site features is included as **Figure 1**.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The site is an active 7-Eleven retail gasoline station located at 28175 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida.

The original UST system consisted of four (4) 10,000-gallon capacity unleaded gasoline USTs that were installed on April 1, 1978. The USTs were located in the southeastern portion of the Subject Property. The USTs were removed in April 2005 and were replaced with two (2) 15,000-gallon capacity unleaded USTs and one (1), 20,000-gallon capacity compartmentalized diesel/premium unleaded UST. The new USTs were installed in the western-central portion of the Subject Property.

The site has four (4) historical discharges dated December 10, 1988, April 23, 1990, June 18, 1990, and April 11, 2005. The December 10, 1988 discharge was filed based on the groundwater exceedances reported in on-site monitoring wells. The discharge was determined eligible for rehabilitation funding under the EDI program on September 18, 1991. The April 23 and June 18, 1990, discharges were filed based on a contractor causing a release of unleaded gasoline when product pipes were damaged during assessment activities. Both discharges were ineligible for funding under the Florida Petroleum Liability and Restoration Insurance Program; however, they were determined eligible for rehabilitation funding under the PCPP Program on May 17, 2010. The April 11, 2005, discharge was filed when a product pipe containing unleaded gasoline was ruptured during the removal of the four (4) 10,000-gallon USTs. A SRFA Agreement was approved; however, a cost share for the release was not implemented due to the FDEP determining an extensive amount of the source was removed as part of the UST system replacement activities.

Site assessment and remediation activities were conducted at the site from 1988 through 1995. A Contamination Assessment Report was approved which documented soil and groundwater impacts in the area of the historical USTs and fuel dispensers. A Remedial Action Plan was approved which included a groundwater pump and treatment system utilizing four (4) recovery wells and an air stripper in conjunction with a soil vapor extraction system with off-gas treatment. The remediation system was activated on May 15, 1992 and operated until May 27, 1995. The remediation system was shut down due to legislative changes.

During the UST system replacement activities conducted in 2005, a total of 1,071 tons of petroleum impacted soil was removed from the site in the areas of the historic and current USTs. A Limited Closure Assessment Report submitted in 2005 documented slight groundwater exceedances in the area of the historic USTs.

On December 21, 2018, APTIM submitted a General Site Assessment Report to the FDEP documenting soil and groundwater assessment activities conducted under LSSI WO No. 2018-95-W0953B.

On August 23, 2019 and January 24, 2020, APTIM submitted Quarterly NAM Reports to the FDEP documenting groundwater assessment activities conducted under LSSI WO No. 2019-95-W1961B.

3.0 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

On April 6, 2020, APTIM collected depth-to-water (DTW) measurements from monitoring wells MW-1A, MW-2A, MW-3A, MW-4A, MW-5A, MW-6A, MW-6DA, MW-7A, MW-8A, MW-9A, MW-10A, MW-11A, MW-12A, MW-21, MW-22, MW-23, MW-24, and MW-25 using a Heron Instruments water probe capable of measuring depth to groundwater to within 0.01 foot. Liquid-phase hydrocarbons were not detected during the gauging event. The average DTW was 4.33 feet below land surface (ft bls). The interpreted groundwater flow direction was toward the northwest.

A Groundwater Elevation Table is provided in **Table 1**. A Groundwater Elevation Contour Map for the April 6, 2020, gauging event is illustrated on **Figure 2**.

4.0 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

On April 6, 2020, APTIM collected groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW-1A, MW-9A MW-10A, MW-11A, MW-12A, MW-21, MW-22, MW-23, MW-24, and MW-25. All groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP Sampling Standard Operating Procedure and were submitted Advanced Environmental Laboratories, Inc. in Tampa, Florida (Florida Department of Health No. E85489), for analysis using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Method 8260B for Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes (BTEX) including Methyltert-butyl-ether (MTBE).

A review of the laboratory analytical report indicated contaminant concentrations below the Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTL) in all monitoring wells sampled.

A Groundwater Analytical Summary is provided in **Table 2**. A Groundwater BTEX and MTBE Concentration Map for the April 6, 2020, groundwater sampling event is illustrated on **Figure 3**. Copies of the FDEP Groundwater Sampling Logs, YSI Calibration Sheets, Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Report, Groundwater QA/QC Report, and Groundwater Chain-of-Custody Documentation are provided in **Appendix B**. Field notes for the April 6, 2020, groundwater sampling activities are provided in **Appendix C**.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

Results of the April 6, 2020 groundwater assessment activities indicated:

- The average DTW was 4.33 ft bls. The interpreted groundwater flow was towards the northwest.
- Groundwater samples collected on April 6,2020, reported contaminant below the GCTL in all monitoring wells sampled.
- APTIM recommends abandonment of all monitoring wells on site in preparation for site closure.

TABLES

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section

TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

WELL NO.		MW-1A		MW-2A			MW-3A		MW-4A			MW-5A			MW-6A			MW-6DA				
DIAMETER (inches)		2			2			2			2			2			2			2		
WELL DEPTH (ft bls)		12.00			12.00			12.00			12.00			12.00			12.55					
SCREEN INTERVAL (feet)	2	.00 - 12.0	00	2	2.00 - 12.00			.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	0	2	.55 - 12.5	55	2	5.00 - 30.0	00	
TOC ELEVATION		10.18			9.54			9.79			9.81			10.09			10.00			9.77		
TOC ELEVATION (as of 12/5/19)		12.95			13.62			13.37		13.34			13.05			13.08		13.34				
DATE	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	
07/12/05	2.86	7.32		3.55	5.99		3.79	6.00		3.92	5.89		4.15	5.94		4.07	5.93		4.50	5.27		
02/19/18	3.93	6.25		3.85	5.69		3.71	6.08		4.24	5.57		4.41	5.68		4.45	5.55		3.81	5.96		
05/21/18																						
07/29/19																						
12/05/19	3.99	8.96		4.62	9.00		3.15	10.22					4.09	8.96		4.11	8.97		4.36	8.98		
04/06/20	4.06	8.89		3.58	10.04		3.65	9.72		4.21	9.13		4.32	8.73		4.32	8.76		4.57	8.77		

WELL NO.	MW-7A		MW-8A				MW-9A			MW-10A	L.		MW-11A			MW-12A	L.	MW-21				
DIAMETER (inches)		2			2			2			2			2			2			2		
WELL DEPTH (ft bls)		11.80			12.00			12.00			12.00			12.00			12.00					
SCREEN INTERVAL (feet)	1.80 - 11.800			2.00 - 12.00			2	.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	0	2	.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	0	
TOC ELEVATION	10.20				10.53			14.80			14.96			14.87			14.41			10.25		
TOC ELEVATION (as of 12/5/19)	12.92				12.57			13.88			13.54			13.47			12.97			13.50		
DATE	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	
07/12/05	3.18	7.02		2.25	8.28																	
02/19/18	4.03	6.17		3.84	6.69																	
05/21/18							4.41	10.39		4.56	10.40		4.46	10.41		3.97	10.44					
07/29/19																			3.11	7.14		
12/05/19	3.89	9.03		3.53	9.04		4.43	9.45		4.69	8.85		4.62	8.85		3.76	9.21		4.54	8.96		
04/06/20	4.04	8.88		3.28	9.29		4.73	9.15		4.90	8.64		4.83	8.64		4.42	8.55		4.51	8.99		

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section

TABLE 1: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

WELL NO.		MW-22			MW-23			MW-24		MW-25											
DIAMETER (inches)		2			2			2		2											
WELL DEPTH (ft bls)		5.65			12.00			12.00			12.00										
SCREEN INTERVAL (feet)	C).65 - 5.6	65	2	2.00 - 12.00			.00 - 12.0	00	2	.00 - 12.0	00									
TOC ELEVATION		10.54			9.89			10.10			9.64										
TOC ELEVATION (as of 12/5/19)		13.86			13.17			13.33			12.91										
DATE	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP	DTW	ELE	FP
07/12/05																					
02/19/18																					
05/21/18																					
07/29/19	3.30	7.24		2.88	7.01		3.17	6.93		2.72	6.92										
12/05/19	4.74	9.12		4.28	8.89		4.54	8.79		4.06	8.85										
04/06/20	4.97	8.89		4.5	8.67		4.74	8.59		4.28	8.63										

Notes:

DTW = depth to water ELE = elevation

ft bls = feet below land surface

FP = free product TOC = top of casing

No Data = Blank

Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Bureau of Waste Cleanup – Petroleum Cleanup Section TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

D Number Date	ate	Benzene	Toluene	ene			(EDB)																			
			Tolu	Ethylbenze	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Ethylene Dibromide (Ei	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
GCTLs		1	40	30	20	20	0.02	5,000	20	210	2,100	0.05	0.2	0.05	210	0.5	4.8	0.005	280	280	0.05	14	28	28	210	210
NADCs		100	400	300	200	200	2	50,000	200	2,100	21,000	5	20	5	2,100	50	480	0.5	2,800	2,800	5	140	280	280	2,100	2,100
MW-1A 03/06/	06/91	514	38,900	3,290	23,400	<25																				
	10/05	.0.40			.0.50		1	054 (11)	.0.10	0.10	.0.10	.0.00		NED/DESTR	T		.0.00						.0.10			
07/12/		<0.19	63.9	<0.20	< 0.50	<0.20	0.0064614	854 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	< 0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	< 0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	< 0.39	< 0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
02/19/		0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.170 U	0.00616 U	93.5 (U, J3)	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0480 (I)	0.0463 U
MW-1A 12/05/		0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
04/06/	J6/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
03/06/	06/01	154	843	474	4 020	36.3																				
03/06/		154 47	770	474 450	1,020 1,000	<0.9																				
		26																								
12/15/			76	87	120	11																				
03/16/		8	150	76	280	<50																				
MW-2 06/15/		9	3	68	147	<1																				
09/21/		7	2	97	142	<1																				
01/04/		33	<5	115	53	<5			10.04	10.00	-0.00	-0.04	10.00	-0.04	10.10	-0.44	10.04	-0.40	-0.40	10.44	-0.40	4.00	0.00	0.55	-0.00	
11/23/	23/04	234	30.0 (V)	4.0 (I)	106	8,580 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04 NED/DESTR	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	4.88	3.99	6.55	<0.39	<0.14
07/12/	10/05	<0.19	2.2	<0.20	<0.50	2.8		616 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-2A 02/19/		<0.19 0.200 U	0.170 U	<0.20 0.190 U	<0.50 0.580 U		0.00605 U	. ,				<0.08 0.0231 U	<0.05 0.0231 U					<0.10 0.0231 U						<0.34 0.0463 U	<0.20 0.0473 (I)	0.0463 U
02/19/	19/10	0.200 0	0.170 0	0.190 0	0.300 0	0.170 0	0.00003 0	93.3 (0, 33)	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0231 0	0.0231.0	0.0231 0	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0231 0	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.02310	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0473 (1)	0.0403 0
03/06/	06/91	1,470	26,800	3,750	15,800	682																				
08/04/		8.8	57	1,300	2,900	<1.8																				
12/15/		30	<50	750	3,200	<250																				
03/16/		70	60	940	1,600	<250																				
MW-3 06/15/		13	40	200	1,055	9																				
09/21/		17	5	140	158	2																				
01/04/		19	22	384	204	6																				
11/23/		464	38.0 (V)	9.0 (I)	71.0	1,480 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	10.8	12.5	16.7	<0.39	<0.14
		-		()		,								NED/DESTR												
07/12/	12/05	<0.19	<0.20	<0.20	<0.50	19.8		202 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	< 0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-3A 02/19/		0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U		0.00619 U	()			0.0463 U			0.0231 U			0.0463 U				0.0231 U			0.0463 U	0.0619 (I)	0.0463 U
								. ,																	. /	
03/06/	06/91	343	9,950	2,450	13,300	<10																				
MW-4							· ·						ABANDON	NED/DESTR	OYED		·	·				·			·	·
07/12/	12/05	<0.19	<0.20	<0.20	<0.50	1.6		162 (I)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-4A 02/19/	19/18	0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.170 U	0.00614 U	93.5 (U, J3)	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0951	0.0463 U

Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Bureau of Waste Cleanup – Petroleum Cleanup Section

TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facil

Sam	ple												Laborator	ry Analysis	(µg/L)											
ID Number	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
GCT	ſLs	1	40	30	20	20	0.02	5,000	20	210	2,100	0.05	0.2	0.05	210	0.5	4.8	0.005	280	280	0.05	14	28	28	210	210
NAC	DCs	100	400	300	200	200	2	50,000	200	2,100	21,000	5	20	5	2,100	50	480	0.5	2,800	2,800	5	140	280	280	2,100	2,100
MW-5	03/06/91	18 <5																								
	07/12/05	0.20	0.60 (I)	0.70 (I)	0.70 (l)	1.3		232 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	0.18	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-5A	02/19/18	0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.170 U	0.00618 U	97.7 (I, J3)	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0614 (I)	0.0463 U
10100-37	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
	03/06/91	302	7.71	27.1	61.5	2,940																				
MW-6	11/23/04	320	82.0 (V)	6.0 (I)	76.0	308 (V)			< 0.31	< 0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	< 0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	5.40	1.94	3.84	<0.39	<0.14
				()			I						ABANDON	ED/DESTR	OYED										11	
	07/12/05	<0.19	<0.20	<0.20	<0.50	0.80 (I)		314 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-6A	02/19/18	0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.170 U	0.00612 U	94.3 (U, J3)	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0716 (I)	0.0463 U
	07/12/05	<0.19	<0.20	<0.20	<0.50	3.8		<100 (J4)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-6DA	02/19/18	0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.213 (I)	0.00616 U	278	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0240 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0860 (I)	0.0481 U
	03/06/91	1,300	1,050	49.8	158	3,080																				
MW-7	11/23/04	170 (V)	10.7 (V)	1.7 (V)	17.5 (V)	118 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	13.4	4.64	5.32	<0.39	<0.14
	07/12/05	-0.10	10.00	-0.00	-0.50	1.0		074 (14)	10, 10	10 10	-0.40	-0.00	1	ED/DESTR		-0.05	-0.00	-0.40	10.45	-0.14	-0.14	<0.00	-0.40	-0.54	10.00	10.10
	07/12/05	<0.19 0.200 U	<0.20 0.170 U	<0.20 0.190 U	<0.50 0.580 U	1.0 0.170 U	0.00618 U	274 (J4) 94.3 (U, J3)	<0.42	<0.19 0.0481 U	<0.46	<0.08 0.0240 U	<0.05 0.0240 U	<0.06 0.0240 U	<0.13	<0.05 0.0481 U	<0.09 0.0481 U	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14 0.0481 U	<0.14	<0.39 0.0481 U	<0.43 0.0481 U	<0.54 0.0481 U	<0.26 0.145	<0.16 0.0481 U
MW-7A	12/05/19	0.200 U	0.45 U	0.190 U	0.56 U	0.170 U	0.00018 0	94.3 (0, 33)	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0240 0	0.0240 0	0.0240 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0240 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0240 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.0401 0	0.145	0.0401 0
	12,00/10	0.20 0	0.40 0	0.200	0.00 0	0.410																				
	03/06/91	2,050	2,880	448	1,970	1,740																				
MW-8	11/23/04	296	1.9 (V)	0.9 (I, V)	9.7 (V)	111 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	19.5	10.8	13.8	<0.39	<0.14
				·	·	·	·		·	·		·	ABANDON	NED/DESTR	OYED		·		·	·		·	·	·	·	
	07/12/05	<0.19	0.30 (I)	<0.20	<0.50	1.0		108 (I)	<0.42	<0.19	<0.46	<0.08	<0.05	<0.06	<0.13	<0.05	<0.09	<0.16	<0.15	<0.14	<0.14	<0.39	<0.43	<0.54	<0.26	<0.16
MW-8A	02/19/18	0.200 U	0.170 U	0.190 U	0.580 U	0.170 U	0.00614 U	94.3 (U, J3)	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0240 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0240 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.0481 U	0.240	0.0481 U
	03/06/91	0.774	2.71	0.965	5.21	<0.5																				
MW-9	11/23/04	0.4 (I, V)	0.3 (I, V)	< 0.20	< 0.50	1.7 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	<0.70	<0.21	<0.30	<0.39	<0.14
		- (', ')	(-, -)			(-)	<u> </u>							NED/DESTR												
	05/21/18	1.00 U	0.850 U	0.950 U	2.90 U	0.850 U		111 (I)	0.145	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U				0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0527 (I)	0.0472 (I)	0.0231 U	0.297	0.114	0.0863 (I)	0.0463 U	0.0463 U
MW-9A	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U		.,											.,			1		.,		
				I		1			1								I		1	1		I				

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

Sam	ple												Laborato	ry Analysis	(µg/L)											
ID Number	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
GCT		1	40	30	20	20	0.02	5,000	20	210	2,100	0.05	0.2	0.05	210	0.5	4.8	0.005	280	280	0.05	14	28	28	210	210
NAD	Cs	100	400	300	200	200	2	50,000	200	2,100	21,000	5	20	5	2,100	50	480	0.5	2,800	2,800	5	140	280	280	2,100	2,100
	03/06/91	<0.5	0.718	56.3	102	433																				
MW-10	08/05/91	14	<10	<9.0	<9.0	33.0																				
-	11/23/04	48.0 (V)	0.7 (I, V)	3.3 (V)	4.8 (V)	68.9 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<0.14	<0.18	2.62	<0.21	<0.30	<0.39	<0.14
	05/21/18	1.00 U	0.850 U	0.950 U	2.90 U	0.850 U		93.5 U	0.212	0.0446 U	0.0446 U	0.0223 U	1			0.0446 U	0.0446 U	0.0223 U	0.0633 (I)	0.0446 U	0.0223 U	0.0446 U	0.0446 U	0.0446 U	0.0446 U	0.0446 U
	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U															0					
MW-10A	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
	00/00/04	.0.5	.0.5	.0.5	0.504	0.50																				
Г	03/06/91	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	0.564	< 0.56																				
-	08/05/91	< 0.60	<1.0	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.9																				
-	08/04/92	<1.2	<2.0	<1.8	<1.8	<1.2																				
	12/15/92	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	< 0.9	<0.6																				
MW-11	03/16/93	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	< 0.9	< 0.6																				
-	06/15/93	<1	<1	<1 <1	<1	<1																				
-	09/21/93	<1	<1	-	<1	<1																				
-	01/04/94	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1								NED/DESTR												
	05/21/18	1.00 U	0.850 U	0.950 U	2.90 U	1.08 (I)		97.0 (I)	0.0462.11	0.0463 U	0.0462.11	0.0221.11				0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0 0221 11	0.109	0.0462.11	0 0221 11	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.168	0.0535 (I)
-								97.0 (1)	0.0403 0	0.0403 0	0.0463 0	0.0231 0	0.0231 0	0.02310	0.0463 0	0.0463 0	0.0463 0	0.02310	0.109	0.0463 0	0.0231 0	0.0463 0	0.0403 0	0.0463 0	0.100	0.0555 (1)
MW-11A	12/05/19 04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.70 (I)																				
_	04/00/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
	03/06/91	<0.5	2.3	0.815	5.57	<0.5																				
MW-12								I		1	I		ABANDO	NED/DESTR	OYED	I	1	1	I			1	1	<u> </u>		1
	05/21/18	1.00 U	0.850 U	0.950 U	2.90 U	0.850 U		94.3 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0231 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U	0.0463 U
	12/05/19	0.43 (I)	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	3.0															_					
MW-12A	04/06/20	0.69 (I)	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	1.3																				
-	03/06/91	<0.5	0.822	<0.5	0.511	<0.5																				
	08/04/92	<1.2	3.6	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8																				
	12/15/92	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<5																				
MW-13	03/16/93	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<5																				
-	06/15/93	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1																				
	09/21/93	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1																				
	01/04/94	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1																				
													ABANDU	NED/DESTR												

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Laboratory Analysis (µg/L)

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

San	lible												Laborato	i y Allalysis	(µg/Ľ)					
ID Number	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	
GC	TLs	1	40	30	20	20	0.02	5,000	20	210	2,100	0.05	0.2	0.05	210	0.5	4.8	0.005	280	
NAI	DCs	100	400	300	200	200	2	50,000	200	2,100	21,000	5	20	5	2,100	50	480	0.5	2,800	2
MW-14	03/06/91	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5							ABANDO	NED/DESTR						
MW-15	03/06/91	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5														
		0.5			=				1			1	ABANDO	NED/DESTR	OYED					
	03/06/91	< 0.5	1.34	0.86	5.09	84.9														
	08/04/92 12/15/92	0.8 <0.6	<1.0 <1.0	<0.9 <0.9	<0.9 <0.9	15 11														
	03/16/93	1.7	<1.0	1.4	<0.9	11														
MW-16D	06/15/93	<1	<1.0	<1	<1	6														
	09/21/93	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1														-
	01/04/94	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1														
													ABANDO	NED/DESTR	OYED					
	03/06/91	4.1	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	330														
	08/04/92	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<10														
	12/15/92	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<5														
MW-17D	03/16/93	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<5														
	06/15/93	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1														
	09/21/93	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1														
	01/04/94	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1								NED/DESTR	OVED					
	08/05/91	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9							ABANDO							Т
MW-18	11/23/04) 0.30 (I, V)		0.50 (I, V)				< 0.31	< 0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	< 0.04	<0.16	<0.11	< 0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<
		(,,)			(, ,									NED/DESTR						4
MW-19	08/05/91	<0.6	<1.0	<0.9	<0.9	<0.9														
10100-19			·	·	* 	· · · · · ·			·	·	·	·	ABANDO	NED/DESTR	OYED	·	·	·	·	
	08/05/91	<6.0	<1	<0.90	<0.90	<0.90														
MW-20	11/23/04	5.80 (I, V)	0.30 (I, V)	0.20 (I, V)	0.90 (I, V)	92.5 (V)			<0.31	<0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<
	00/00/5												ABANDO	NED/DESTR	OYED					
	03/06/91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND														
	08/04/92	ND	ND 01	ND	ND	ND														
	12/15/92 03/16/93	<mark>150</mark> 100	94 120	120 230	250 530	<25 <26														
RW-2	03/16/93	100 130	120	230 140	360	<26 110														+
1.144-2	09/21/93	59	180	140	268	32														-
	01/04/94	92	93	110	200	48														+
	11/23/04	28.7 (V)	0.40 (I, V)			57.0 (V)			<0.31	< 0.30	<0.29	<0.04	<0.08	<0.04	<0.16	<0.11	<0.04	<0.19	<0.16	<
						••••		<u> </u>	2.0.	5.00		5.0.		NED/DESTR		1 2	5.0.		20	1
<u>ا</u>	l	I																		

Sample

Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
280	0.05	14	28	28	210	210
2,800	5	140	280	280	2,100	2,100
<0.14	<0.18	<0.70	<0.21	<0.30	<0.39	<0.14
	·					
<0.14	<0.18	<0.70	<0.21	<0.30	<0.39	<0.14
> 0.14	-v.10	NU.10	<u>>∪.∠</u> I	~U.JU	-0.08	<u><u>50.14</u></u>
<0.14	<0.18	1.64	0.56	<0.30	<0.39	<0.14
-0.14	-0.10	1.04	0.00	-0.00	-0.03	·U. 1 *1

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petroleum Cleanup Section TABLE 2: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

Sam	nple												Laborato	ry Analysis	(µg/L)											
ID Number	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
GCT	-	1	40	30	20	20	0.02	5,000	20	210	2,100	0.05	0.2	0.05	210	0.5	4.8	0.005	280	280	0.05	14	28	28	210	210
NAD	DCs	100	400	300	200	200	2	50,000	200	2,100	21,000	5	20	5	2,100	50	480	0.5	2,800	2,800	5	140	280	280	2,100	2,100
	07/29/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
MW-21	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U			0.15 U	0.16 U	0.14 U	0.047 U	0.14 U	0.048 U	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.13 U	0.092 U	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.043 U	0.18 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.15 U	0.14 U
	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
 '																										
l '	07/29/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
MW-22	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U			0.15 U	0.16 U	0.14 U	0.047 U	0.14 U	0.048 U	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.13 U	0.092 U	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.043 U	0.18 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.15 U	0.14 U
	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
 '	07/00//0	0.00.11	0.45.11																							
l '	07/29/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U			0.40(1)	0.40.11	0.4411	0.047.11	0.4411	0.040.11	0.40.11	0.40.11	0.40.11	0.000.11	0.00	0.45.11	0.040.11	0.40.11	0.40.11	0.40.11	0.45.11	0.4411
MW-23	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U			0.16 (I)	0.16 U	0.14 U	0.047 U	0.14 U	0.048 U	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.13 U	0.092 U	0.22	0.15 U	0.043 U	0.18 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.15 U	0.14 U
l '	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
 '	07/29/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
l '	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.20 U	0.56 U	0.41 U			0.16 U	0.16 U	0.14 U	0.048 U	0.14 U	0.049 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.13 U	0.093 U	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.044 U	0.19 U	0.20 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.14 U
MW-24	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.20 U	0.30 U	0.41 U			0.100	0.100	0.14 0	0.040 0	0.140	5.040 0	0.10 0	0.10 0	0.10 0	0.000 0	J. 14 U	0.100	5.044 0	0.10 0	0.200	0.10 0	0.100	0.140
l '	5-100/20	0.20 0	0.40 0	0.20 0	0.140	0.710																				
	07/29/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.41 U																				
	12/05/19	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.56 U	0.62 (I)			0.15 U	0.16 U	0.13 U	0.046 U	0.14 U	0.047 U	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.12 U	0.090 U	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.042 U	0.18 U	0.19 U	0.18 U	0.15 U	0.13 U
MW-25	04/06/20	0.20 U	0.45 U	0.26 U	0.74 U	0.41 U																				
l '																										

Notes: GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels per Chapter 62-777, Table I, Florida Administrative Code (FAC)

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations per Chapter 62-777, Table V, FAC

BOLD = GCTL Exceedance

BOLD and SHADED = NADC Exceedance

µg/L = micrograms per liter

MTBE = methyl tertiary butyl ether

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

ND = Not Detected


U = Analyzed for but not detected

J3/J4 = Estimated value; value may not be accurate. Spike recovery or RPD outside of criteria.


(I) = Reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit

V = Indicates that the analyte was detected at or above the method detection limit in both the sample and the associated method blank and the value of 10 times the blank value was equal to or greater than the associated sample value.


FIGURES



	LOCATION:			
		7-ELEVEN	STORE No.	34806
) 		TA SPRING	S. TAMIAMI S, LEE COUN TY ID No. 36	NTY, FLO
Ē	DESIGNED:	DRAWN:	PROJECT NO.:	
x	MU	SDJF	6310143	309



	<u>LEGENI</u>	<u>D:</u>		
۲	MONIT	ORING WELL	_ LOCATION	
(8.64)	GROUN	IDWATER E	LEVATION (FE	ET)
<u> </u>			LEVATION CON INFERRED)	ITOUR
->		RETED GROUN	OUNDWATER	
*	DATA CONTO		TO DETERMIN	E
OFFICE:	DATE:		ACAD FILE:	309B2
	4-			
GRU	-	NTOUR N	LEVATION	I
		RIL 6, 20)20	
		DEPARTME	INT OF	PM:
	RONMEI	NTAL PRC	TECTION	MU
LOCATION: 7-	ELEVEN	STORE N	No. 34806	
			11 TRAIL DUNTY, FLOR	
FDEP	FACILI	TY ID No.	36/894411	1
DESIGNED: DRA		PROJECT NO		FIGURE:
MU	SDJF	6310	14309	2



LEGEND:

	۲	
Ν	1W-1A	
4	-6-20	
В	0.20	U
Т	0.45	U
Е	0.26	U
Х	0.56	U
М	0.41	U

MONITORING WELL LOCATION

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE OF SAMPLE BENZENE CONCENTRATION (ug/L) TOLUENE CONCENTRATION (ug/L) ETHYLBENZENE CONCENTRATION (ug/L) TOTAL XYLENES CONCENTRATION (ug/L) MTBE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)

-21	
-20	
.20	U
.45	U
.26	U
.56	U
.41	U

	OFFICE: TAMPA	DATE: 4-	-16-20	ACAD FILE:	4309	9B3
	G		VATER E NTRATIO RIL 6, 20	ON MAP	BE	
	CLIENT: E	FLORIDA [NVIRONME]			РМ	: MU
; + ;			S. TAMIAN S, LEE CO	DUNTY, FL	ORID,	Ą
= ×	DESIGNED: MU	DRAWN: SDJF	PROJECT NO 631C	D.: 14309	FIG	URE: 3



APTIM 725 U.S. Highway 301 South Tampa, Florida 33619

March 22, 2023

Mr. Keith Kleinmann Lee County Division of Natural Resources P.O. Box 398 Fort Myers, Florida 33902

Re: Closure Report 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 28175 S. Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida 34134 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

Dear Mr. Kleinmann,

Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC (APTIM), on behalf of 7-Eleven, Inc. (7-Eleven), hereby submits this Closure Report for the 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 located at 28175 S. Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida.

In February 2023, the overspill buckets (OSB) at two (2) 15,000-gallon capacity regular unleaded underground storage tanks (UST) and the OSB at one (1) 20,000-gallon capacity premium unleaded/vehicular diesel compartmented UST were removed and replaced by Techniflow, Inc. (Techniflow) at the above referenced facility. Please note the OSB for the vehicular diesel was not replaced. The replacement of the OSBs were completed as part of facility upgrades.

A Site Map depicting the location of the current USTs, approximate property boundaries, and other pertinent site features is included as **Figure 1**. A copy of the Underground Storage System Installation and Removal Form for Certified Contractors is provided in **Attachment A**.

Overspill Bucket Closure Sampling

On February 7, 2023, APTIM collected a total of forty-eight (48) soil samples at the OSB excavations of two (2) 15,000-gallon capacity regular unleaded USTs and the OSB at one (1) 20,000-gallon capacity premium unleaded/vehicular diesel compartmented UST. Soil samples were collected at 1-foot intervals to 4 feet below land surface. Eight-ounce grab soil samples were collected, placed in clean 16-ounce jars, and allowed to equilibrate for approximately five minutes. Following equilibration, the headspace in each glass jar was screened for the presence of organic vapors using an RKI GX-6000 Organic Vapor Analyzer equipped with a Photoionization Detector (OVA/PID) in accordance with Rule 62-780.200(17), Florida Administrative Code. The OVA/PID was calibrated on-site to 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene and zero gas sources. OVA/PID readings ranged from 1.6 to 346.1 ppm. The OVA/PID readings from the February 7, 2023, OSB closure sampling activities are provided in **Table 1** and are illustrated on **Figure 2**.

March 22, 2023 Ms. Keith Kleinmann Page 2

On February 7, 2023, APTIM collected three (3) soil samples [OSB-1N (1-2'), OSB-2S (0-1'), and OSB-3W (3-4')] from the interval with the highest OVA/PID reading at each OSB excavation. The soil samples were submitted to Pace Analytical Services, LLC (Pace) in Ormond Beach, Florida (Florida Department of Health No. E83079), for analysis using the United States Environmental Protection Agency Methods 8260B for Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes, including Methyl-tert-butyl-ether, 8270D for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, and for Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons using the Florida Petroleum Residual Organics Method.

A review of the laboratory analytical report indicates contaminant concentrations in soil sample OSB-1N (1-2') above the Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs) for Leachability Based on Groundwater Criteria, but below the SCTL for Direct Exposure Residential and the SCTL for Direct Exposure Commercial/Industrial. Groundwater concentrations were reported below the SCTLs for Leachability Based on Groundwater Criteria and Direct Exposure Residential in all other soil samples analyzed.

On February 15, 2023, APTIM requested Pace perform the Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) on sample OSB-1N (1-2'). A review of the laboratory analytical report indicates contaminant concentrations above Groundwater Cleanup Target Level (GTCL), but below the Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs) in soil sample OSB—1N (1-2'), indicating the contaminant does possess the potential to leach into the groundwater.

A Soil Data Summary is provided in **Table 1** and is illustrated on **Figure 3**. A SPLP Results Summary is provided in **Table 2** and is illustrated on **Figure 4**. Copies of the OVA Calibration Sheet, Soil and SPLP Laboratory Analytical Report, Soil and SPLP Quality Assurance/Quality Control Report, and Soil and SPLP Chain-of-Custody Documentation are provided in **Attachment B**.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In February 2023, the OSBs at two (2) 15,000-gallon capacity regular unleaded USTs and the OSB at one (1) 20,000-gallon capacity premium unleaded/vehicular diesel compartmented UST were replaced by Techniflow as part of facility upgrade activities. The vehicular diesel OSB was not replaced during these activities.

APTIM collected a total of three (3) samples for laboratory analysis. Contaminant concentrations were reported above the SCTLs for Leachability Based on Groundwater Criteria but below the SCTL for Direct Exposure Residential at OSB-1N (1-2'). Additionally, contaminant concentrations at OSB-1N (1-2') were reported above the GCTL but below the NADC for soil samples analyzed with the SPLP. Based on the results of the closure sampling activities, 7-Eleven submitted a Discharge Report Form (DRF) to the FDEP on February 16, 2023. A copy of the DRF is provided in **Attachment C**.

March 22, 2023 Ms. Keith Kleinmann Page 3

APTIM appreciates Lee County's assistance with this matter. In the event revisions or clarifications are necessary, please contact Brett Bohentin at (813) 612-3609 or <u>brett.bohentin@aptim.com</u>.

Sincerely, APTIM ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC

An

Jonathan Reagan Project Scientist

 Attachments

 Tables

 Figures

 Attachment A – Underground Storage System Installation and Removal Form for Certified Contractors

 Attachment B – OVA Calibration Sheet, Soil and SPLP Analytical Report, Soil and SPLP Quality Assurance/Quality Control Report, and Soil and SPLP

 Chain-of-Custody Documentation

 Attachment C – Discharge Report Form

cc: Ms. Samantha Kramer, 7-Eleven, Inc. (Irving, TX) Ms. Monika Ugrinska, APTIM (Dallas, TX) TABLES

TABLE 1: SOIL DATA SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

		-				0							Eleven Sto				-				. (
	<u> </u>	Sam	iple			OVA S	creening R	esults		1 1		1	1	<u> </u>					Laborate	ory Analysi	s (mg/kg)			r		<u>г</u>						<u> </u>	
ID Number	Date	Approx. Depth to Water (feet)	Sample Direction	Sample Interval (ft bls)	Total Reading (ppm)	Carbon Filtered (ppm)	Net Reading (ppm)	Sample ID No. Laboratory ID in BOLD	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	ТКРН	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene	Fluorene	Fluoranthene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Pyrene	Phenanthrene	Benzo(a)pyrene Equivalent	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(a) anthracene	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene
					ncy Factor				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.001	1	0.1
					Commercia sure Resid		al		1.7 1.2	60,000 7,500	9,200 1,500	700 130	24,000 4,400	2,700 460	20,000 2,400		300,000 21,000	52,000 2,500	33,000 2,600	59,000 3,200	300 55	1,800 200	2,100 210	45,000 2,400	36,000 2,200	0.7	0.7 0.1	*	•	*	*	*	•
					on Ground		teria		0.007	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.09				2,500						8.5		250			0.8	2.4	24	77	1	7
								1					I	Over	spill Bucke	t Sampling								1									
				0-1	186.2	-	186.2																										
			North	1-2	218.1	-	218.1	OSB-1N (1-2')	0.046	0.86	0.62	5.1	0.0015 I	154	0.018 U	0.0060 U	0.0052 U	0.0097 U	0.014 I	0.012 U	0.38	0.30	0.50	0.0051 U	0.0092 I	-	0.0095 U	0.0051 U	0.010 U	0.010 U	0.0051 U 0	.0089 U ().0087 U
			Horan	2-3	209.6	-	209.6																										
				3-4	194.4	-	194.4																										
				0-1	20.7	-	20.7																										
			South	1-2	29.8	-	29.8																										
			South	2-3	27.9	-	27.9																										
				3-4	14.8	-	14.8																										
OSB-1	02/07/23	N/A		0-1	2.6	-	2.6																										
				1-2	36.1	-	36.1																										
			East	2-3	55.5	-	55.5																										
				3-4	46.8	-	46.8																										
				0-1	28.1	-	28.1																									-	
				1-2	30.5	-	30.5												-														
			West	2-3	42.1	-	42.1																										
				3-4	35.9	-	35.9												-														
				0-1	78.2	-	78.2																									-	
				1-2	294.3	-	294.3																										
			North	2-3	153.7	-	153.7												-														
				3-4	126.9		126.9																										
				0-1	346.1	-	346.1	OSB-2S (0-1')	0.0021 I	0.0023 I	0.00095 U	0.058	0.0012 U	59.7	0.018 U	0.0059 U	0.0051 U	0.0094 U	0.013 U	0.018 I	0.015 I	0.021 I	0.010 I	0.0050 U	0.0053 U	0.0	0.0094 I	0.0050 U	0.012 I	0.010 U	0.009310	.0086 U ().0085 U
			_	1-2	20.2	-	20.2																										
			South	2-3	56.6	-	56.6																										
				3-4	57.9	-	57.9																										
OSB-2	02/07/23	N/A		0-1	15.9	-	15.9		1	1																							
				1-2	101.9	-	101.9																									\rightarrow	
			East	2-3	123.8	-	123.8																										
				3-4	137.7	-	137.7																										
				0-1	1.6	-	1.6		1	1																							
				1-2	74.5	-	74.5																									\rightarrow	
			West	2-3	77.7	-	77.7																									-+	
				3-4	80.5		80.5		1																								
1	1		1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1							1			1	1	1	1				1			

TABLE 1: SOIL DATA SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/8944111

		Sam	ple			OVA Se	creening R	esults											Laborate	ory Analys	is (mg/kg)												
ID Number	Date	Approx. Depth to Water (feet)	Sample Direction	Sample Interval (ft bis)	Total Reading (ppm)	Carbon Filtered (ppm)	Net Reading (ppm)	Sample ID No. Laboratory ID in BOLD	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	ткрн	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene	Fluorene	Fluoranthene	Naphthalene	1-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl- naphthalene	Pyrene	Phenanthrene	Benzo(a)pyrene Equivalent	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(a) anthracene	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene
					ncy Factor		1		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.001	1	0.1
					commercia sure Resid		al		1.7 1.2	60,000 7,500	9,200 1,500	700 130	24,000 4,400	2,700 460	20,000 2,400	20,000	300,000 21,000	52,000 2,500	33,000 2,600	59,000 3,200	300 55	1,800 200	2,100 210	45,000 2,400	36,000 2,200	0.7	0.7	*	•	•		*	•
					on Ground		teria		0.007	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.09	340	2,400	27		32,000	160	1,200	1.2	3.1	8.5	880	250	8	8	0.8	2.4	24	77	1	7
														Overs	spill Bucke	t Sampling)																
				0-1	46.4	-	46.4																										
				1-2	85.7	-	85.7																										
			North	2-3	94.9	-	94.9																										
				3-4	87.8	-	87.8																										
				0-1	22.5	-	22.5																										
				1-2	35.1	-	35.1																										
			South	2-3	28.0	-	28.0																										
000 0	0.07.00			3-4	15.4	-	15.4																										
OSB-3 0:	02/07/23	N/A		0-1	25.8	-	25.8																										
			Frid	1-2	78.6	-	78.6																										
			East	2-3	44.5	-	44.5																										
				3-4	31.8	-	31.8																										
				0-1	6.2	-	6.2																										
			West	1-2	9.7	-	9.7																										
			west	2-3	15.3	-	15.3																										
				3-4	120.1	-	120.1	OSB-3W (3-4')	0.00075 U	0.00061 U	0.00090 U	0.0039 U	0.0011 U	45.0	0.017 U	0.0057 U	0.0050 U	0.022	0.013 U	0.014 I	0.036 I	0.046	0.029 I	0.016 I	0.0063 I	0.0	0.017 I	0.0048 U	0.024 I	0.010 I	0.012 I	0.0084 U	0.016 I
				ls per Chap	oter 62-777,	, Table II,	Florida Adm	ninistrative Code		I									I			I		I	1								
		below land s igrams per k																															
BC	OLD = SC	TL for Leach	hability Bas	ed on Grou	undwater Cr	riteria exce	eedance																										
		thyl tertiary b d for but not																															
		a for but not between m		ction limit a	nd the prac	tical quan	titation limit																										
		per million				•																											
		al recoverabl																															
		data or not a					1 The short of the	Durant Dural		Transferret																							
* =								Report: Developme e reporting limit is lis		rarget Levels (UILS)																						
	,	.o. onapier	<u></u> -///,/		anaryto wa	0.101.0010		o roporang minit is its																									

Florida Department of Environmental Protection -- Bureau of Waste Cleanup -- Petreleum Cleanup Section

TABLE 2: SYNTHETIC PRECIPITATION LEACHING PROCEDURE RESULTS SUMMARY

Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806 FDEP Facility ID No. 36/89441111

Sampl	le			Analysis (ug/	L)	
ID Number	Date	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	МТВЕ	Toluene	Total Xyler
GCTL	s	1	30	20	30	20
NADC	S	100	300	200	300	200
OSB-1N (1-2')	02/07/23	1.2	10.2	1.2 U	16.6	90.1

Notes:

es: GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels per Chapter 62-777, Table I, Florida Administrative Code (FAC)

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations per Chapter 62-777, Table V, FAC

µg/L = micrograms per liter


BOLD = GCTL Exceedance

BOLD and shaded = NADC Exceedance


"-" - Not Analyzed

U = Analyzed for but not detected

/lenes) 1_____ **FIGURES**



			L	EGEND:	
				PPROXIMATE PRO OUNDARY	PERTY
		— — F0 — — –	- F	BER OPTIC LINE	
			w	ATER LINE	
		-	E	LECTRIC LINE	
		\$	s	ANITARY SEWER	LINE
1	OFFICE:	DATE:		ACAD FILE:	8384B1
	TAMPA	3-	-22-2	23	000401
		ę	SITE	MAP	
	CLIENT:	7–El	_EVEN	I, INC.	PM: BPB
	LOCATION:				
				RE No. 34806	
;	BONI	TA SPRING	S, LE	MIAMI TRAIL E COUNTY, FLC	RIDA
				No. 36/86441	
	DESIGNED: JR	DRAWN: SDJF		CT NO.: 631028384	FIGURE:
	0		1		I I



LEGEND:


SAMPLE LOCATION

OSB-1				
NORTH				
2-7-23				
0-2' 0.0				

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

DATE OF SAMPLE DEPTH OF SAMPLE (FT)/ NET OVA READING (ppm)

	OFFICE: TAMPA	DATE: 3-	-16-23	ACAD FILE:	8384B2	
	-					
	CLIENT: 7-ELEVEN, INC. BPB					
LOCATION: 7-FLEVEN STORE No. 34806						
28175 S. TAMIAMI TRAIL C BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA H FDEP FACILITY ID No. 36/8644111						
E	DESIGNED:	DRAWN:	PROJECT NO	D.:	FIGURE:	
x	JR	SDJF	6310	28384	2	



	、 、
LEGENL):

SAMPLE LOCATION

U

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE OF SAMPLE DEPTH OF SAMPLE (FEET) BENZENE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) TOLUENE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) ETHYLBENZENE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) TOTAL XYLENES CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) MTBE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) TRPH CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) NAPHTHALENE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg) 1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE CONC. (mg/kg) 2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE CONC. (mg/kg) BENZO(A)PYRENE EQUIV. CONC. (mg/kg)


BOLD INDICATES GCTLs EXCEEDED

ANALYTE BELOW METHOD DETECTION LIMIT

	RESULT IS
(1)	EQUAL TO
(\mathbf{r})	LIMIT (MD
	REPORTIN

RESULT IS GREATER THAN OR	
EQUAL TO METHOD DETECTION	
LIMIT (MDL) BUT LESS THAN THE	
REPORTING LIMIT (RL)	

	OFFICE: TAMPA	DATE: 3-	-16-23	ACAD FILE:	8384B3		
	SOIL ANALYTICAL MAP - OVERSPILL BUCKETS FEBRUARY 7, 2023						
	CLIENT: PM: 7-ELEVEN, INC. BPB						
	LOCATION: 7-ELEVEN STORE No. 34806						
С Н 9	28175 S. TAMIAMI TRAIL						
E			PROJECT NO		FIGURE:		
X	JR	SDJF	6310	28384	3		



APTIM ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC 725 U.S. HIGHWAY 30I SOUTH TAMPA, FLORIDA 33619 (813) 612-3600 OFFICE (813) 626-1663 FAX JR

	•	SAMPLE LOCATION		
	-1N(1-2') 2-7-23 1.2 16.6 10.2 90.1 1.2 U	SAMPLE IDENTIFICA DATE OF SAMPLE BENZENE CONCENT TOLUENE CONCENT ETHYLBENZENE CO TOTAL XYLENES CO MTBE CONCENTRAT	RATION (ug/L RATION (ug/L) NCENTRATION ONCENTRATION) (ug/L)
	90.1	BOLD INDICATES G	CTLs EXCEEDE	D
	U	ANALYTE BELOW M DETECTION LIMIT	IETHOD	
	OFFICE:	DATE: 00 07	ACAD FILE: 8	384B4
	TAMPA	3-22-23		J04D4
	D	OVERSPILL BU FEBRUARY 7	JCKETS	
	CLIENT:	7-ELEVEN, IN	IC.	РМ: BPB
	LOCATION: 7	-ELEVEN STORE	No. 34806	
LC TH	BONITA	28175 S. TAMIAI SPRINGS, LEE C P FACILITY ID No	MI TRAIL OUNTY, FLOR	

LEGEND:

FDEP FACILITY ID No. 36/8644111DESIGNED:DRAWN:PROJECT NO.:FIGURE:JRSDJF6310283844

ATTACHMENT A

Underground Storage System Installation and Removal Form for Certified Contractors



Department of Environmental Protection

2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

DEP Form: <u>62-761.900(S)</u> Form Title: <u>Certified Contractors Form</u> Effective Date: <u>July 2019</u> Incorporated in Rule <u>62-761.400, F.A.C.</u>

Underground Storage System Installation and Removal Form for Certified Contractors

Pollutant Storage Systems Contractor as defined in Section 489.105, Florida Statutes [Certified Contractor as defined in Rule 62-761.200, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)] shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal of the underground storage tank system(s) located at the address listed below was performed in accordance with the Reference Guidelines in 62-761.210, F.A.C. This includes system components in contact with soil, such as dispenser sumps, piping sumps, and spill containment systems.

General Facility Information

Facility Name: 7/11	DEP Facility ID Number: 34806
Street Address (physical location): 28175 Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs	
County: Lee	Facility Telephone:
Owner Name:	Owner Telephone:
Owner Address:	

Storage Tank System Information

Number of Tanks Installed:	Number of Tanks Removed:
Date Work Initiated:	Date Work Completed:
Tank(s) Manufactured by:	
Description of Work Completed	

Removed single wall over spill buckets and installed double wall OPW over spill buckets and filled sumps with 89 rock

Certification

I hereby certify and attest that I am familiar with the facility that is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection; that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the storage tank system installation, replacement or removal at this facility was conducted in accordance with Chapter 489, Florida Statutes, Section 376.303, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 62-761, F.A.C., and its adopted reference requirements and documents for underground storage tank systems.

(Type or Print)

Techniflow,Inc	PCC1256945	
Certified Pollutant Storage System Contractor Name	PSSC Number (Pollutant Storage System Contractor License #)	
Certified Pollutant Storage System Contractor Signature	2/15/2023 Date	
Johnny Jackson	2/15/2023	
Field Supervisor's Name	Date	

The owner or operator of the facility must register a new storage tank system with the Department and submit to tankregistration@floridadep.gov, prior to installation, see Rule 62-761.400, F.A.C. The installer must submit this form to the County* no more than 21 days after the completion of installation, replacement, or 21 days after removal of a storage tank system and system components in accordance with Rule 62-761.400, F.A.C.

*County means a locolly odministered governmentol progrom under controct with the Deportment to perform complionce verificotion octivities at facilities with storage tank systems.

ATTACHMENT C

Discharge Report Form



Department of Environmental Protection

2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

DEP Form: <u>62-761.900(1)</u> Form Title: <u>Discharge Report Form</u> Effective Date: <u>January 2017</u> Incorporated in Rule <u>62-761.405, F.A.C.</u>

DISCHARGE REPORT FORM

Complete all applicable blanks, and submit copies of any analytical or field test results confirming contamination to soils, surface water, or groundwater to the County via email or mail.

Facility ID Number (If Registered): 36/8944111	Date of Form Completion: 2/16/202	23	Date of Discovery: 2/15/2023
Facility Name: 7-Eleven Store No. 34806		County: Lee	
Facility (Property) Owner: 7-Eleven, Inc.		Telephone Numb	er: N/A
Owner Mailing Address: 3200 Hackberry Road, Irving, Texas 7	5063		
Location of Discharge (Facility Street Address): 28175 S. Tamia	imi Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County, Flo	rida 33923-3204	Lat/Long: 26:19:40/81:48:18
Date of receipt of any test or analytical results confirming a dis	charge: 2/15/2023	Estimated numbe	er of gallons discharged: Unknown
Discharge affected: (Check all that apply) Soil Drinking water well(s) Groundwater	Soil water (water b Other (specify)	ody name)	
	ceipt of results of analytical tests le overfill > 25 gallons to a pervious surf	ace Other	d soils (explain in comments)
Method of discovery and confirmation of discharge: (Check a Visual observation Closure/Clos Groundwater analytical results Soil analytical	ure sampling assessment	Surfac	or this form) e water analytical results (specify)
Type of regulated substance discharged: (Check all that apply Gasoline Jet fuel Diesel Used/waste Heating oil New motor/ Kerosene Pesticide Aviation gas Grade 5 & 6 Hazardous substance (USTs) – write name or Chemical All	oil lube oil residual oils	Ammo Biofue Unkno	al acids (ASTs) onia compound Chlorine compound el blends own (specify)
Piping Fitting or pip Spill bucket Valve Dispenser Tank truck	dary containment be connection ustomer vehicle	Barge, Pipelir Drum Unkno	
Cause of the discharge: (Check all that apply) Spill Material failure (crack, in the constraint of the constrain		ent 🗖 H	Weather Human error Jnknown Dther (specify)
APTIM, on behalf of 7-Eleven, Inc., will conduct a site assessm	ent in accordance with Chapter 62-780,	Florida Administrativ	ve Code.
Comments:			
APTIM, on behalf of 7-Eleven, Inc., will conduct a site assessm	ent in accordance with Chapter 62-780,	Florida Administrati	ve Code.
Agencies notified (as applicable):			
Fire Department County Program	District Office Southwe	st State Wa 800-32	tch Office National Response Center 0-0519 800-424-8802
To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information subn	nitted on this form is true, accurate and	complete.	
Jaime Peña, on behalf of 7-Eleven, Inc.	Pena, Ja	aime L	Digitally signed by Pena, Jaime L Date: 2023.02.16 15:09:54 -05'00'
Printed Name of Owner, Operator or Authorized Representation	ve Signature of C	Owner, Operator or A	Authorized Representative

Wimberly, Evan

From:	Jordan, Jessica L <jessica.jordan@aptim.com></jessica.jordan@aptim.com>
Sent:	Monday, August 28, 2023 2:27 PM
То:	Wimberly, Evan
Cc:	Bohentin, Brett P
Subject:	7-Eleven Store No. 34806 (36/8944111)_Field Work Notification

EXTERNAL MESSAGE

This email originated outside of DEP. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Good afternoon,

Fieldwork at 7-Eleven Store No. 34806, located at 28175 S Tamiami Trail in Bonita Springs, has been scheduled for this next month.

We will be collecting groundwater samples from all six wells on Wednesday, September 6th. The FAC ID No. is 36/8944111.

Thank you,

JESSICA JORDAN, CHMM, REM Scientist IV

APTIM | ENVIRONMENT & SUSTAINABILITY

O 813-612-3651 M 813-367-7390 E jessica.jordan@aptim.com



SITE 4 – BONITA SPRINGS CENTRAL OFF / CELLULAR TOWER



5/4/22

David Bloome Level 3 Communications E-mail -David.bloome@lumen.com

RE: **In Compliance**

Facility ID: 9802560, 9800888, 9602086 County: LEE Inspection Date:03/24/2022 Facility Type: C - Fuel user/Non-retail Facility Name: LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS LLC Lee, Charlotte & Desoto County - Storage Tanks Program

Dear Mr. Bloome:

A storage tanks inspection and file review were conducted at the above noted facility by the Lee, Charlotte & Desoto County Storage Tanks Program, on behalf of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Based on the information provided during and following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance with the Department's storage tank rules and regulations. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Keith Kleinmann at (239) 822-6399 or at Kkleinmann@leegov.com.

Sincerely,

Keith Kleinmann Environmental Specialist, SR.

John E. Manning District One

Brian Hamman **District Four**

Donna Marie Collins Hearing Examiner

Cecil L Pendergrass District Two

Frank Mann

District Five

Larry Kiker **District Three**

Roger Desjarlais County Manager

Richard Wm. Wesch Couunty Attorney

SITE 5 – SPRING FRESH DRY CLEANERS

Contamination Screening Evaluation Report



May 23, 2016

Charles A. Masella FDEP South District CAP TK/SW/WC 239-344-5667 Charles.Masella@dep.state.fl.us

RE: Groundwater Sampling Letter Report/Site Rehabilitation Completion Report (SRCR) 8951 Bonita Beach Road Bonita Springs, Florida 33923 FDEP Tracking: COM_50410 (Waste Cleanup) EBI Project #1215000117

Dear Mr. Masella:

EBI Consulting (EBI) on behalf the current site owner is pleased to submit our *Groundwater Sampling Letter Report* (*Report*)/ Site Rehabilitation Completion Report (SRCR) requests for the property identified located at 8951 Bonita Beach Road in Bonita Springs, Florida (the Subject Property).

BACKGROUND

Spring Fresh Drycleaners was formerly located in Unit 210 of the northwest building from approximately the 1980s until the 1990s. Previous investigations at the Subject Property identified impact groundwater in the vicinity of the former dry cleaner. Mr. Steve Folsom of HAS Engineers & Scientists stated that the contamination appears to be confined primarily to the former drycleaners space and the direction of groundwater is to the north. The Subject Property was enrolled in the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). Initial remediation activities began in May 1999 and the FDEP approved the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) in June 1999.

The RAP consisted of a two –phase approach to remediation. Phase I concentrated on source removal in the unsaturated soils beneath the former drycleaner and in the rear of the building. This was accomplished by using dual-phase extraction (liquid ring pump) that created a high vacuum beneath the building slab and asphalt behind the building, and removing contamination soil vapor as well as groundwater in the vicinity. Phase II focused on treating groundwater contamination composed of cDCE using biosparging to accelerated natural attenuation. A groundwater recovery system was also included in Phase II to control movement of oxygenated groundwater. In June 2000, HAS submitted a report amending the RAP to include lactate injection. The Remediation Performance report dated November 27, 2002 recommended installation of an additional recovery well (RW-I) nearer the recognized source (back door of the former facility) to further reduce remediation time.

From reviewing the October 2003, December 2003, and March 2004 quarterly monitoring session reports, it appears that many of the contaminants levels have decreased over time. HAS proposed using alternative cleanup levels based on poor water quality in the general area of the Subject Property. Based on a letter dated January 2, 2004, FDEP approved the use of the alternative cleanup levels but indicated that an institutional control, such as a deed restriction, would be required to achieve closure if the alternative levels were utilized. As of March of 2006, the site has reached closure and no further remediation was required provided deed restrictions or engineering controls were implemented.

ENVIROBUSINESS, INC. LOCATIONS | ATLANTA, GA | BALTIMORE, MD | BURLINGTON, MA | CHICAGO, IL DALLAS, TX | DENVER, CO | HOUSTON, TX | LOS ANGELES, CA | MAHWAH, NJ | NEW YORK, NY PHOENIX, AZ | PORTLAND, OR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | SEATTLE, WA | YORK, PA

FIELD ACTIVITIES

In order to establish current groundwater conditions, the monitoring wells were inspected and sampled. On May 29, 2015, EBI conducted a well search at the Subject Property. A total of 10 monitoring wells were identified onsite. Each of the wells were inspected to determine the integrity of the well. Two of the monitoring wells could not be opened because the manhole covers were concreted on and could not be removed. The monitoring wells well locations are presented in Figure 1, attached. The table below summarizes the identified monitoring wells.

WELL ID #	D ΕΡΤΗ ΤΟ	WELL DEPTH	WELL DIAMETER	Notes
	WATER (FEET)	(FEET)	(INCHES)	
MW-I	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	COULD NOT OPEN
MW-3	4.30	11.90		GOOD CONDITION
MW-4	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	COULD NOT OPEN
MW-7	4.19	12	2	GOOD CONDITION
MW-8	2.55	12	2	Well COVER BROKEN
MW-9	4.07	8.2	2	Partially Filled
				with Sediment
MW-10	2.61	12.8	2	GOOD CONDITION
CW-I	5.88	28.5		GOOD CONDITION
CW-2	5.70	39.35		GOOD CONDITION
DW-I	5.10	37.9	2	GOOD CONDITION

SUMMARY OF MONITORING WELL DETAILS

Note: bgs = below ground surface

Groundwater samples were collected from existing monitoring wells using a peristaltic pump and disposable tubing.

Prior to the collection of groundwater samples, each well was purged of three to five boring volumes of groundwater and the pH, specific conductance, and temperature, and dissolved oxygen (DO) of the groundwater was recorded approximately every one-half boring volume. Well purging continued until a minimum of three well volumes was purged and measurements of field parameters varied by less than 10% between consecutive readings. EBI recorded the field data collected during groundwater sampling onto Groundwater Sampling Records that are presented in Appendix B.

The groundwater samples were collected in clean laboratory-provided containers. Samples collected for VOC analysis were preserved with hydrochloric acid to a pH less than 2. Each sample was labeled/logged onto a chainof-custody form, and placed in a cooler with ice for preservation in accordance with current Federal EPA SW-846 (3rd ed.). After collection, the samples were submitted to an independent qualified laboratory Accutest for analyses. The samples were analyzed for VOC analysis via EPA Method 8260.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The groundwater samples were analyzed for VOC analysis via EPA Method 8260. The following table presents only the contaminants identified above the laboratory method detection limits:

Table I		Groundwater Results								
Project:		895 I Bonita Beach Rd, Bonita Springs, FL								
Project Number:		1215000117								
	Legend: Hit Exceed								Exceed	
Client Sample ID:			CM-I	CW-2	DMW-2	MW-10	MW-3	MW-7	MW-8	MW-9
Lab Sample ID:		FDEP Groundwater	FA24850- 4	FA24850- 5	FA24850- 8	FA24850- I	FA24850- 3	FA24850- 7	FA24850- 2	FA24850- 6
Date Sampled:		Criteria	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/1/2015	6/1/2015	6/2/2015	6/1/2015	6/2/2015
Matrix:			Ground Water							
				V	OCs					
Bromodichloromethane	ug/l	0.6	ND	0.67 I						
Chloroform	ug/l	70	ND	3						
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	70	8.2	6	9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	100	5.1	2.1	7.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.34 I	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ug/l	I	1.1	4.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes: All results are shown in micrograms per liter ug/L ND = Non-detected above laboratory detection limits

The analytical results of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of bromodichloromethane and vinyl chloride above the FDEP Groundwater Criteria.

Laboratory groundwater analytical results and complete laboratory data sheets and chain-of-custody documentation are attached along with the groundwater sampling field data sheets.

ADDITIONAL REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES AND GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS

EBI returned to the Subject Property on December 3 and 4, 2015 to complete a round of groundwater extraction from wells CW-1, CW-2 and MW-9. Approximately 165 gallons were purged from MW-9, 55 gallons from CW-2 and 275 gallons from CW-1. Recovery was low from well CW-2 (deep well) so additional groundwater was purged from CW-1.

Groundwater samples were collected from all of the onsite monitoring wells on December 10, 2015. The groundwater samples were analyzed for VOC analysis via EPA Method 8260. The following table presents only the contaminants identified above the laboratory method detection limits:

Table 2	Grou	indwater Results								
								Legend:	Hit	Exceed
Client Sample ID:		FDEP Groundwater	CW-I	CW-2	DMW-2	MW-10	MW-3	MW-7	MW-8	MW-9
Lab Sample ID:		Criteria	FA29984- 4	FA29984- 5	FA29984- 8	FA29984- I	FA29984- 3	FA29984- 7	FA29984- 2	FA29984- 6
Date Sampled:			12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015	12/10/2015
Matrix:			Ground Water							
VOCs										
cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene	ug/l	70	5	5.5	8.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	ug/l	100	3	2.3	8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/l	63	8.1	7.7	16.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ug/l	I	ND	1.6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes: All results are shown in micrograms per liter ug/L

ND = Non-detected above laboratory detection limits

The analytical results of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of vinyl chloride above the FDEP Groundwater Criteria in monitoring well CW-2.

EBI returned to the Subject Property on March 10, 2016 to complete a round of groundwater sampling. Groundwater samples were collected from all of the onsite monitoring wells on December 10, 2015. The groundwater samples were analyzed for VOC analysis via EPA Method 8260. The following table presents only the contaminants identified above the laboratory method detection limits:

Table 3	Grou	Indwater								
								Legend:	Hit	Exceed
Client Sample ID:			MW-10	MW-8	MW-3	CW-2	CW-I	MW-7	MW-9	DMW-2
Lab Sample ID:		FDEP Groundwater	FA32152- I	FA32152- 2	FA32152- 3	FA32152- 4	FA32152- 5	FA32152- 6	FA32152- 7	FA32152-8
Date Sampled:		Criteria	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016	3/10/2016
Matrix:			Ground Water							
VOCs				•			•		•	
cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene	ug/l	70	ND	ND	ND	4.4	4.8	ND	ND	5.8
trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	ug/l	100	ND	ND	ND	1.6	3	ND	ND	6
I,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/l	63	ND	ND	ND	6	7.9	ND	ND	11.8
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.33 I	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ug/l	I	ND	ND	ND	1.5	1.3	ND	ND	ND

The analytical result of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of vinyl chloride above the FDEP Groundwater Criteria in monitoring wells CW-1 and CW-2.

Laboratory groundwater analytical results and complete laboratory data sheets and chain-of-custody documentation are attached along with the groundwater sampling field data sheets.

ENVIROBUSINESS, INC. LOCATIONS | ATLANTA, GA | BALTIMORE, MD | BURLINGTON, MA | CHICAGO, IL DALLAS, TX | DENVER, CO | HOUSTON, TX | LOS ANGELES, CA | MAHWAH, NJ | NEW YORK, NY PHOENIX, AZ | PORTLAND, OR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | SEATTLE, WA | YORK, PA

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On June 1 and 2, 2015, EBI conducted groundwater sampling from the existing monitoring wells at the Subject Property. The samples were analyzed for VOCs via EPA Method 8260. The analytical results of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of bromodichloromethane and vinyl chloride above the FDEP Groundwater Criteria.

EBI returned to the Subject Property on December 3 and 4, 2015 complete a round of groundwater extraction from wells CW-1, CW-2 and MW-9. Approximately 165 gallons were purged from MW-9, 55 gallons from CW-2 and 275 gallons from CW-1. Recovery was low from well CW-2 (deep well) so additional groundwater was purged from CW-1.

Groundwater samples were collected from all of the onsite monitoring wells on December 10, 2015. The analytical results of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of vinyl chloride above FDEP Groundwater Criteria in monitoring well CW-2.

EBI returned to the Subject Property on March 10, 2016 and collected groundwater samples from all of the onsite monitoring wells. The analytical result of the groundwater samples revealed concentrations of vinyl chloride above the FDEP Groundwater Criteria in monitoring wells CW-1 and CW-2.

Based on the results of the sampling and the email from FDEP dated April 19, 2016 (copy attached), EBI is requesting for consideration No Further Action (NFA), pursuant to Chapter 62-780.680(1) Risk Management Options Level I (RMO I).

The contact information for the responsible party is as follows:

Mr. John Kopans DRA Advisors, LLC 220 E 42nd Street, 27th Floor New York, NY 10017

Thank you for providing EBI the opportunity to assist you in this important project. Please contact Rich George at 954.483.6722 with any comments or questions you may have.

Respectfully submitted, **EBI CONSULTING**

Rolal Se

Richard George, Author/Senior Project Manager (954) 483-6722

Attachments:

David Brutcher, PG Reviewer/Senior Program Manager Florida PG # 1329

A: Figure IB: Laboratory ResultsC: Groundwater Sampling Field Data Sheets



Monitoring Well Location Map



ENVIROBUSINESS, INC. LOCATIONS | ATLANTA, GA | BALTIMORE, MD | BURLINGTON, MA | CHICAGO, IL DALLAS, TX | DENVER, CO | HOUSTON, TX | LOS ANGELES, CA | MAHWAH, NJ | NEW YORK, NY PHOENIX, AZ | PORTLAND, OR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | SEATTLE, WA | YORK, PA

SITE 7 – BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP

Florida Department of Environmental Protection- - Petroleum Restoration Program

DATE:	January 2, 2018
PO#/TA#/WO#:	PO# AFE071/B0D4A2
Site FDEP Facility ID #	36/8520618 Score: 10
Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp.
Address:	9021 Bonita Beach Road
City:	Bonita Springs
County:	Lee
Consultant Company:	Earth Systems Inc.
Address:	445 Lantana Road
City, State, Zip	Lantana, Florida 33462
Consultant Rep.:	Scott Moore
Phone #:	(561) 588-3985
Responsible Party Name:	Fifth Third Bank
Address:	4427 West Kennedy Boulevard
City, State, Zip:	Tampa, Florida 33609
Responsible Party Rep .:	Bradley Newman
Phone #:	(813) 289-7022

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT

CERTIFICATION:

Qualified Registered Professional Engineer or Registered Professional Geologist Certification. I hereby certify that I have supervised the field work (as summarized in the "Recent Site Assessment Activities" section) and preparation of this report, in accordance with Florida Rules and Regulations. As a registered professional geologist and/or professional engineer, as authorized by Chapters 492 or 471, Florida Statutes, I certify that I am a qualified groundwater professional, with knowledge and experience in groundwater contamination assessment and cleanup. To the best of my knowledge, the information and laboratory data summarized in the "Recent Site Assessment Activities" section (including the applicable attachments) are true, accurate, complete, and in accordance with applicable State Rules and Regulations. *Include a hard (paper) copy of this cover page, signed and sealed, when submitting the report electronically.*

Consultant Name: Scott G. Moore, P.E. Signature: PE or PG License #: No. 61780 Signature: FLORIDA Stamp or Seal No. 61780 STATE OF ORIDA Page 1 of 43 BP Bonita TSAR Revision1.docx

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT						
Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp					
Facility ID #:	36/8520618					
Date:	January 2018					

SECTION I - Facility & Discharge Information/Initial Abatement

Cluster Site			
Part	Facility FDEP#	Site Name:	

I-A) Site Description

Please provide a brief description of the site and a summary of site history and operations. What type of business or businesses (if any), non-petroleum as well as petroleum, operated at the former/present site? If petroleum, describe where all former and current fuel tanks, lines and dispensers were/are located (indicating how this information was obtained). Describe any access constraints (utility conduits, canopies, land cover, etc.) which also might influence the placement of monitoring wells and/or the installation of soil borings. Indicate whether there are any owner issues or traffic concerns which might effect when the work can be performed? <u>Please indicate when the requested information is best illustrated on the site map.</u>

The site was formerly a BP gas station that was demolished in 1994 and is currently developed as a Fifth Third Bank. File review information indicates that three 10,152-gallon underground storage tanks (USTs) and one 12,000-gallon UST were installed between 1976 and 1980. The USTs, formerly located near the northeast corner of the property, were used for storing leaded gasoline, unleaded gasoline, and diesel fuel and were removed in November 1988. Subsequently, four 10,000-gallon USTs were installed in the same UST area and were used to store unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. Those USTs were removed in November 1994 during demolition of the gas station facility. A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was filed on November 17, 1994 after elevated soil vapor screening readings were encountered during the removal of the USTs, product piping, and dispenser islands. Over 400 tons of petroleum contaminated soil were stockpiled on site during the UST system removal. The soil was later transported offsite for thermal treatment in December of 1994.

Currently, access constraints at the Fifth Third Bank building include dense landscaping in front of the bank building. The southern portion of the former dispenser area is now located under a portion of the building. The bank requested that the deep monitoring well installation via sonic drilling be conducted after hours on the weekend to prevent disruptions to their business operations.

A utility corridor north of the bank parking lot prevented the advancement of soil boring and installation of monitoring wells in this area. The soil borings and monitoring wells were installed onsite, south of the utility corridor and in the Bonita Beach Road right-of-way, north of the utility corridor.

The site location is included on **Figure 1A.** A site plan depicting underground utilities, former USTs, dispensers, adjacent structures, and current and former well locations is provided as **Figure 1B**.

Site map (Figures 1A, 1B) illustrating all current & former tanks, lines and dispensers (including utilities, canopies, etc.) is included in Appendix B

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

I-B) Petroleum System/Tank History (continued)

Petroleum System Closure? If yes, briefly describe type of petroleum system (AST, UST, distribution lines, etc.) and closure activities conducted. **Description not** needed if copy of system tank closure report included.

YES	NO
X	

Note: Section I-C should be used to document soil, groundwater or product removal performed during closures.

The USTs that were originally installed in July 1976 were removed in November 1988. A UST
Closure Report was not found for the July 1976 UST removal. Replacement USTs were installed
in the same location in November 1988. The replacement USTs were removed in November
1994. A copy of the UST Closure Report is included in Appendix C.
X Description of system closure activities included in attached tank closure report.
Copy of tank or system closure report (if applicable) included in Appendix C
I-C) Release Information

	Discovery Date(s)	<u>Program Type(s)</u> : ATRP, EDI, PCPP, PLRIP or Non-program (please indicate if a non-program discharge has been combined with an eligible discharge)
1 st	November 17, 1994	Petroleum Liability and Restoration Insurance Program (PLRIP)
2 nd		
3 rd		
4 th		
5 th		
6 th		

-Source description and release history that includes date(s) of release(s), cause(s) of release(s), where they occurred, type(s) of product released and volume(s) of release(s) [please explain how estimates were derived].

A Discharge Reporting Form (DRF) was filed on December 16, 1992 as a result of a DER inspection and an elevated MTBE concentration in a monitoring well sample. A DRF was filed on November 17, 1994 after elevated soil vapor screening readings were collected during the removal of the USTs, product piping, and dispenser islands. On April 27, 1995, FDEP determined that the site was eligible for state-funded cleanup assistance under the Florida PLRIP. Copies of the DRFs and the program eligibility letter is provided in Appendix C.

- Suspected type(s) of product released:

Leaded Gasoline	Χ	Diesel/Kerosene	Χ	Unleaded Gasoline
Used Oil		Unknown		Other:


included in Appendix C.

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

I-D) Initial Abatement/Source Removal

(Soil/Groundwater/Free Product removal during tank closures):

Was soil contamination detected during petroleum system




N/A

С

closure? If yes, please briefly describe extent of petroleum impacts and *method(s)* used to identify soil contamination. Elevated soil vapor screening readings were encountered during the removal of the USTs, product piping, and dispenser islands. A copy of the January 1995 Site Closure Report is

Site map (Figure 3) illustrating soil sampling locations is included in Appendix Tabular summary of soil sampling results (Table) is included in Appendix С 1

Was contaminated soil removed? If yes, please describe the horizontal and vertical extents of the soil removal and indicate where contaminated soil might still exist.



According to the January 1995 Site Closure Report, 403.17 tons of petroleum contaminated soil were stockpiled on site during the UST system removal. Contaminated soil was removed from the UST area and the east and west dispenser islands to a total depth of approximately six feet below land surface (bls). The soil was later transported offsite for thermal treatment in December of 1994. Contaminated soil may still be present outside of the excavated area or below five feet bls.

Approximate dep	oth to water	at time <u>c</u>	of exc	avation (į	f knov	vn) ~6	feet bls
Approximate amount removed	403.17	tons	X	yds^3		Date:	12/1994
Disposal metho	od:	L	Th	ermal Tre	atment	t	

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

I-D) Initial Abatement/Source Removal (continued)

,			
	YES	NO	N/A
Was groundwater contamination detected during			X
petroleum system closure? If yes, please indicate whether wells were installed (including their construction details if possible) and indicate the maximule levels for petroleum contaminants of concern that were detected.	um		Λ
The January 1995 Site Closure Report did not include the investig or indicate the presence groundwater contamination at the site.	ation of g	roundwater	impacts
Site map (Figure) illustrating groundwater sampling locations is in	cluded in Ap	pendix	
	YES	NO	N/A
Was contaminated water removed? If yes, please identify removal location(s) and describe method of removal.			X
Approximate volume removed: gallons Date((s):		

Disposal method:

--

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

I-D) Initial Abatement/Source Removal (continued)


	YES	NO	N/A
Was free product detected during petroleum system			X
closure? If yes, please describe location(s) where product was observed and thickness observed.			1
According to the January 1995 Site Closure Report, the water table approximately six feet below grade. The presence or absence of f in the report. A copy of the January 1995 Site Closure Report is in	ree product	was not men	
Site map (Figure) illustrating locations where free product was obsected and a summary of product thickness (Table) is included Was free product removed? If yes, please identify removal location(stand describe method of removal.	in Appendix YES	ed in Appendix	 N/A X
Volume removed: gallons D Disposal method:	Date(s):		

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

SECTION II - Background Site Assessment Information

II-A) <u>Receptor Investigation</u>

Are large (>100,000 gallons per day) public supply potable wells located within 1/2 mile? If yes, please indicate distance(s) and direction(s) from site, if they are located downgradient and if the well(s) are screened deeper than contamination. If unknown, please explain.



Earth Systems performed a site vicinity reconnaissance and receptor survey during site visits in November 2016 and February 2017 and reviewed the most recent Florida Department of Health (FDOH) Well Survey (conducted in April 2013). Public supply wells were not identified within one half-mile of the property. A Potable Well Radius Map and a copy of the Receptor Survey and Exposure Pathway Identification Form are provided in **Appendix C**.

Potable well survey map (Figure) is included in Appendix C
Potable well construction summary (Table) is included in Appendix

Are water wells, including irrigation, industrial and all potable wells (<100,000 gallons per day), located

YES NO Unknown
X

within1/4 mile? If yes, please identify the type(s) of wells, their distances and directions from the site, if they are located downgradient and if the well(s) are screened deeper than the contamination. If unknown, please explain. Earth Systems performed a site vicinity reconnaissance and receptor survey during site visits that occurred in November 2016 and February 2017 and reviewed the most recent Florida Department of Health (FDOH) Well Survey (conducted in April 2013). One commercial irrigation well (WEL2001-00039) was located approximately 250 feet downgradient at 9020 Bonita Beach Road SE. Industrial or private potable wells were not identified within one quarter-mile of the property.

Water well survey map (Figure) is included in Appendix C
Water well construction summary (Table) is included in Appendix

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-A) Receptor Investigation (continued)

Was an area use survey performed? If yes, please identify all water wells within the survey area (as identified in the database searches and walk through




YES

NO

survey), all surface waters, any basements or other subsurface structures and any other receptors which might be impacted. Please indicate predominant property use in area and if there are any potential off-site contamination sources located within at least a one block radius of the contaminant plume.

An area survey was performed during site visits that occurred in November 2016 and February 2017. The subject property is a Fifth Third Bank located in the Bonita Springs on the southeast corner of Bonita Beach Road SE and Arroyal Road (**Figure 1B**). The areas adjacent to the site are developed primarily as commercial properties. Residential properties are situated immediately south of the Fifth Third Bank property. An land use radius map and a copy of the Receptor Survey and Exposure Pathway Identification Form are included in **Appendix C**.

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection Contamination Locator Map System identified Spring Fresh Cleaners (FAC ID ERIC_4849) as pending cleanup site within a one block radius of the Fifth Third Bank property. No other potential off-site contamination sources were identified. A Site Vicinity Map is provided as **Figure 2**.



Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-A) Receptor Investigation (continued)

Are there any surface water bodies which have been

YES	NO	Unknown
	X	

There are no reports that any nearby surface water bodies have been impacted by contamination associated with the BP Bonita-Oleum site.

NO

YES

Х

Are the Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., (effective April 17, 2005) default Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) for soil and groundwater the cleanup goals for this site?

If no, please indicate if the cleanup goals are from the 1999 version of Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., or pre-1999, apply to this site (providing the reason why) or if alternative cleanup target levels have been or might be established for this site (outlining all engineering and/or institutional controls which already exist or will need to be implemented in the future).

The Chapter 62-777, F.A.C. default CTLs for soil and groundwater are the cleanup goals for this site.


Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment

Х

Information not described in Section I ("release information" or "initial abatement/source removal")

Was site assessment work performed? If yes, please indicate who performed it (with reason performed) and dates performed (see table below)



Х

List of all reports where site assessment information was originally submitted to the FDEP (oldest to most recent):

Date of report 3/1995	<u>Title of report</u> Contamination Assessment Report	<u>Company that prepared report</u> Coastal Resource Management
		YES NO

Was soil assessment performed? If yes, please briefly describe work performed and discuss results. <u>A description of the sampling results can be omitted</u> if the data are included with current tabular summaries and soil plume maps (if applic

if the data are included with current tabular summaries and soil plume maps (if applicable). On December 1, 1994, 62 soil borings were advanced to 6.5 feet below land surface (bls), the approximate depth of the water table. Soils were screened with an organic vapor analyzer (OVA) and elevated vapor concentrations greater than 50 parts per million (ppm) were detected on the northern half of the property and west of the former UST area. The results also indicated impacts to soils north of the property boundary.

Results included in current soil OVA screening and soil analytical summary tables.

Site map (Figure) illustrating	sampling	locations is included in	
	6-1, 6-2 (CAR)		App	pendix	С
Tabular summ	nary of soil sampling	results (Table	1) is included in Appendix	А

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

Any monitoring wells installed? If yes, briefly identify where the wells were installed and describe their construction. Please indicate if the wells are still on-site. The well descriptions and can be omitted if the information is included in a current tabular summaries.

Between January and March 1995, Coastal Resource Management (CRM) supervised the installation of five onsite and six offsite monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-8, MW-9D, MW-10 and MW-11). The 11 previously installed monitoring wells were not found during the site reconnaissance visit conducted by Earth Systems in November 2016. Monitoring well MW-7 was later located during additional site assessment work.

Site map (Figure 1**B**) illustrating well locations is included in Appendix В

 Tabular summary of well construction details (Table _____3

) is included in Appendix А

Has direct push (geoprobe) groundwater grab-sampling been

performed? If yes, briefly identify the locations and depths where the samples were collected. A description of the sample locations and results can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries

No previous direct push groundwater grab-sampling has been performed at this facility.

) illustrating the groundwater sampling results is included in Appendix Site map (Figure

 Tabular summary of groundwater sampling results (Table
 -) is included in Appendix

YES

Х

YES

NO

NO

Х

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

Was groundwater sampling performed? If yes, briefly describe what sampling was performed and summarize results. <u>A description of the sampling results can be omitted</u>	YES	NO
<i>if the data are included with the current tabular summaries and groundwater plume maps (if</i> Between January and March 1995, CRM supervised the installation of five one monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-8, MW-9D, MW-10 and MW-11), subsequently sampled, and petroleum hydrocarbon and lead concentrations we respective Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs). In March 1995, ben above its GCTL in the onsite deep well (MW-9D) which is screened from 19 to	site and six of The wells are detected zene was de	were above tected
X Results included in current groundwater analytical summary table.		
Site map (Figure 6-5 (CAR)) illustrating sampling locations is included in Appendix Tabular summary of groundwater results (Table 4A, 4B) is included in Appendix	С	
Has free product been observed in wells or excavations (not including tank and/or system closures)? If yes, please describe. <u>A description</u> of the thickness measured can be omitted if the previous data are included with the current to and illustrated on current free product plume maps (if applicable).	YES	NO X
Free product has not been observed in any wells or excavations on site.		
Site map (Figure) illustrating locations where free product was observed is included Tabular summary of free product thickness (Table) is included in Append		

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

<i>D</i>) Trevious Site Assessment (continueu)				
	Y	ES	NO	
Has the previous site assessment been approved by the			V	
FDEP (was a CAR or SAR approval letter issued?)			Λ	
Date site assessment (or contamination assessment) was approved:	CAR Com	ments 6/15	5/05]
Date site assessment (or containination assessment) was approved.	CAR Com		0193	
II-C) Previous Remediation				
(i) <u>i revious itemediation</u>		YES		NO
Une a Dame dial Action Dlan been anon and 2 10	1 • 01			
Has a Remedial Action Plan been prepared? If yes, pleas				X
describe the remedial strategy. <u>The description of the remedial strategy</u>				
omitted if the RAP was implemented (this item will be addressed in the acti				<u>ws).</u>
FDEP records do not indicate that a Remedial Action Plan has b	een prepare	d at the s	ite.	
Date of RAP: Prepared by:				
Remedial Action Plan approved by FDEP. Date of RAP a	oproval order			
	-			
		YES		NO
Was soil excavation (not associated with a system closed	ure)		Γ	\mathbf{V}
	,			Λ
performed? If yes, please briefly describe work performed and dis			L.	
The description of the source removal can be omitted if already discussed in	the initial aba	tement sec	ction.	

Source removal activities, other than during the UST system closure, have not been reported for this facility.

 Approximate depth to water at time of excavation (if known)
 - feet

 Site map (Figure _--____) illustrating sampling locations and extent of excavation(s) is included in Appendix
 -

 Tabular summary of soil sampling results (Table _--____) is included in Appendix
 -

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

II-C) Previous Remediation (continued)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	YES	NO
Has active remediation been performed? If yes, please indicate dates		X
performed (each applicable technology), evaluate previous system effectiveness		~
and indicate if any previous equipment is still available for cleanup.		
Active remediation has not been reported for this facility.		
<i>Identify type(s) of active remediation previously perform</i>	madi	
		(/.11)
	ltiphase Extraction (
Limited scope well over-development Excavation Enhanced Bio	o-Remediation (OR	C, etc.)
Free Product Recovery Other:		

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

SECTION III - Recent Site Assessment Activities III-A) <u>Soil Investigation</u>

[soil sampling]

Was soil (vadose zone and smear zone) investigated? If yes, please provide a brief discussion of soil sampling methodology, including the method(s) used to collect the laboratory samples. If no, please explain.



On January 30 and January 31, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the advancement of 27 soil borings (SB-A through SB-AA) to a depth of 10 feet bls at the subject site. Soil samples were collected via hand auger and direct push technology (DPT) drilling equipment.

On February 1, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the advancement of four soil borings that were later converted to monitoring wells MW-12 through MW-15. These soil borings were each advanced to a depth of 12 feet bls via hand auger and hollow stem auger. During advancement of the soil borings, Earth Systems collected soil samples at one-foot depth intervals for the first six feet, and at two-foot depth intervals thereafter for organic vapor screening and lithological evaluation.

Based on the previous data, on July 27, 2017 Earth Systems supervised the advancement of 10 additional soil borings (SB-AB through SB-AK).

On July 27 and 28, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the advancement of six soil borings that were later converted to monitoring wells MW-16 through MW-21.

On November 11 and 12, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the advancement of four soil borings that were later converted to monitoring wells MW-12D, MW-15D, MW-16D, and MW-22.


During the soil investigations, Earth Systems characterized soil quality using an OVA equipped with a photoionization detector (OVA/PID) in accordance with the methodology prescribed in Section 62-770 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). OVA/PID readings ranging from less than 1 ppm to 3,664 ppm were detected within the vadose zone. OVA/PID readings ranging from less than 1 ppm to 4,835 ppm were also detected within the smear and saturated zones. The vadose zone is defined as the interval extending from land surface to the water table (approximately 4.0 to 6.0 feet bls).

Based on discussions with the FDEP from the field, on February 1, 2017, a total of eight duplicate vadose zone soil samples were collected for laboratory analyses from the following locations and depth intervals: SB-C (1-2 feet bls); SB-H (2-3 feet bls); SB-K (1-2 feet and 3-4 feet bls); SB-M (1-2 feet and 3-4 feet bls); and SB-Y (1-2 feet and 3-4 feet bls). The soil samples were delivered to SGS Accutest Southeast (Accutest) and analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and methyl-tert butyl ether (BTEX/MTBE) using EPA Method 8260B, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) using EPA Method 8270, and total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) using the FLPRO Method. Extra soil was collected for contingent TRPH speciation and synthetic precipitate leaching procedure (SPLP) analyses.

TEMPLATE SIT	E ASSESSMENT REPORT	
Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp	
Facility ID #:	36/8520618	
Date:	January 2018	
laboratory ar SB-AD (1-2 delivered to samples wer installation. Date of last so Site map (Fi Tabu T Soil sam X BTEX/MT	halyses from the following loca feet bls), SB-AG (1-2 feet bls) Accutest for BTEX/MTBE, PA re not collected or analyzed bil screening event (OVA data) with gure <u>3A & 3B</u>) illustrating sam alar summary of soil screening results abular summary of laboratory soil sam Soil sampling logs (for aples (previous sampling events Required for all suspected TBE (low//high) X P Required for all sites where Used	pling locations is included in Appendix B (Table 1) is included in Appendix A npling results (Table 2A-2E) is included in Appendix A laboratory samples) are included in Appendix D included) have been collected and analyzed for: GAG & KAG contaminated sites. AHs X TRPHs
III-A) Soil	Investigation	
If yes, please a Based on the generated du sample was a	uring the soil investigation an analyzed by Accutest to profile	n OVA/PID, a total of 15 drums of soil IDW were d monitoring well installation. A pre-disposal soil the soil for proper disposal. A copy of the laboratory
disposal. Or six drums we	August 29, 2017, four drums vere picked for disposal. The 15	. On March 10, 2017, five drums were picked up for were picked up for disposal. On December 12, 2017, drums were picked up by Clark Environmental, Inc. posal manifests are included in Appendix D .

Volume of contaminated soil disposed of:		15	Χ	drums	cu. yds.
Disposal method:	Thermal Treatment				

Was soil contamination above applicable Cleanup Target Levels identified above the water table? If yes, identify where



concentrations above CTLs were detected, depths encountered and corresponding OVA readings. If no, please indicate whether laboratory results agree with OVA readings (if they do not agree, please discuss significance of OVA screening data and/or reliability of laboratory results). If "N/A", please explain.

Laboratory analytical results indicate hydrocarbons were detected in the soil above SCTLs in the samples collected from soil borings SB-C (1-5.5), SB-K (0-5.5), SB-M (0-5.5), SB-Y (0-5.5), SB-AC (0-4), SB-AD (0-4.5), SB-AG (0-4.5), and SB-AH (0-4). Using OVA/PID soil screening data in conjunction with the laboratory analytical soil data, Earth Systems believes hydrocarbons are present above SCTLs in soil borings SB-B (0-5.5), SB-D (2-5.5), SB-F (1-5.5), SB-G (1-5.5), SB-L (0-5.5), SB-S (2-5), SB-V (0-5.5), SB-Z (2-5.5), and SB-AF (0-4).

IEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT			
Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp		
Facility ID #:	36/8520618		
Date:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp		

 Approximate volume of vadose zone soil contamination:
 ~273
 cu. yds.

 Site map (Figure 3B)
) illustrating extent of soil contamination is included in Appendix
 B

 Soil concentration summary (Table 2A-2E)
) is included in Appendix
 A

 Soil sampling logs (for laboratory samples) are included in Appendix
 D

VEC

X

NO

NT/ A

III-A) Soil Investigation (continued)

	YES	NO	N/A
Was vadose zone soil contamination delineated? If no, please describe where additional borings should be located (indicating proposed depths of investigations). If "N/A", please explain.	Χ		
proposed depits of investigations). If 1971, predse explain.			
Site map (Figure <u>3B</u>) illustrating proposed sampling locations is in	ncluded in App	endix B	
	YES	NO	N/A

Has a smear zone been identified? Definition: The "smear zone" is the soil contamination located within the zone of water table fluctuation (it

has been described as a "secondary source" of contamination). If yes, please discuss the horizontal and vertical contaminant mass distribution in the smear zone. If no, please describe what additional information is needed (soil borings, well data, etc.). If "N/A", please explain.

According to the January 1995 Site Closure Report, 403.17 tons of petroleum contaminated soil were stockpiled on site during the UST system removal. Contaminated soil was removed from the UST area and the east and west dispenser islands to a total depth of approximately five feet bls. The soil was later transported offsite for thermal treatment. The limited depth to water data from the site indicates a water table fluctuation from approximately 4 to 6.5 feet bls. Based on this information, contaminated soil below 5 feet bls (smear zone) remains at the site.

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation

[monitoring wells/direct push]

Were monitoring wells installed (or abandoned)? If yes, briefly identify which wells were installed/abandoned and describe their construction. The well locations and construction details can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries.

Earth Systems supervised the installation of four onsite shallow monitoring wells (MW-12 through MW-15) on February 1, 2017. The monitoring wells were installed to assess the presence and the extent of dissolved hydrocarbon impacts in the vicinity of the former dispenser, product lines, and UST areas. The monitoring wells were constructed with 2 feet of 2-inch diameter solid PVC riser and 10 feet of 0.010-inch slotted PVC well screen. All manholes were completed flush to surface grade within a 2-feet by 2-feet concrete well pad with locking cap.

On July 27 and July 28, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the installation of six additional shallow monitoring wells (MW-16 through MW-21). The monitoring wells were installed to delineate the shallow dissolved impacts and two of the wells (MW-16 and MW-17) were installed offsite to the north of the property boundary on the south side of Bonita Beach Road.

On November 11 and 12, 2017, Earth Systems supervised the installation of one additional shallow monitoring well (MW-22) and three deep monitoring wells (MW-12D, MW-15D, and MW-16D). The shallow monitoring well was installed to delineate the shallow dissolved impacts and the three deep wells were installed to delineate the vertical extent of the dissolved impacts.

) illustrating the well locations is included in Appendix Site map (Figure 1B B

 Tabular summary of well construction details (Table
 3
) is included in Appendix

 Α Monitoring well completion reports are included in Appendix D YES NO Was direct push (geoprobe) groundwater grab-sampling Х *performed?* If yes, briefly identify the locations and depths where the samples were collected. A description of the sample locations and results can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries No direct push groundwater grab samples were collected during this investigation.

) illustrating the groundwater sampling results is included in Appendix Site map (Figure Tabular summary of groundwater sampling results (Table --) is included in Appendix

YES

Х

NO

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

[groundwater sampling]

Was groundwater sampling performed? If yes, please provide a brief discussion of groundwater purging and sampling methodology and identify the wells that were sampled. If no, please explain. A description of the sampling results can be omitted if the information

is illustrated in current contaminant plume maps and tabular summaries

Earth Systems completed groundwater sampling events at the facility on February 13, 2017, August 14, 2017, and December 1, 2017. In February, samples were collected from wells MW-12 through MW-15. In August, samples were collected from wells MW-16 through MW-21. In December, samples were collected from wells MW-12D, MW-15D, MW-16D, and MW-22.

YES

Х

NO

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, Earth Systems gauged the wells using an oil/water interface probe for depth to water and evidence of free product. Free product was not observed in the monitoring wells sampled. A laser surveying instrument and a rod were used at the site to determine the elevation of each top-of-casing of new wells relative to an arbitrary datum of 10.00 feet at well MW-12.

The monitoring wells were then purged in accordance with the FDEP groundwater sampling Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). Groundwater samples were collected, placed on ice, and delivered to Accutest for analyses. The groundwater samples collected in February, August, and December 2017 were analyzed for BTEX/MTBE using EPA Method 8260, PAHs using EPA Method 8270, and TRPH using the FLPRO Method. Samples collected from monitoring wells MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14 in February 2017 were also analyzed for total lead, 1,2dibromoethane (EDB), and 1,2-dichloroethane (EDC) according to the Chapter 62-780 F.A.C. Table C list.


If groundwater sampling not performed, indicate date of last sampling event (if applicable): *Indicate wells sampled on that date (if applicable):*

Site map (Figure 4A, 4B) illustrating the groundwater sampling results is included in Appendix В Tabular summary of groundwater sampling results (Table 4A, 4B) is included in Appendix

Groundwater field sampling logs are included in Appendix D

Groundwater samples (previous sampling events included) have been collected and analyzed for:

Required for all suspected GAG/KAG sites.



Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp	
Facility ID #:	36/8520618	-
Date:	January 2018	-

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

	YES	NO	N/A
Was groundwater IDW generated? If yes, please explain why disposal on-site was not possible.		Χ	
Groundwater IDW was not generated at the site.			
Volume of contaminated groundwater disposed of:		drums	gallon
[groundwater results]	VEC	NO	NT/A
Was aroundwater contamination identified above the	YES	NO	N/A
Was groundwater contamination identified above the applicable Cleanup Target Levels? If yes, indicate locations	X		
where highest concentrations detected with depths encountered. If "N/A", pla	ease explain.		
Groundwater impacts were identified in the location of monitor		IW-12, MW-	13, MW-
15, and MW-22 that were screened from the interval of 2 f		feet bls. Th	e highest
concentrations were detected near the former eastern dispensers.			
		gallons	
Plume maps [Figure(s) <u>4A</u>] illustrating extent o is/are included in Appendix B		r contamination	
is/are included in Appendix B			

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

Is the lower aquifer(s) contaminated? If yes, please describe location and estimated depth of contamination. If unknown, please explain.

YES	NO	Unknown
	Χ	

During the installation of deep monitoring wells MW-12D, MW-15D clayey layer was encountered beginning at a depth of approximately 24 was not penetrated during the deep well installation. Since the grou from deep monitoring wells MW-12D, MW-15D, and MW-16D compounds above GCTLs, deeper groundwater impacts are not expected	4 feet bls. The clayey layer indwater samples collected D did not contain tested
Cross-section (Figure 6B) illustrating vertical extent of contamination is in	cluded in Appendix B
	VEC NO
	YES NO
<i>Were natural attenuation parameters data collected? If yes,</i>	
please specify which parameters were collected (and where collected) and provide	
interpretation of results.	
Natural attenuation parameter data were not collected during this assess	sment.
1 5	
	1 1 1 1 1

Site map (Figure _____) illustrating natural attenuation parameter data is included in Appendix ______ Tabular summary of parameter sampling results (Table _____) is included in Appendix ______

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

[impacted receptors]

Have any supply wells or surface waters been impacted?	YES	NO	Unknown
THE THE AND		V	
If yes, please indicate concentration(s) of water sample(s) taken and the		Λ	
wells/surface water body/bodies impacted. If unknown, please explain.			
No known supply wells or surface water bodies have been impacted.			
No known suppry wens of surface water bodies have been impacted.			
	MEG		T T 1
	YES	NO	Unknown
Is surface water and/or sediment sampling required? If yes,		X	
please indicate where samples should be collected, and the proposed analyses.		~	
[Note: surface water sampling results should be summarized with the groundwater	analytical r	esults and se	ediment
sampling results should be summarized with the soil analytical results.] If unknown			
Surface water and/or sediment samples are not required at this time.			
1 1			
Site map (Figure) illustrating sampling locations is included in	Appendix		
Site map (Figure) illustrating sampling locations is included in		 NO	Lulmour
	Appendix YES	NO	Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes,			Unknown
		NO X	Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes,			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown,			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown, please explain.			Unknown

TEMPLATE SITE	ASSESSMENT REPORT				
Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp				
Facility ID #:	36/8520618				
Date:	January 2018				
III-C) <u>Free I</u>	Product Investigation		YES	N	0
<i>v</i> 1	ICt present? If yes, please indicat thickness, describe the product (color the product.	-			X
	as not been observed at the site				
Site map (Figu	are) illustrating free product nary of free product thickness (Table	et thickness at well locations) is included in A		1 Appendix	
	nary of free product unckness (Table			<u> </u>	
	nt of free product been dela dditional wells or piezometers should		YES	NO	N/A X
Site map (Figure	e) illustrating locations of pro	mosed niezometers or wells	is included in	Appendix	
She map (1 igure) musualing locations of pro	posed prezonieters of wens			
	uct recovery ongoing? If yes, removal and summarize recovery effo		YES	NO	N/A X
Tabular	summary of product recovery amoun	ts (Table) is inc	luded in Appe	ndix	
			YES	NO	N/A
	nct recovery is not ongoing, orts recommended? If yes, ple	• •			X
	uency of removal. If no. please explai		ot recommende	ed.	

Site map (Figure _____) illustrating locations of proposed additional piezometers and/or wells for free product recovery is included in Appendix _____

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

III-D) Comments

Any issues or concerns not addressed in previous questions which might help better describe the degree and extent of the contamination at this site.

Based on the CAR (1994) four USTs were removed on November 9, 1994. The three pump dispensers and associated product piping were excavated and removed on November 16, 17, and 18, 1994. The Bonita B.P. station had been registered with the FDEP as having maintained four 10,000-gallon USTs prior to November 1994. Three of the UST's had contained gasoline, and the fourth UST contained diesel.


On June 6, 2017 following a heavy rain event, the Fire Department was dispatched to investigate a strong petroleum odor in the northeast portion of the parking lot at the Fifth Third Bank. The Fire Department concluded the odors were associated with fuel tanks at the former gas station and were not hazardous. A copy of the Fire Department Report is included in **Appendix C**.

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

<u>SECTION IV</u> - Impacted Media

IV-A) <u>Lithologic Summary</u>

The impacted aquifer(s) can be best characterized by the following description (predominantly): Select One



Please describe a typical soil column and all defined aquifers (perched/upper/lower). This should include a brief description of the site lithology (using the Unified Soil Classification System), and all other geologic and/or hydrogeologic characteristics of the area which might influence migration or transport of the contamination.

During advancement of soil borings and installation of monitoring wells from January to November 2017, Earth Systems described the lithology based on collected soil samples. Earth Systems encountered fine to medium grained sands to a depth of approximately 24 feet bls. Dense clay was encountered at approximately 25 feet bls (the total depth of the boring).

Depth to groundwater in the study area is approximately 4 to 6.5 feet bls. Soil boring logs with lithologic descriptions are provided in Appendix D. The descriptions include the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) abbreviations.

Lithologic cross-section (Figure 6A, 6B) is included in Appendix

Is the lithologic information obtained to date sufficient to characterize the impacted media? If no, please explain [indicating

В YES NO Х

area(s) where additional lithologic data are needed]. A map illustrating where the additional borings/wells need to be located can be omitted if those locations have been identified in the soil and/or groundwater sections.

Additional lithologic characterization is not requested. --

Site map illustrating proposed lithologic boring locations (Figure --) is included in Appendix


Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

IV-B) <u>Hydrologic Summary</u>

Have all the monitoring well tops-of-casings been surveyed? If no, please describe why this information has not been obtained. [Note, the TOC

survey does not have to be performed by a Professional Land Surveyor. However, if the monitoring wells are installed prior to the survey, then the TOCs should be included in the Professional Land Survey.]

The site's monitoring wells were surveyed relative to an arbitrary datum during field events on February 13, 2017; August 2, 2017; and December 1, 2017. Well elevation data is provided on **Table 3**.





NO

YES

X

A professional land survey was not conducted as part of this assessment.

 Is original signed and sealed professional land survey included?
 yes
 no

 Is copy of electronic version of land survey (labeled with ID #, site name & report date) included?
 yes
 no

 Have depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction in the upper zone aquifer been determined? If yes, please indicate average depth to water and fluctuation range (low/high stand) in all impacted areas of the site. If no, please explain.
 YEs
 NO

 The average depth to water on August 14, 2017 was 3.44 feet bls. Water level measurements in the ten monitoring wells which bisect the water table indicate shallow groundwater flow is
 Yes
 No

generally towards the northwest. The depth to water measurements are summarized in **Table 3**. Shallow Groundwater Elevation Contour Maps from February 13, 2017 and August 14, 2017 are provided as **Figure 5A** and **Figure 5B**.

Site map(s) [Figure(s) 5A, 5B] illustrating upper zone water table elevations and interpretation(s) of groundwater flow direction(s) is/are included in Appendix B

 Tabular summary of all groundwater elevation data (Table ______) is included in Appendix ______A

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

IV-B) Hydrologic Summary (continued)

Have depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction(s) in lower and/or intermediate aquifer(s) been determined? If yes, please indicate average depth to water and fluctuation range in vertical extent wells

(low/high stand). If no, please explain.

Although deep monitoring wells MW-12D, MW-15D, and MW-16D are screened deeper than the shallow wells at the site, an intermediate or lower aquifer was not encountered during the investigation. A groundwater elevation contour map for monitoring wells MW-12D, MW-15D, and MW-16D is included as Figure 5C.

Site map [Figure(s)] illustrating lower/intermediate zone water table elevations and interpretation(s) 5C of groundwater flow direction(s) is/are included in Appendix В YES

Are perched aquifer conditions suspected? If yes, please indicate estimated depth and thickness of perched zone and whether perched zone extends
across entire site.

Perched aquifer conditions were not encountered at the site.

--) illustrating estimated lateral extent of perched zone (when it does not extend across entire Site map (Figure site), water level elevations and interpretation(s) of groundwater flow direction(s) is/are included in Appendix --

Is the site tidally influenced? If yes, please indicate tidal fluctuation range and whether groundwater flow direction might change during tidal cycle.

If unknown, please indicate whether this issue is important at this site (outlining data collection plan if needed). A tidal influence was not encountered at the site.

Site map(s) [Figure(s) ---] illustrating changes in flow direction is/are included in Appendix

YES




NO

NO


Unknown

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

IV-B) Hydrologic Summary (continued)



Have any aquifer tests been performed at the subject site? If yes, please describe test method (slug test, pumping test, etc.), which wells were used, date performed and summarize test results [transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, rate of groundwater flow, pumping rates (gpm), etc.]



An aquifer performance test (APT) was conducted during the preparation of the CAR. The APT consisted of pumping monitoring well MW-1 at a steady rate and measuring induced water level drawdown in a temporary observation well designated as OW-1. The APT was completed on January 17, 1995. Monitoring well MW-1 was pumped with the use of a centrifugal pump. Induced water level drawdown were measured within observation well OW-1 utilizing the United States Geological Survey (USGS) wetted-tape method, which is accurate to $0.01 \pm \text{feet}$. The APT was one (1) hour in duration. The transmissivity of the water table aquifer was calculated utilizing the straight-line method (Jacob, 1950) and the graphic method of Hantush-Jacob (1955) as adapted to a method devised by Cooper (1963). The transmissivity was calculated to 3,000 gallons per day per square foot at a pumping rate of 2.5 gallons per minute and a drawdown of 0.15 feet using the graphic method. The transmissivity was calculated to 1,900 gallons per day per square foot at a pumping rate of 2.5 gallons per minute and a drawdown of 0.22 feet using the straight-line method. The average transmissivity is estimated to be 2,300 gallons per day per square foot and the average storage coefficient is calculated to be 0.012. Details of the test and method are provided in the CAR in Appendix C.

Aquifer test data and calculations included in Appendix C (CAR)

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

IV-B) Hydrologic Summary (continued)

Depth to groundwater in upper zone water-table wells (ft):			4.20	Average (ft):	3.44
Depth to groundwater in lower zone vertical extent wells (ft):			4.69	Average (ft):	4.41
Observed maximum range of upper zone fluctuation 1 (ft):	.27	Tidall	y influen	ced? Yes	No X

IV-C) Risk Evaluation

Is human health, safety, or welfare affected by exposure to the contamination or will the contamination substantially affect, or migrate to and substantially affect a known public or private source of potable water? If yes, please describe in detail.



NO

Х



Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

V-B) Recommendations (continued)

If the groundwater plume is shrinking or stable is there any reason that Remediation by Natural Attenuation (RNA) cannot be the selected remedial strategy?

YES	NO
Χ	

If no, outline the proposed monitoring plan including monitoring wells, sampling parameters and sampling frequency. If yes, specify why natural attenuation is not appropriate.

Due to NADC exceedances in groundwater and a soil source apparently leaching to the groundwater, natural attenuation is not recommended as a remedial strategy. Monitoring Wells: ---Frequency: ___ Contaminants: Duration: YES NO

Is Source Removal (soil or free product) recommended? If yes, please outline proposed method and extent of source removal (is dewatering needed?)

Site map (Figure --

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

V-B) Recommendations (continued)

Is a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) needed? If yes, please provide reasons for performing in-situ remediation at the site and indicate which remediation technology or combination of technologies is recommended or should be evaluated (with reasons for recommendation).

Based on the current use of the property (developed as a bank), in-situ air sparging with soil vapor extraction is recommended to reduce disruptions to the active business.


Is a Pilot Test recommended? If yes, please indicate recommended remedial technology and outline specifics of proposed pilot test. Details include

YES	NO
Χ	

area of site where test is planned, recovery/air sparging well construction details, which wells will be used to evaluate test, proposed recovery and/or pumping and/or blowing rates and plan for IDW disposal (if applicable). *The FDEP should be consulted before preparing a pilot test outline.*

An air sparge and soil vapor extraction pilot test is recommended to provide site-specific data and confirm the technologies will be effective for cleanup at the site. Due to the magnitude of the soil and groundwater impacts at the site, high vapor levels are possible and may require a catalytic oxidizer for off-gas treatment instead of typical activated carbon treatment. The pilot test outline (Pilot Test Plan) is typically prepared during the Remedial Action Plan phase of the project.

Site map (Figure --) illustrating pilot test layout is included in Appendix



Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

<u>SECTION VI</u> - Program Issues (for state funded cleanup sites)

List of all consultant company personnel (not subcontractor employees) that participated in the field work or helped to prepare the report:

<u>Name</u>	Duties	<u>Dates On-Site</u> (if applicable)				
Jeremy Turner	Project Manager/TSAR Preparation	12-1-16	thru	11-3-17		
Danny Christ	Field Technician	5-17-17	thru	5-17-17		
Kris Decker	Field Technician	8-1-17	thru	11-12-17		
Gary Bosco	TSAR Preparation/Review					
Scott Moore	TSAR Preparation/Review					
			thru			

VI-A) Work Plan and Cost Summary

Briefly summarize initial work plan.


Conduct File Review, prepare Historical Summary Worksheet and Health & Safety Plan (HASP). Conduct site reconnaissance/field measurement visit including gauging depth to water and determining top of casing elevations in the existing monitoring wells according to the attached Water Sampling Table; Prepare a Modified Site Assessment Proposal.

Obtain all necessary off-site access agreement(s), conduct Receptor Survey/Exposure Pathway ID. Advance soil borings (screening & sampling), install monitoring wells, collect groundwater and soil samples per the attached Water Sampling Table, Soil and Air Sampling Table, and Soil Boring and Well Installation Table. Upon completion of Task 2 field activities, and contingent on FDEP site manager approval, prepare an Interim Assessment Report.

Prepare and submit a General Site Assessment Report in the TSAR format.

Copy of original work order or task assignment is included in appendix

Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work planned for site.



thru

Per Diem was added to the Purchase Order (PO) in CO #2. Additional soil and groundwater assessment to delineate the plumes was added to the PO in CO #5. Additional monitoring wells to delineate the horizontal and vertical extent of the groundwater impacts was added to the PO in CO #7. COs #1, #3, #4, and CO #6 were related to due date extensions. The approved CO forms are included in **Appendix F.**

Copies of all authorization forms are included in Appendix

F

Site Name:	BP Bonita-Oleum Corp
Facility ID #:	36/8520618
Date:	January 2018

VI-A) Work Plan and Cost Summary (continued)

Was any planned work <u>not</u> performed? If yes, please describe work not performed with reasons why not performed.	YES	NO
Are there any changes in cost from original work order, purchase order, or task assignment? If yes, please describe the changes and cost adjustments that will be required for invoicing.	YES	NO
Changes have been reflected in the issued COs and will be invoiced acc	ordingly.	
Copies of all needed subcontractor and/or materials invoices and draft chang included in AppendixF	e order cost te	emplate

Page 43 of 43

	Samp	le		OVA		Laboratory Analyses									
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Net OVA Reading	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	МТВЕ	TRPHs	Arsenic	Cad- mium	Chro- mium	Lead	
		(ft)	(fbls)	(ppm)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Comments
SB-C	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.695	0.072 U	11.6	3.32	0.072 U	39.0		-	-		
SB-H	2/1/17	5.0	2-3	41.9	0.0012 U	0.0010 U	0.0010 U	0.0021 U	0.0010 U	5.31 I		-	-		
SB-K	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.086 U	0.070 U	1.15	0.515 I	0.070 U	35.5					
SD-R	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	5.29	1.06 I	101	111	0.53 U	1300 D ⁴⁰					
SB-M	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	1.12	0.0706 I	22.8	0.410 I	0.050 U	437 D ¹⁰					
3D-IVI	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	0.529	0.051 U	11.2	0.287 I	0.051 U	323 D ¹⁰					
SB-Y	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	2.54	0.380	43.7	52.8	0.061 U	359 D ¹⁰					
3D-1	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	1.36	0.202 I	22.1	25.8	0.049 U	241 D ¹⁰					
SB-AC	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	3.94	0.322	71.4	1.88	0.057 U	553 D ⁵					
SB-AD	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.248 I	0.149 I	43.2	0.326 I	0.066 U	507 D ⁵					
SB-AG	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.852	0.286	13.2	0.295 I	0.052 U	274 D ⁵					
SB-AH	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	0.438	0.114 I	9.67	0.284 I	0.055 U	224 D ⁵					
eachability	Based on Gro	undwater C	riteria (mg/	kg)	0.007	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.09	340	*	7.5	38	*	
irect Expos	ure Residentia	al (ma/ka)			1.2	7,500	1,500	130	4,400	460	2.1	82	210	400	

TABLE 2A: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOAs, TRPHs and Metals

-- = Sample not analyzed for constituent

Exposure values based upon 62-777 F.A.C. criteria (April 17, 2005)

OVA = Organic Vapor Analyzer MTBE = Methyl tert-Butyl Ether Results in bold exceed Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs) * = Leachability value may be determined using TCLP

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

ft = feet, fbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million, mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

Synthetic Precipitate Leaching Procedure (SPLP) Results

Sample OVA Laboratory Analyses Net OVA Depth to Sample Total Ethyl-MTBE Cadmium Borina/ Date Benzene Toluene TRPHs Arsenic Chro-mium Lead Water Interval Reading benzene **Xylenes** Well No. Collected (µg/L) (fbls) (ppm) (µg/L) (µg/L) (µg/L) (µg/L) (µg/L) (µg/L) Comments (ft) (µg/L) (µg/L) (µg/L) 5.5 0.23 U SB-C 2/1/2017 1-2 >1000 2.8 1.5 V 64.3 V 16.7 ---------------SB-K 2/1/2017 5.5 1-2 >1000 0.53 I 1.4 V 8.9 V 0.23 U 5.4 ---------------1-2 >1000 5.5 1.9 V 91.2 V 3.4 0.23 U ---------------SB-M 2/1/2017 5.5 3-4 >1000 4.2 1.9 V 85.7 V 3.5 0.23 U --------------7/28/2017 171 D⁵ SB-AD 4.5 1-2 >1000 2.7 6.0 24.1 0.23 U ---------------7/28/2017 4.5 SB-AG 1-2 >1000 36.7 12.3 0.23 U 1.3 1.6 ---------------SB-AH 7/28/2017 4.0 2-3 >1000 0.62 I 4.0 70.2 193 0.38 I ---------------20** GCTLs 1** 40** 30** 20 5.000 10** 5** 100** 15** NADCs 100 400 300 200 200 50,000 100 50 1,000 150

-- = Sample not analyzed for constituent

Analytical Results reported in micrograms per liter (µg/L) MTBE = Methyl tert-Butyl Ether

NCD = no compounds detected ** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

VOAs = Volatile Organic Aromatics

Concentrations in bold are above GCTLs

U = Result below MDL I = Result between MDL and PQL

V = Analyte found in associated method blank

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Qualifiers:

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

Qualifiers: U = Result below MDL I = Result between MDL and PQL

 \mathbf{p}^{x} = Sample diluted by a factor of x

MDL = Method Detection Limit

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

TABLE 2B: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Non-Carcinogenic PAHs

Facility ID#: 36/8520618

Facility Name: BP Bonita-Oleum Corp

See notes at end of table.

	Samp	le		OVA		Laboratory Analyses										
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Naph- thalene (mg/kg)	1-Methyl- naph- thalene (mg/kg)	2-Methyl- naph- thalene (mg/kg)	Acen- aph- thene (mg/kg)	Acen- aph- thylene (mg/kg)	Anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene (mg/kg)	Fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Fluor- ene (mg/kg)	Phenan- threne (mg/kg)	Pyrene (mg/kg)	Comments
SB-C	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	2.67	1.88	3.62 D ¹⁰	0.029 U	0.029 U	0.018 U	0.0051 I	0.018 U	0.029 U	0.018 U	0.018 U	
SB-H	2/1/17	5.0	2-3	41.9	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.018 U	0.0035 U	0.018 U	0.028 U	0.018 U	0.018 U	
SB-K	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	1.91	1.40	2.37 D ⁴	0.027 U	0.027 U	0.017 U	0.0034 U	0.017 U	0.027 U	0.017 U	0.017 U	
SB-R	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	54.5 D ⁴⁰	33.3 D ⁴⁰	70.2 D ⁴⁰	0.199 I	0.14 U	0.088 U	0.0268 I	0.124 I	0.195 I	0.246 I	0.113 I	5X or 40X Dilution
SB-M	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	17.9 D ¹⁰	12.5 D ¹⁰	26.4 D ¹⁰	0.120	0.0411 I	0.0477 I	0.0057 I	0.017 U	0.164	0.172	0.0438 I	
OD-IM	2/1/17	0.0	3-4	>1000	20.0 D ¹⁰	11.6 D ¹⁰	25.3 D ¹⁰	0.134	0.0427 I	0.0483 I	0.00791	0.0435 I	0.198	0.176	0.0433 I	
SB-Y	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	19.2 D ¹⁰	12.9 D ¹⁰	26.4 D ¹⁰	0.0798	0.030 U	0.0312 I	0.0177	0.0632 I	0.0951	0.103	0.0698 I	
00-1	2/1/17	0.0	3-4	>1000	13.5 D ¹⁰	8.93 D ¹⁰	17.6 D ¹⁰	0.0455 I	0.028 U	0.0198 I	0.0046 I	0.0212 I	0.0550 I	0.0669 I	0.0251 I	
SB-AC	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	40.5 D ²⁵	25.8 D ¹⁰	42.8 D ²⁵	0.30 U	0.30 U	0.19 U	0.037 U	0.19 U	0.30 U	0.238 I	0.19 U	10X or 25X Dilution
SB-AD	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	17.7 D ¹⁰	9.99 D ¹⁰	20.0 D ¹⁰	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.073 U	0.015 U	0.073 U	0.299	0.183 I	0.073 U	4X or 10X Dilution
SB-AG	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	15.1 D ¹⁰	9.63 D ¹⁰	18.9 D ¹⁰	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.071 U	0.014 U	0.071 U	0.304	0.215 I	0.0844 I	4X or 10X Dilution
SB-AH	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	5.64 D ¹⁰	5.17 D ¹⁰	11.2 D ¹⁰	0.029 U	0.029 U	0.0197 I	0.0037 U	0.018 U	0.0465 I	0.0670 I	0.0212 I	
Leachability	Based on Gr	oundwater	Criteria (m	g/kg)	1.2	3.1	8.5	2.1	27	2,500	32,000	1,200	160	250	880	
Direct Expos	ure Resident	ial (mg/kg)			55	200	210	2,400	1,800	21,000	2,500	3,200	2,600	2,200	2,400	

-- = Sample not analyzed for constituent OVA = Organic Vapor Analyzer Exposure values based upon 62-777 F.A.C. criteria (April 17, 2005) Results in bold exceed Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs)

I = Result between MDL and PQL

 \mathbf{D}^{x} = Sample diluted by a factor of x

Qualifiers: U = Result below MDL

MDL = Method Detection Limit PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

ft = feet, fbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million, mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

Synthetic Precipitate Leaching Procedure (SPLP) Results

	Samp	le		OVA		Laboratory Analyses											
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Naph- thalene (µg/L)	1-Methyl- naph- thalene (μg/L)	2-Methyl- naph- thalene (µg/L)	Acen- aph- thene (μg/L)	Acen- aph- thylene (μg/L)	Anthra- cene (µg/L)	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene (μg/L)	Fluoran- thene (µg/L)	Fluor- ene (µg/L)	Phenan- threne (µg/L)	Pyrene (µg/L)	Comments	
SB-C	2/1/2017	5.5	1-2	>1000	2.6	(µg/L) 1.6	(µg/L) 1.3	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.25 U	0.039 U	0.25 U	0.39 U	0.25 U	0.25 U		
3D-C	2/1/2017		1-2	>1000	2.0 16.4	5.2	7.1	0.39 U 0.41 U	0.39 U 0.41 U	0.25 U 0.26 U	0.039 U 0.041 U	0.25 U 0.26 U	0.39 U 0.41 U	0.25 U 0.26 U	0.25 U		
SB-K	2/1/2017	5.5	3-4	>1000		86.3 D ²⁰	138 D ²⁰	0.41 U	0.41 U	0.20 U	0.041 U	0.20 U	0.41 U	0.20 U	0.20 U		
00.14	0/4/0047	5.5	1-2	>1000	248 D ²⁰	78.1 D ²⁰	115 D ²⁰	0.44 1	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.54 1	0.301	0.25 U		
SB-M	2/1/2017		3-4	>1000	179 D ¹⁰	52.7 D ¹⁰	78.7 D ¹⁰	0.41 U	0.41 U	0.26 U	0.041 U	0.26 U	0.41 U	0.26 U	0.26 U		
	0/4/0047		1-2	>1000	182 D ²⁰	51.1 D ²⁰	78.8 D ²⁰	0.41 I	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.25 U		
SB-Y	2/1/2017	5.5	3-4	>1000	39.5 D ¹⁰	37.9 D ¹⁰	16.6 D ¹⁰	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.25 U		
SB-AC	7/28/2017	4.0	2-3	>1000	348 D ¹⁰	83.0 D ¹⁰	126 D ¹⁰	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.25 U		
SB-AD	7/28/2017	4.5	1-2	>1000	189 D ⁸	53.1 D ⁸	77.2 D ⁸	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.25 U		
SB-AG	7/28/2017	4.5	1-2	>1000	139 D ¹⁰	37.9 D ¹⁰	58.8 D ¹⁰	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.25 U	0.040 U	0.25 U	0.40 U	0.26 I	0.25 U		
SB-AH	7/28/2017	4.0	2-3	>1000	55.6 D ²	23.9	36.3	1.0	0.40 U	0.26 I	0.040 U	0.48	0.891	4.0	0.25 U		
		GCTLs			14	28	28	20	210	2,100	210	280	280	210	210		
		NADCs			140	280	280	200	2,100	21,000	2,100	2,800	2,800	2,100	2,100		

Concentrations in bold are above GCTLs

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

Qualifiers: U = Result below MDL

I = Result between MDL and PQL MDL = Method Detection Limit

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

Facility ID#	: 36/852	20618			Facility Na	ame: BP B	See notes at end of table.						
	Sample	e		OVA									
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Benzo (a) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Chry- sene (mg/kg)	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) pyrene equivalent (mg/kg)	Comments
SB-C	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.0057 I	0.0045 I	0.0097 I	0.0036 U	0.0050 I	0.0036 U	0.0055 I	0.01	
SB-H	2/1/17	5.0	2-3	41.9	0.0035 U	0.0035 U	0.0049 I	0.0035 U	0.0035 U	0.0035 U	0.0035 U	0.004	
SB-K	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	0.0034 U	NCD	
			3-4	>1000	0.0406 I	0.0459 I	0.0673 I	0.0244 I	0.0570 I	0.018 U	0.0294 I	0.064	5X Dilution
SB-M	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.0067 I	0.0121 I	0.0097 I	0.0035 U	0.0110 I	0.0035 U	0.0042 I	0.011	
3 D- IVI	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	0.0079 I	0.0121 I	0.0083 I	0.0035 U	0.0101 I	0.0035 U	0.0053 I	0.012	
SB-Y	2/1/17	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.0204	0.0221	0.0377	0.0101 I	0.0319	0.0037 U	0.0166	0.030	
30-1	2/1/17	5.5	3-4	>1000	0.0058 I	0.0095 I	0.0094 I	0.0035 U	0.0087 I	0.0035 U	0.0046 I	0.01	
SB-AC	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	0.037 U	0.0402 I	0.037 U	0.037 U	0.037 U	0.037 U	0.037 U	0.045	10X Dilution
SB-AD	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.015 U	0.0193 I	0.015 U	0.015 U	0.015 U	0.015 U	0.015 U	0.019	4X Dilution
SB-AG	7/28/17	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.0160 I	0.0243 I	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.0221 I	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.027	4X Dilution
SB-AH	7/28/17	4.0	2-3	>1000	0.0037 U	0.0114 I	0.0037 U	0.0037 U	0.0058 I	0.0037 U	0.0037 U	0.005	
Leachability Ba	ased on Grou	Indwater C	riteria (mg	/kg)	8	0.8	2.4	24	77	0.7	6.6	**	
Direct Exposur	e Residentia	l (mg/kg)			0.1	#	#	#	#	#	#	0.1	

TABLE 2C: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Carcinogenic PAHs

-- = Sample not analyzed for constituent

= Direct Exposure value not applicable except as part of the Benzo(a)pyrene equivalent. ** = Leachability value not applicable

NCD = No Compounds Detected OVA = Organic Vapor Analyzer

ft = feet, fbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million, mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram Exposure values based upon 62-777 F.A.C. criteria (April 17, 2005)

Results in bold exceed Soil Cleanup Target Levels

Synthetic Precipitate Leaching Procedure (SPLP) Results

Sample OVA													
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Reading	Benzo (a) anthra- cene	Benzo (a) pyrene	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene	Chrysene	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene		
		(ft)	(fbls)	(ppm)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	Comments	
SB-C	2/1/2017	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U		
SB-K	2/1/2017	5.5	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	
3D-N	2/1/2017		3-4	>1000	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U		
SB-M	2/1/2017	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
30-101	2/1/2017	5.5	3-4	>1000	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U		
SB-Y	2/1/2017	5.5	1-2	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
30-1	2/1/2017	5.5	3-4	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
SB-AC	7/28/2017	4.0	2-3	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
SB-AD	7/28/2017	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
SB-AG	7/28/2017	4.5	1-2	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
SB-AH	7/28/2017	4.0	2-3	>1000	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U		
	(GCTLs			0.05 ^a	0.2**	0.05 ^a	0.5	4.8	0.005 ^a	0.05 ^a		
	Ν	IADCs			5	20	5	50	480	0.5	5		

Analytical Results reported in µg/L

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

Qualifiers: U = Result below MDL I = Result between MDL and PQL

MDL = Method Detection Limit

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

a = See the October 12, 2004 "Guidance for the Selection of Analytical Methods and for the Evaluation of Practical Quantitation Limits" to determine how to evaluate data when the CTL is lower than the PQL.

Qualifiers: U = Result below MDL

MDL = Method Detection Limit

I = Result between MDL and PQL

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

TABLE 2E: SOIL TRPH SPECIATION ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Facility Name: BP Bonita-Oleum Corp

Facility ID#: 36/8520618

	San	nple								
Location	Date	Collection Interval (fbls)	OVA Response (ppm)	TRPH*	C9-C10 Aromatics	C11-C22 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C19-C36 Aliphatics
SB-K	2/1/2017	3-4	>1000	1,300 D ⁴⁰	2,210	930 D ²⁵	3,290	1,980	440 D ²⁵	140 U, D ²⁵
SB-M	2/1/2017	1-2	>1000	437 D ¹⁰	403	445 D ¹⁰	642	492	186 D ¹⁰	54 U, D ¹⁰
SB-Y	2/1/2017	1-2	>1000	359 D ¹⁰	739	553 D ¹⁰	973	743	313 D ¹⁰	53 U, D ¹⁰
SB-AC	7/28/2017	2-3	>1000	553 D ⁵	441	222 D ⁴ , V	717	420	232 D ⁴	15.2 I, D ⁴
SB-AD	7/28/2017	1-2	>1000	507 D ⁵	321	112 D ⁴ , V	728	329	120 D ⁴	19.1 I, D ⁴
Direct Expos	ure, Resident	ial		460	560	1,800	7,100	1,700	2,900	42,000
Leachability	(based on GV	V)		340	380	1,000	960	31,000	140,000	#

* TRPH speciated using the MADEP Method

Dd Results in bold exceed Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs)

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Analytical Results in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

OVA = Organic Vapor Analyzer

fbls = feet below land surface, ppm = parts per million

U = below laboratory detection limit

I = Result > MDL but < PQL

 \mathbf{D}^{x} = Sample diluted by a factor of x

V = Analyte found in associated method blank

= Not a health concern for this exposure scenario

Exposure values based upon 62-777 F.A.C. criteria (August 5, 1999) and Technical Report: Development of CTLs for Chapter 62-777 (Table C-9) (February 2005)

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

Facility ID#: 36/8520618	Facility Name: BP Bonita-Oleum Corp															
WELL NO. MW-1					MW-2			MW-3			MW-4		MW-5			
DIAMETER (in)	1) 2			2			2			2			2			
WELL DEPTH (ft) 13.1					13.4			12.8			12.6		13.4			
SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)		3.1-13.1			3.4-13.4			2.8-12.8			2.6-12.6			3.4-13.4		
TOC ELEVATION (ft)		10.00		9.57				8.49			8.61			8.62		
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
2/2/1995	5.99	4.01	NM	6.09	3.48	NM	5.98	2.51	MN	5.74	2.87	NM	5.53	3.09	NM	
3/20/1995		NM			NM			NM			NM			NM		
2/13/2017		Destroyed	d		Destroyed	1		Destroyed	d		Destroye	d		Destroyed	d	
12/1/2017												Ī				
12, 112011																
WELL NO.		MW-6			MW-7			MW-8			MW-9D			MW-10		
DIAMETER (in)		2		2			2				2		2			
· · · /	_															
WELL DEPTH (ft)	11.2			12.7			12.9				24.2		13.0			
SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)	1.2-11.2			2.7-12.7			2.9-12.9				19.2-24.2	2	3-13			
TOC ELEVATION (ft)		8.89		9.97			9.95			9.79			NM			
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
2/2/1995	5.62	3.27	NM	5.92	4.05	NM	5.80	4.15	NM	5.82	3.97	NM		NI		
3/20/1995		NM			NM			NM								
2/13/2017		Destroyed			Blocked			Destroyed			Destroyed			Destroyed		
12/1/2017																
WELL NO.	_	MW-11			MW-12			MW-12D			MW-13			MW-14		
DIAMETER (in) WELL DEPTH (ft)	-	2 13.0			2 12.0			2 25.0			2 12.0			2 12.0		
SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)	_	3-13			2-12			20-25			2-12			2-12		
TOC ELEVATION (ft)		NM			10.00			10.19			9.87			2-12		
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
2/2/1995	+	NI			NI	••		NI			NI			NI		
3/20/1995	1	NM			NI			NI		1	NI	<u> </u>		NI		
2/13/2017	1	Destroyed	d	4.62	5.38	0.00		NI	1	4.73	5.14	0.00	4.78	5.32	0.00	
8/14/2017	1	,		6.43	3.57	0.00		NI	İ	6.59	3.28	0.00	6.53	3.57	0.00	
12/1/2017					NM		5.92	4.27	0.00	I	NM			NM		

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

Facility ID#: 36/8520618	, 			1					Tuomty		BP Bon				
WELL NO.		MW-15			MW-15D			MW-16			MW-16D			MW-17	
DIAMETER (in)		2			2			2			2			2	
WELL DEPTH (ft)		12.0			25.0			12.0			30.0			12.0	
SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)		2-12			20-25			2-12			25-30			2-12	
TOC ELEVATION (ft)		10.66			10.14			9.40			9.52			9.70	
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP
2/2/1995		NI			NI			NI			NI			NI	
3/20/1995		NI			NI			NI			NI			NI	
2/13/2017	4.66	6.00	0.00		NI			NI			NI			NI	
8/14/2017	6.46	4.20	0.00		NI		6.16	3.24	0.00		NI		6.38	3.32	0.00
12/1/2017		NM		5.45	4.69	0.00		NM		5.25	4.27	0.00		NM	
WELL NO.		MW-18			MW-19			MW-20			MW-21			MW-22	
DIAMETER (in)		2			2			2			2			2	
WELL DEPTH (ft)		12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0			12.0	
SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)		2-12			2-12			2-12			2-12			2-12	
TOC ELEVATION (ft)		9.21			10.09			10.21			9.81			9.93	
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP
								NI			NI			NI	
2/2/1995	T	NI			NI			1 1 1							
2/2/1995 3/20/1995	\square	NI NI			NI NI			NI			NI			NI	
3/20/1995	6.28	NI	0.00	6.40	NI	0.00	6.77	NI	0.00	6.68	NI	0.00		NI	
3/20/1995 2/13/2017	6.28	NI NI	0.00	6.40	NI NI	0.00	6.77	NI NI	0.00	6.68	NI NI	0.00	5.46	NI NI	0.00
3/20/1995 2/13/2017 8/14/2017	6.28	NI NI 2.93	0.00	6.40	NI NI 3.69	0.00	6.77	NI NI 3.44	0.00	6.68	NI NI 3.13	0.00	5.46	NI NI NI	0.00
3/20/1995 2/13/2017 8/14/2017	6.28	NI NI 2.93	0.00	6.40	NI NI 3.69	0.00	6.77	NI NI 3.44	0.00	6.68	NI NI 3.13	0.00	5.46	NI NI NI	0.00

ELEV = Water level elevation in feet (ft) DTW = Depth to water in feet (ft) NM = Not Measured

NI = Not Installed

FP = Free product thickness in feet (ft)

TOC = Top-of-casing

All TOC elevations surveyed relative to an arbitrary datum

TABLE 4A: MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOCs and Metals

Facility ID#: 36/8520618

Facility Name: BP Bonita-Oleum Corp

See notes at end of table.

Sa	mple	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	MTBE	EDB	EDC	Chloro- benzene	1,4-Di- chloro- benzene	Total Lead
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-1	2/2/1995	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NCD	5 U	0.02 U		13.9	6.5	5.0
MW-2	2/2/1995	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NCD	5 U	0.02 U		1 U	1 U	26.0
MW-3	2/2/1995	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NCD	5 U	0.02 U		1 U	1 U	24.0
MW-4	2/2/1995	1 U	1 U	1 U	8.5	8.5	5 U	0.02 U		1 U	1 U	6.6
MW-5	2/2/1995	1,060	26	360	445	1,891	775	0.02 U		10 U	10 U	8.2
MW-6	2/2/1995	135	63	16	71	285	1,650	0.02 U		10 U	10 U	3 U
MW-7	2/2/1995	870	1,630	410	2,450	5,360	6,800	0.02 U		100 U	100 U	22.0
MW-8	2/2/1995	6,620	4,270	1,080	4,830	16,800	58,000	0.02 U		100 U	100 U	23.0
MW-9D	2/2/1995	15.7	75.1	16.7	102.2	209.7	11.4	0.02 U		1 U	1 U	17.0
	3/20/1995	6.7	1 U	3.6	3.0	13.3	8.0					
MW-10	3/20/1995	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NCD	5 U	0.02 U				6.6
MW-11	3/20/1995	1,440	77.0	32.0	348	1,897	8,650	0.02 U				5.1
MW-12	2/13/2017	1,250 D ⁵⁰⁰	704 D ⁵⁰⁰	602 D ⁵⁰⁰	1,850 D ⁵⁰⁰	4,406	98.2	0.0095 U	0.31 U			1.1 U
MW-12D	12/1/2017	0.31 U	0.30 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	NCD	0.23 U					
MW-13	2/13/2017	389 D ⁵⁰	71.7	283 D ⁵⁰	239	982	2.3	0.0095 U	0.31 U			1.1 U
MW-14	2/13/2017	0.31 U	0.30 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	NCD	0.23 U	0.0095 U	0.31 U			1.1 U
MW-15	2/13/2017	1,660 D ²⁰	9.1	385 D ²⁰	447 D ²⁰	2,501	15.3					
MW-15D	12/1/2017	0.31 U	0.30 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	NCD	0.23 U					
MW-16	8/14/2017	0.31 U	1.2	0.36 U	0.72 U	1.2	0.23 U					
MW-16D	12/1/2017	0.31 U	0.30 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	NCD	0.23 U					
MW-17	8/14/2017	0.31 U	1.1	0.36 U	0.72 U	1.1	0.23 U					
MW-18	8/14/2017	0.31 U	1.5	0.36 U	0.72 U	1.5	0.23 U					
MW-19	8/14/2017	0.31 U	0.88	0.36 U	0.72 U	0.88	0.23 U					
MW-20	8/14/2017	0.31 U	1.3	0.36 U	0.72 U	1.3	0.23 U					
MW-21	8/14/2017	0.31 U	1.2	0.36 U	0.72 U	1.2	0.23 U					
MW-22	12/1/2017	135 D ²	7.6 D ²	77.1 D ²	241 D ²	460.7	8.4 D ²					
_	CTLs	1**	40**	30**	20**	NA	20	0.02**	3**	100	75	15**
NA	ADCs	100	400	300	200	NA	200	2	300	1000	750	150

EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane

EDC = 1,2-Dichloroethane

µg/L = micrograms per liter

NCD = no compounds detected

-- = Sample not analyzed for constituent or not reported

** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

Concentrations in bold are above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs)

U = Result below MDL

I = Result between MDL and PQL

 \mathbf{p}^{x} = Sample diluted by a factor of x

Qualifiers:

MDL = Method Detection Limit PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

See notes at end of table. Facility ID#: 36/8520618 Facility Name: BP Bonita-Oleum Corp Benzo Benzo Benzo Benzo Dibenz 1-Methyl-2-Methyl-Acen-Renzo Indeno Acen-Anthra-Nanh-Chry-Fluoran-Fluor-Phenan-(a) (b) (g,h,i) (k) (a.h) Sample TRPHs nanhnaphaphanh-(1,2,3-cd) Pvrene (a) thalene anthraanthrafluoranperyfluoranthene ene threne cene sene thalene thalene thene thylene pyrene pyrene cene thene lene thene cene Location Date (µg/L) MW-1 2/2/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-2 2/2/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-3 2/2/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-4 2/2/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 511 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-5 2/2/1995 1000 U 12 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-6 2/2/1995 1.400 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-7 2/2/1995 68.000 195 49 125 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-8 2/2/1995 65.000 215 38 93 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-9D 2/2/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 3/20/1995 ---------------------------------------------------------MW-10 3/20/1995 1000 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-11 3/20/1995 1000 U 24 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U MW-12 2/13/2017 5,100 D⁵ 115 D¹⁰ 13.6 24.7 0.31 U 0.31 U 0.19 U 0.031 U 0.19 U 0.19 U 0.031 U 0.19 U 0.19 U MW-12D 12/1/2017 2231 0.3211 0.3211 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0 20 11 0 032 U 0.032 U 0.032 U 0.032 U 0 032 U 0.032 U 0.032 U 0 20 U 0 20 U 0 032 U 0 20 11 0 20 11 MW-13 2/13/2017 1.020 38.1 7.3 13.4 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.21 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.21 U 0.21 U MW-14 2/13/2017 397 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 347 D²⁰ 59.6 D²⁰ 0.20 U MW-15 2/13/2017 4.120 D⁵ 30.4 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U MW-15D 12/1/2017 204 I 0.38 | 0.36 I 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U MW-16 8/14/2017 140 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U MW-16D 12/1/2017 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 208 | 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.033 U 0.21 U MW-17 8/14/2017 140 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.033 U 0.033 U 0.033 U 0.033 U 0.033 U 0.21 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.21 U MW-18 8/14/2017 140 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U MW-19 8/14/2017 247 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.33 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.21 U 0.21 U 0.033 U 0.21 U 0.21 U MW-20 8/14/2017 272 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U MW-21 8/14/2017 235 I 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U MW-22 12/1/2017 406 14.2 V 1.5 2.6 0.32 U 0.32 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U 0.032 U 0.20 U 0.20 U GCTLs 0.05^a 0.2** 0.05^a 0.005^a 0.05^a 5.000 14 28 28 20 210 2,100 210 0.5 4.8 280 280 210 210 NADCs 50.000 140 280 280 200 2.100 21.000 5 20 5 2.100 50 480 0.5 2.800 2.800 5 2.100 2.100

TABLE 4B: MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - PAHs and TRPHs

TRPHs = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

μg/L = micrograms per liter	Qualifiers:	U = Result below MDL
= Sample not analyzed for constituent or not reported		I = Result between MDL and PQL
** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.		D ^x = Sample diluted by a factor of x
Concentrations in bold are above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs)		V = Analyte found in associated method blank

MDL = Method Detection Limit PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit

a = See the October 12, 2004 "Guidance for the Selection of Analytical Methods and for the Evaluation of Practical Quantitation Limits" to determine how to evaluate data when the CTL is lower than the PQL.

TABLE 5

TABLE 5 Site Assessment Summary Worksheet


FDEP FAC ID #: 36/8520618	Site Name:		BP Bonita-O	leum Corp			
Does Site Qualify for LTNAM:							
Dominant Lithology Vadose Zone	GW Contaminants one per constituent	≤ GCTLs	≤ NADC	> NADC	Not Analyzed		
First Lithology (USCS): SP	Benzene			х			
Second Lithology (USCS): SW	Ethylbenzene			Х			
Dominant Lithology Saturated Zone	Toluene			Х			
First Lithology (USCS): SP	Total Xylenes			Х			
Second Lithology (USCS): CL	MTBE		Х				
	Naphthalene			Х			
Average Depth to Water: 0' - 5'	1-Methylnaphthalene		Х				
Groundwater Flow Direction: Northwest	2-Methylnaphthalene		Х				
	TRPHs		Х				
Recommended Technology for SRCO: Air Sparging	EDB	Х					
Combined Technology: Soil Vapor Extraction	As				Х		
	Pb	Х					
Consultant SRCO Cost Estimate: \$400,000	Other	Х					
Consultant NFAC Cost Estimate: \$250,000	Soil Contaminants (select essures						

Plume Characteristics	Groundwater	Soil
Shrinking or Stable	No	
On-site only	Yes	Yes
Plume <1/4 acre	No	Yes
Exclusion Zone Only	No	No
In FDOT ROW only	No	No
On State-Owned Land Only	No	No
Organoleptic Exceedence only (< HB CTLs)	No	
DE Soil Exceedences above 2'		Yes
DE Soil Exceedences from 2' to 10'		Yes
DE Soil Exceedences below 10'		N/A
Free Product	No	
Site Qualifies for LSSI NFA (any score)	No	No


Soil Contaminants (select one unless Leachability & Direct Exposure CTLs exceeded)	No Soil Exceedences*	Exceeds Leachability	Exceeds Direct Exposure	Not Analyzed
Benzene		Х	Х	
Ethylbenzene		Х		
Toluene		Х		
Total Xylenes		Х		
МТВЕ	Х			
Naphthalene		Х		
1-Methylnaphthalene		Х		
2-Methylnaphthalene		х		
Other PAHs	Х			
TRPHs		Х	Х	
As				Х
Pb				Х
Other				x

* Below direct exposure and leachability (or alternative SCTLS established through SPLP or fractionation)


APPENDIX B Figures




12/17 FIG1




12/17 FIG.







12/17 FIG4B



12/17 FIG5/





APPENDIX C

Storage Tank Facility Search Site Closure Assessment Discharge Reporting Forms Eligibility Letter Potable Well Survey Receptor Survey and Exposure Pathway Identification Form Contamination Assessment Report & Review Comments Fire Department Complaint Report Florida Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems Storage Tank/Contaminated Facility Name & Address Search

Facility ID#: 8520618 Name: Bp-Bonita-Oleum Corp 9021 Bonita Beach Rd Bonita Springs, FL 33923- 4213 Contact: Oleum Corp Phone: 813-992-4941 District: SD County: 36 - Lee Type: A-Retail Station Status: Closed Latitude: 26:19:48.3326 Longitude: 81:48:09.1978 LL Method: DPHO-Unverified

Account Owner: Oleum Corp

Tank #	Size	Content	Installed	Placement	Status	Construction Piping Monitoring
1	10152 Le	eaded Gas	07/01/1976	UNDER	Removed from Site	
1R1	10000 Ui	nleaded Gas	11/01/1988	UNDER	Removed from Site	
2	12000 Ui	nleaded Gas	07/01/1980	UNDER	Removed from Site	
2R1	10000 Ui	nleaded Gas	11/01/1988	UNDER	Removed from Site	
3	10152 Ui	nleaded Gas	07/01/1976	UNDER	Removed from Site	
3R1	10000 Le	eaded Gas	11/01/1988	UNDER	Removed from Site	
4	10152 Ve	ehicular Diesel	07/01/1976	UNDER	Removed from Site	
4R1	10000 Ve	ehicular Diesel	11/01/1988	UNDER	Removed from Site	

* * * Note:

Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Info not shown for CLOSED tanks (Status A: Closed in Place, B: Removed from the site).



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

John E. Manning District One

Douglas R. St. Cerny District Two

Ray Judah District Three

Andrew W. Coy District Four

John E. Albion District Five

Donald D. Stilwell Country Administrator

James G. Yaeger County Attorney

Diana M. Parker County Hearing Examiner November 21, 1994

Mr. Frank Holland Oleum Corporation P.O. Box 413038 Naples, FL 33941-3038

RE: BP - Bonita - Oleum Corp. DEP ID#: 368520618

Dear Mr. Holland:

For your records, I have enclosed a copy of the recent closure compliance inspection conducted at your facility on November 10, 1994.

Although a Closure Assessment Report is not required for the closure of these tanks, this office does require a copy of the disposal manifests for any residual fuel, contaminated water and sludges as well as the tanks, to document proper closure. It is also unknown if the piping was capped and/or removed.

Pursuant to FAC Rule 62-761.800(2) and (3), the required information requested above is due in this office by January 10, 1995. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at the above number.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Division of Natural Resources Management

Bill W/Johnson Supervisor Storage Tanks Program

BWJ:alc WDocs\c8520618


Enclosure

P.O. Box 398 Fort Myers, Florida 33902-0398 (813) 335-2111

813/335-2141 Writer's Direct Dial Number







JAN.101995

LEE COUNTY DIV. OF NATURAL RESOURCES MONT.

SITE CLOSURE ASSESSMENT BONITA B.P. - OLEUM CORPORATION 9021 BONITA BEACH ROAD BONITA SPRINGS, FLORIDA

FDER FACILITY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 368520618

Prepared for:

Oleum Corporation P.O. Box 413038 Naples, Florida 33941-3038

By:

Coastal Resource Management, Inc. 2029 Bayside Parkway Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (813) 334-4435

> January, 1995 CRM File No. E94-176

Michael J. Westphall Geologist #340

Coastal Resource Management, Inc.

2029 Bayside Parkway Fort Myers, Florida 33901-3101 C R M

Phone: (813) 334-4435 Fax: (813) 334-6932

January 6, 1995

Mr. Steven Hooper Lee County Division of Natural Resources Management P. O. Box 398 Fort Myers, Florida 33902-0398

RE: Site Closure Assessment and Remedial Action Report Bonita B.P. - Oleum Corporation FDER Facility Number: 368520618 CRM File Number: E94-176

Dear Mr. Hooper:

Enclosed please find the referenced report which describes the removal of UST's, and associated dispenser islands and piping.

Should you have any comments or questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

COASTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, INC.

Michael J. Westphall, P.G. Registration Number 340 President


DBH:smd

Enclosure

I. INTRODUCTION

Four (4) underground storage tanks (UST's) have been removed from the Bonita B.P. facility, located at 9021 Bonita Beach Road, Bonita Springs, Florida (Figure 1). Each of the four (4) UST's had a capacity of 10,000 gallons: three (3) of the UST's were reported to have contained gasoline, and one (1) UST was reported to have contained diesel fuel. The project facility is registered with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) under the name B.P. Bonita - Oleum Corporation as facility identification number 368520618.

West Coast Mechanical, Inc. (WCM), was contracted to remove the UST's; Coastal Resource Management, Inc. (CRM) was contracted to supervise the removal of the UST's, and to assess for potential soil and groundwater contamination associated with the UST's and product distribution system. The following sections describe the work scope completed at the site, and the methodology employed to obtain data.



REMOVAL OF THE FUEL STORAGE SYSTEM AND CONTAMINATED SOIL

A. Introduction


11.

WCM, a licensed pollutant storage system contractor, removed and disposed of the UST's at the Bonita B.P. site. The UST's were removed on November 9, 1994, with the use of a trackhoe (refer to Appendix A for tank disposal manifests). Mr. David Hire of CRM and Mr. Paul Demack of the Lee County Division of Natural Resources Management were present on-site during the removal of the UST's. Prior to removal of the UST's, WCM pumped all fluids from the UST's and transported the fluids to a holding tank at the WCM facility. Fluids were removed from the WCM facility by Howco Environmental Services for proper treatment and/or disposal. A manifest showing the receipt of the liquid is provided as Appendix B. The petroleum storage system at the project facility consisted of one (1) tank farm area, three (3) dispenser islands and associated piping (refer to Figure 2 for the location of pertinent features). Dispenser islands and product piping were excavated on November 16, 17, and 18, 1994.

Soils within the excavated areas were quantitatively assessed for the presence of volatile organic hydrocarbons with the use of an organic vapor analyzer (OVA). The OVA used was a Foxboro Model 128, which had been pre-calibrated by the use of methane span gas in concentrations of 95 ppm and 500 ppm. Clean sample jars were half-filled with soil and covered tightly with aluminum foil; the remaining air space (head space) was tested for volatile organic hydrocarbon concentration with the use of an unfiltered probe. The soil samples were also tested using a filtered probe (activated carbon) to account for the presence of naturally-occurring methane in the soil.

B. Excavation of the UST's

On November 9, 1994, WCM removed four (4) UST's from the Bonita B.P. facility. Three (3) of the UST's were reported to have been used to store gasoline and one (1) of the UST's was reported to have been used to store diesel fuel; all four (4) UST's had capacities of 10,000 gallons. The UST's were manufactured by Buffalo Tank and were



constructed of fiberglass clad steel. All tanks were in good condition with no significant rust or pitting and no apparent holes.

Soil samples were collected for analyses by the OVA at selected intervals to depths of five (5) and five and one-half (5.5) feet below land surface. The surface of the water table was encountered at approximately six (6) feet below grade. Table 1 provides the results of the OVA survey and Figure 3 indicates locations of soils sampled.

C. Excavation of the Dispenser Islands and Contaminated Soil

The three (3) dispenser islands (designated as the western, eastern and southern islands) and the product piping were excavated on November 16, 17 and 18, 1994. Excavation of these areas was completed to a depth of about two and one half (2.5) to three (3) feet below grade. Excessively contaminated soils were encountered at the western and eastern dispenser islands (refer to Figure 3 for limits of excavated soil, and Table 1 for OVA survey).

Excessively contaminated soils encountered at the western and eastern dispenser islands were excavated and stockpiled on asphalt on-site. Excavation of soil was completed to a depth of approximately five (5) feet below land surface. The contaminated soil stockpile was covered with visqueen to prevent the potential spread of contamination. A groundwater sample was not collected for analyses because soil contamination was identified on-site. A Discharge Reporting Form was submitted to the LCDNRM on November 17, 1994 (refer to Appendix C).

III. PRE-BURN ANALYSES AND REMOVAL OF CONTAMINATED SOIL

On November 18, 1994, CRM collected three (3) composite samples of the contaminated soil stockpile for analyses of pre-burn parameters, which include EPA Method 8010, 8020, 9873 and the eight (8) RCRA metals (refer to Appendix D). On December 14, 1994, a total of 403.17 tons of contaminated soils were transported to South Florida Thermal Services, Inc. for remediation by thermal techniques. A Certificate

	•			
	BOI	TABLE 1. OVA S NITA B.P OLEUM C		
Site No.	Depth Below Grade (ft.)	OVA Readings (ppm)	OVA Reading with Carbon Filter	OVA Reading Adjusted
	2	0	0	0
1	5	4	0	4
_	2	0	0	0
2	5	70	44	26
_	2	0	0	0
3	5	90	72	18
	2	1	0	1
4	5	88	46	42
	2	65	25	40
5	5	4	0	4
6	2	46	12	34
	3	280	280	0
7	4	180	140	40
•	5.5	180	150	30
	3	70	60	10
8	5	40	0	40
	2	28	8	20
9	5	470	460	10
	2	50	18	32
10	5	880	490	390
11	2	40	0	40
	2	90	90	0
12	5	80	40	40
<u> </u>	2	3	0	3
13	5	120	100	20

	BON	TABLE 1. OVA S NITA B.P OLEUM C		
Site No.	Depth Below Grade (ft.)	OVA Readings (ppm)	OVA Reading with Carbon Filter	OVA Reading Adjusted
	2	26	8	18
14	3	58	14	44
	5	80	60	20
. –	2	12	2	10
15	5	62	40	22
	2	>1,000	500	>500
16	5	>1,000	>1,000	*
	2	960	280	680
17	5	>1,000	>1,000	*
	2	38	8	30
18	5	>1,000	120	880
	2	20	4	16
19	5	120	96	24
20	2	46	0	46
	2	16	2	14
21	5	12	8	4
	2	48	16	32
22	5	60	42	18
	2	400	0	400
23	4	900	82	818
	2	180	0	180
24	5	720	70	650
-	2	48	8	40
25	5	68	32	36
	2	26	6	20
26	5	76	34	42

* Unable to obtain valid OVA reading.


÷

TABLE 1. OVA SURVEY BONITA B.P OLEUM CORPORATION					
Site No.	Depth Below Grade (ft.)	OVA Readings (ppm)	OVA Reading with Carbon Filter	OVA Reading Adjusted	
	2	420	140	280	
27	5	>1,000	260	>740	
	2	420	120	300	
28	5	>1,000	280	>720	
· ,	2	100	30	70	
29	5	820	180	640	
	2	58	0	58	
30	3.5	>1,000	140	>860	
	4.5	>1,000	>1,000	*	
	2	84	0	84	
31	5	> 1,000	460	>540	
	2	0	0	0	
32	5	0	0	0	
	2.5	4	0	4	
33	5	>1,000	490	>510	
	2.5	2	0	2	
34	5	420	270	150	
	2.5	> 1,000	24	>976	
35	5	>1,000	>1,000	*	
	2.5	40	0	40	
36	5	> 1,000	120	>880	
	2.5	> 1,000	8	>992	
37	5	> 1,000	480	>520	
	2.5	0	0	0	
38	5	170	32	138	
39	2.5	> 1,000	180	>820	
40	2.5	>1,000	180	>820	

* Unable to obtain valid OVA reading.

l

	BOI	TABLE 1. OVA S NITA B.P OLEUM C		
Site No.	Depth Below Grade (ft.)	OVA Readings (ppm)	OVA Reading with Carbon Fliter	OVA Reading Adjusted
	1.5	>1,000	110	>890
41	2.5	>1,000	240	>760
	1.5	> 1,000	180	>820
42	2.5	>1,000	220	>780
43	2.5	>1,000	120	>880
	2	140	100	40
44	4	80	58	22
	1.5	180	180	0
45	3	120	92	28
46	2.5	4	0	4
47	2.5	3	1	2
48	2.5	220	84	136
49	2.5	>1,000	420	>680



IV. CONCLUSIONS

Four (4) UST's and product piping have been removed from the Bonita B.P. facility located in Bonita Springs, Florida.

Excessively contaminated soils were encountered at the tank farm area and at the western and eastern dispenser islands. Excessively contaminated soil above the water table was excavated and stockpiled on-site.

A total of 403.17 tons of contaminated soils have been removed from the site for thermal treatment at a licensed facility. A Closure Assessment Form is provided as Appendix E; an Initial Remedial Action Report Form is provided as Appendix F.

A CAR will be submitted to Lee County Division of Natural Resources Management within six (6) months of discovery of the petroleum discharge.

APPENDICES

Exhibit A.	Tank Disposal Manifests
Exhibit B.	Contaminated Liquid Manifest
Exhibit C.	Discharge Reporting Form
Exhibit D.	Laboratory Results of Pre-Burn Analyses
Exhibit E.	Closure Assessment Form
Exhibit F.	Initial Remedial Action Report Form

EXHIBIT A - TANK DISPOSAL MANIFESTS



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Twin Towers Office Bidg. • 2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

XER Form a 17-761.900(5) Underground Storage Tank Installation & form Tale Removal Form for Cartified Contractors

X

ective Date December 10, 1990

Underground Storage Tank Installation and Removal Form For Certified Contractors

Pollutant Storage System Specialty Contractors as defined in Section 489.113, Florida Statutes (Certified contractors as defined in Section 17-761.200, Florida Administrative Code) shall use this form to certify that the installation, replacement or removal of the storage tank system(s) located at the address listed below was performed in accordance with Department Reference Standards.

General Facility Information

1.	DER Facility Identification No.: 368520618					
2.	Facility Name:B.P. STATION Telephone: (813) 262-2600					
З.	Street Address (physical location): 9021 BONITA BEACH ROAD, BONITA SPRINGS, FL.					
4.	Owner Name: OLEUM CORPORATION Telephone: (813) 262-2600					
5.	Owner Address: P.O. BOX 413038, NAPLES, FL. 33941					
6.	Number of Tanks: a. Installed at this time b. Removed at this time					
7.	Tank(s) Manufactured by:BUFFALO_TANK_CO.					
	Date Work Initiated:11/1/94 9. Date Work Completed:11/20/94					

Underground Pollutant Tank Installation Checklist

Please certify the completion of the following installation requirements by placing an (X) in the appropriate box.

- 1. The tanks and piping are corrosion resistant and approved for use by State and Federal Laws.
- 2. Excavation, backfill and compaction completed in accordance with NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) 30(87), API (American Petroleum Institute) 1615, PEI (Petroleum Equipment Institute) RP100-87 and the manufacturers' specifications.
- Tanks and piping pretested and installed in accordance with NFPA 30(87), API 1615, PEI/RP100(87) and the manufacturers', specifications.
- 4. Steel tanks and piping are cathodically protected in accordance with NFPA 30(87), API 1632, UL (Underwriters Laboratory) 1746, STI (Steel Tank Institute) R892-89 and the manufacturer's specifications.
- 5. Tanks and piping tested for tightness after installation in accordance with NFPA 30(87) and PEI/RP100-87.
- 6. Monitoring well(s) or other leak detection devices installed and tested in accordance with Section 17-761.640, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)
- 7. Spill and overfill protection devices installed in accordance with Section 17-761.500, F.A.C.
- 8. Secondary containment installed for tanks and piping as applicable in accordance with Section 17-761.500, F.A.C.

Please Note: The numbers following the abbreviations (e.g. API 1615) are publication or specification numbers issued by these instututions.

Underground Pollutant Tank Removal Checklist

1. Closure assessment performed in accordance with Section 17-761.800, F.A.C.

2. Underground tank removed and disposed of as specified in API 1604 in acordance with Section 17-761.800, F.A.C.



P.O. Box 05-1016 Ft. Myers, FL 33905

State Certified Contractors (813) 995-4900

TANK DISPOSAL MANIFEST

Date: 12/14/94

Re: Tank Disposal

Job Location: B.P. STATION9021 BONITA BEACH RD.BONITA SPRINGS, FL.

The following tanks were removed from the above location, cut up and scrapped in accord with all State and Local codes; D.E.R. and N.F.P.A.

.....TANKS: 4SIZE: 10,000 GALLON<u>STEEL</u>/FIBERGLASS

Rússell C. Lynn West Coast Mechanical, Inc.

Corporate Seal


Signed	and sealed this 14th
	Decembra, 1994.
1 Jain	may 1 XTR Ilina

Notary Public

State of Florida

My Commission expires_

Seal



RL:raa

ORDER NO. C246EF

Issued on Tuesday, August 8, 2023 EDT Created on Tuesday, August 8, 2023 EDT by Elisha McCleary (Contracts) on behalf of James Yurkovich (Contracts)

BILL TO:

PROGRAM

United States

DEP-PETROLEUM RESTORATION

2600 BLAIR STONE RD

TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399

BMC RM 420 MS 4575

SUPPLIER:

Advanced Environmental Technologies, LLC 5910 Breckenridge Pkwy Tampa, FL 33610 United States Phone: +1 (863) 614-0693 Fax: +1 850-208-3210 Contact: Kim McGowan

SHIP TO:

DEP-PETROLEUM RESTORATION PROGRAM 2600 BLAIR STONE RD BMC RM 420 MS 4575 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399 United States

DELIVER TO:

James Yurkovich (Contracts) Organization Code: Description: PETROLEUM TANKS CLEANUP Expansion Opt: Description: Object Code: Description: ENGINEERING SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL Transaction Fee Exempt?: No Transaction Fee Exempt Reason: VersionNumber: 1 PUI: ID: 3701

Name: 3701 - FDEP Contracts PO Start Date: Tuesday, August 8, 2023 GMT PO End Date: Monday, April 29, 2024 GMT **Site Code:** ID: 370000-12 Name: 12 Encumber Funds: Yes Entity Description: Department of Environmental Protection

LINE ITEM DETAILS (1 LINE ITEM)

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PART NUMBER	QTY	NEED-BY DATE	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	ORDER CONFIRMATION STATUS
1	Contractor has been selected to perform Site 		74,605.68 Dollar	-	\$1.00000 USD	\$74,605.68000 USD	Unconfirmed

Full Description: Contractor has been selected to perform Site Assessment (SA) at BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp, 9021 Bonita Beach Rd, Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida, FAC ID 368520618. Attachment A, Scope of Work, attached to the purchase order (PO) describes the work to be completed by the Contractor. All work shall be performed in accordance with the terms of the Agency Term

TOTAL AMOUNT \$74,605.68000 USD Contract (ATC). The PRP reference number for this project is 833-048A.

Attached hereto and made a part of this PO is Attachment B - Schedule of Pay Items and Other Related Documents. Pay Items are at or below the negotiated maximum rates included in the ATC. Contractor must submit the appropriate completed documents from Attachment B to the Site Manager with each deliverable, as instructed. Upon completion and approval of all work under this PO, Contractor shall submit a signed Release of Claims document, along with the final invoice. Contractor must include Subcontractor Utilization Report form, included as a tab on Attachment B, with each invoice.

The Department will retain 5% of the total amount of each payment made. Contractor may submit a request for release of retainage upon completion, and DEP approval of, all work performed under this PO.

The Department will evaluate the Contractor as specified in the Agency Term Contract.

The Contractor agrees to perform the services described in the PO in accordance with the terms of its ATC (as those terms may have been amended) which are in effect on date of issuance of the PO. The applicable ATC terms are available at the following URL: https://facts.fldfs.com/Search/ContractDetail.aspx?AgencyId=370000&ContractId=GC833

Req. Line No.: 1 Requester: James Yurkovich (Contracts) PR No.: PR321583 Method of Procurement: J - Agency ITN [s 287.057(1) (c), F.S.] Shipping Method: Best Way Solicitation #: 2014004C State Contract ID: Prime Vendor: incoTerm:

TOTAL AMOUNT \$74,605.68000 USD

COMMENTS

 Elisha McCleary (Contracts), 08/02/2023: The following attachments are attached hereto and made a part of this Purchase Order: Attachment A – Scope of Work Attachment B – Schedule of Pay Items & Other Related Documents (Elisha McCleary (Contracts), Wednesday, August 2, 2023 EDT)

 Jaylynn Lowery (Contracts), 08/08/2023: Note: Attachment B language appearing in upper right-hand corner titled "Without Handling Fee" is used by the program to identify the total cost less the 6% handling and MFMP fee on reimbursable items. This information is only used as a check point for PRP staff. The total PO amount for the project is the amount appearing in the "Total Extended Cost" section in the upper righthand side of the spreadsheet.

(Jaylynn Lowery (Contracts), Tuesday, August 8, 2023 EDT)

ATTACHMENTS

- ATTACHMENT by Elisha McCleary (Contracts) on Wednesday, August 2, 2023 at 10:03 AM AttachmentA-SOW-368520618-SA.pdf (505282 bytes)
- ATTACHMENT by Elisha McCleary (Contracts) on Thursday, August 3, 2023 at 3:31 PM AttachmentB-SPI-368520618-SA.zip (1230907 bytes)

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PURCHASE

Purchase Order Terms & Conditions

http://dms.myflorida.com/mfmp_PO_TC

Attachment A Petroleum Restoration Program Scope of Work

STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

SubPhase(s): SA

Specifications

All work must be performed in accordance with this Scope of Work (SOW) and any attachments, Chapters 62-160, 62-532, 62-777 and 62-780, F.A.C., all applicable FDEP and Water Management District guidance memoranda, standard industry procedures and as described in the Agency Term Contract (ATC).

Copies of all referenced guidelines are available at:

http://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration

Reports must be submitted using the appropriate FDEP forms found at: http://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration/content/procedures-guidance-documents

All work must be conducted in accordance with PRP Standard Specification Details found at: http://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration/content/templates-forms-tools-and-guidance

The following tables are included as attachments to this SOW and further represent the details of the scope of work.

✓ Water Sampling Table

Soil and Air Sampling Table

Soil Boring (SB) and Well Installation Table

Attachment A Petroleum Restoration Program Scope of Work

9-Digit Facility ID Number: 368520618 STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

Task 1 Description:	Prepare and submit a Health and Safety Plan. Conduct file review, prepare and submit
	historical summary worksheet. Research historic records and obtain electronic copies of
	historic data tables preceding 2016. If electronic tables are not available, document
	attempts to acquire data from prior consultant(s) and submit to SM as backup for an RFC to
	compile all historic data into comprehensive and cumulative analytical data tables, utilizing
	primary data sources (lab reports). Reconcile all historical site plans to produce figures
	depicting the location of all former tanks, dispensers, historic monitoring wells, groundwater
	grab, and soil analytical sample locations. Obtain off site access agreements, as necessary,
	with adjacent properties. Prepare and submit a comprehensive sampling proposal to
	address any outstanding soil or groundwater exceedances remaining on the site, offsite
	properties, and adjoining right-of-way. After approval of Health and Safety Plan and utility
	locate/mark-out per standards of care in the ATC, conduct site reconnaissance and pre-
	drilling meeting with site manager and driller. Prepare and submit area survey table,
	location map, area map, site map, photo documentation, and field notes to include: date,
	start and end time, list of participating parties, confirmation the operating business
	owner/tenant recognizes the scope of work prior to field activities. No permits are
	anticipated; review and notify the Site Manager in writing of all necessary permitting
	requirements, submit RFC as needed to acquire permits. Please note that per the DEP site
	access agreement, a separate site access agreement between the owner and the ATC has
	been requested by the property owner or tenant. Submit an email or letter (copying the
	owner or tenant) indicating either that this separate site access agreement has been
	executed or that the owner no longer wants such an agreement with the contractor (the
	owner is content with the current DEP site access agreement). The DEP does not need a
	copy of this agreement. Prepare and submit a Task 2 (SOW) proposal.
Task 1 Deliverable:	Health and Safety Plan, Historical Summary Worksheet, SAA email or letter, Pre-drill &
	Reconnaissance Field Notes, and Task 2 SOW proposal.
Task 1 Deliverable Due Date:	

Attachment A Petroleum Restoration Program Scope of Work

9-Digit Facility ID Number:	368520618
STCM Facility Name:	BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

Task 2 Description:	Upon approval by Sarasota County: Install 6 replacement wells (MW-3R, 5R, 7R, 8R, 9DR,
	and 11R) and screen soils from drill cuttings. Install 1 MW and 13 soil borings at the
	locations indicated in the attached Figures 1B and 3B and screen soils from drill cuttings
	during boring installation. Expidite IDW analysis and dispose of soils by roll-off. Collect one
	vadose zone soil sample from each soil boring at the specified interval based upon initial
	assessment screening and analysis that identified intervals with greatest impacts. If any soil
	borings have indications of petroleum contamination (visual staining, olfactory, or OVA > 50
	ppm) based upon soil screening in the field, request a field change order (call SM from field)
	to delineate the area of impacted vadose zone soils. Collect only one sample from a boring
	and ensure all samples are collected above measured or apparent water table and analyze.
	Obtain SM approval before performing SPLP or TRPH fractionation. Collect groundwater
	samples and analyze. If additional assessment is recommended and with SM approval,
	prepare and submit an Interim Assessment Report with field notes, pre-drill meeting notes,
	lab reports, logs, photos, Task 3 SOW proposal, COMPREHENSIVE TABLES AND FIGURES
	(depicting all relevant historical features). If assessment is complete, prepare and submit an
	RFC to change the Task 2 deliverable to a Supplement Site Assessment Report, do not
	submit the report until confirming with the SM that soil and groundwater delineation is
	complete, and RFC is approved in MFMP.
Task 2 Deliverable:	Interim Assessment Report
Task 2 Deliverable Due Date:	Friday, December 15, 2023

Attachment A Petroleum Restoration Program Scope of Work

STCM Facility Name:	BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp
Task 3 Description:	Upon approval by Sarasota County:
	Conduct a Pre-Drilling Teleconference with site manager and driller; prepare and submit
	teleconference notes to include date, start and end time, and participants. Confirm
	owner/tenant understands the scope of work prior to field activities.
	Install up to 3 wells and 6 soil borings at the agreed upon locations and screen soils from
	drill cuttings during boring installation. All drums must be filled to at least 75% capacity and
	photo documentation provided to verify.
	Collect one vadose zone soil sample from each boring at the specified intervals based upon
	initial assessment screening and analysis that identified intervals with greatest impacts. If
	any soil borings have indications of petroleum contamination (visual staining, olfactory, or
	OVA > 50 ppm) based upon soil screening in the field, request a field change order (call site
	manager from field) to delineate the area of impacted vadose zone soils. Collect only one
	sample from a boring and ensure all samples are collected above measured or apparent
	water table and analyze. Obtain site manager approval before performing SPLP or TRPH
	fractionation.
	Collect groundwater samples and analyze. Prepare and submit a Supplemental Site
	Assessment Report with field notes, pre-drill meeting notes, lab reports, logs, photos, SOW
	proposal, COMPREHENSIVE TABLES AND FIGURES (depicting all relevant historical features).
	Do not submit the report until confirming with the site manager that soil and groundwater
	delineation is complete. If additional soil or groundwater delineation is required, prepare
	and submit an RFC to change the Task 3 deliverable to a Interim Assessment Report.
	Contingent Funding in this task is only to be used to offset the cost for pay items associated
	with a Field Request for Change for any open task.
Task 3 Deliverable:	Supplemental Site Assessment Report
Task 3 Deliverable Due Date:	Thursday, February 29, 2024
PO End Date:	Monday, April 29, 2024

Schedule of Pay Items (SPI)

9-Digit Facility ID Number: 368520618

All unit rates and extended prices for all line item costs associated with this project are provided in the SPI [Attachment B to this Purchase Order (PO)] and shall not exceed the rates established in the ATC.

Requests for Change (RFC)

All requests for changes to the SOW must be submitted in writing and be approved in writing by the FDEP/LP using the RFC form in accordance with paragraphs 2.A and 26 of the ATC and can be found at:

http://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration/content/templates-forms-tools-and-guidance

Any change which results in an extension of the due dates, PO end date, or a change in quantities or costs, requires that a PO Change Order be formally issued prior to performance of the revised SOW.

Performance Measures

The FDEP/LP Site Manager will review the submitted documentation to confirm that all work was performed in accordance with the Specifications referenced above. The FDEP/LP Site Manager will notify the Contractor of acceptance or any deficiencies in the work and/or deliverables. The Contractor will be given an opportunity to remedy deficiencies at no additional cost to the FDEP.

Attachment A Petroleum Restoration Program Scope of Work

9-Digit Facility ID Number: 368520618

STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

The FDEP/LP Site Manager will review the work and/or deliverables within the timeframes established in FDEP guidance documents. The Contractor will respond to any comments to complete the work and/or deliverables within the timeframe established in the comment letter or email correspondence.

Invoicing, Payments and Financial Consequences

The Contractor may submit an invoice for a Task upon written notification of acceptance of the work/deliverables by the FDEP/LP Site Manager. Upon receipt of FDEP/LP written approval of the required documentation for completed portions of each task, the Contractor must submit an invoice within thirty (30) days. Invoices for completed work may be submitted at any time for fully completed and approved tasks, but no more frequently than every thirty (30) days, for approved partial tasks. Each invoice request must contain all documentation of performance as specified in the ATC, this Purchase Order (PO), and its attachments.

Failure to provide all deliverables which are satisfactory or failure to meet the specified deliverable timetables, shall result in non-payment, loss of retainage, or other financial consequences, and/or termination of the PO, as specified in the ATC. If the deliverable due day occurs on a weekend, state holiday, or federal holiday the deliverable will be due the following business day.


Retainage shall be withheld in the amount of 5%, unless otherwise noted in the SPI, from each payment by the FDEP/LP until completion and approval of all Tasks. The Contractor shall submit a Release of Claims and request for retainage payment with the final invoice. Payment of retainage will be reduced by the amount of any assessed financial consequences.

Notice of Field Activities


The Contractor must provide written notification (emails are acceptable) of field activities at least seven (7) calendar days prior to the commencement of work to all applicable parties including the PRP site manager, PRP Inspector (PRP_Inspector@dep.state.fl.us), site operator, site owner, RP and affected off-site property owners.

Deliverables

All deliverables under this Purchase Order must be electronic. Paper copies should not be submitted unless the deliverable requires a Professional Engineer (PE) or Professional Geologist (PG) signature and seal, and the electronic signature and seal does not meet the requirements in Chapters 61G15 or 61G16, Florida Administrative Code, as applicable.



12/17 FIG1



			©PI−2 BLS	003-4 BL:	5	2-W	10.9
		В	1.12	0.529		TR	274
		Т	0.0706	0.051 U		C-9-C-10	NA
		E	22.8	11.2		C-5-C-8	NA
		х	0.410 I	0.287		C-9-C-12	NA
		м	0.050 U	0.051 U		B SPLP	1.3
		N	17.9	20.0		E SPLP	36.7
		1-M	12.5	11.6		X SPLP	12.3
		2-M	26.4	25.3		N SPLP	139
		TR	437	323		1-M SPLP	37.9
		C-9-C-10	403	NA		2-M SPLP	58.8
RMER UST		C-5-C-8	642	NA			
REA		C-9-C-12	492	NA			
KEA .		B SPLP	5.5	4.2			
		E SPLP	91.2 V	85.7 V			
EXTENT C)F	X SPLP	3.4	3.5			
		N SPLP	248	179			
_Y IMPACT	FD	1-M SPLP	78.1	52.7			
		2-M SPLP	115	78.7			
NE SOIL NG >500 p	pm)						
RMER STROOMS			0 (SC	15 ALE IN FE	30 EET)		
POST					_		
OFFICE			C	SOI			
				. ~ .		~	Ŧa
	I SA	MF	PLIM	1(<u>}</u>	RF3	SUI	IS
			I I V				0
TRANSFORMER		/⊏	EBF			1 2	
		(F	ĽDГ	ιUAI	ΠI		
				00	004		
		J	ULY	28.	201	()	
PROPERTY		Ŭ		,		• /	
BOUNDARY		F	ormer BP	Bonita-	Oleum C	orp.	
			DEP FAC.				
			ita Beach				orida
	.90						
	90	ozi bom					
	Eart	hSy		ns	Fig	jure	3B

2/1/2017

OVA = >1000 OVA = >1000 OVA = >1000 OVA = >1000 OVA = >1000 OVA = >1000

				OVA =	/2017 >1000 2' BLS
				В	0.248
	/			Т	0.149
				E	43.2
/				х	0.326
				м	0.066
	2/1/	2017	1	N	17.7
	0VA =	= 41.9 3' BLS		1-M	9.99
	B	0.0012 U		2-M	20.0
	Т	0.0010 U		TR	507
	E	0.0010 U		C-9-C-10	321
_	x	0.0021 U		C-5-C-8	728
	м	0.0010 U		C-9-C-12	329
	N	0.028 U		B SPLP	2.7
	1-M	0.028 U		E SPLP	171
	2-M	0.028 U		X SPLP	24.1
	TR	5.31		N SPLP	189
	C-9-C-10	NA		1-M SPLP	53.1
	C-5-C-8	NA		2-M SPLP	77.2
	C-9-C-12	NA			
	B SPLP	NA			
	E SPLP	NA			
	N SPLP	NA			
	1-M SPLP	NA			
	2-M SPLP	NA			
	_				7 0V

321 728 329 2.7 171 24.1 189 53.1 77.2

7/28/2017 OVA = >1000 @ 1'-2' BLS

в **0.852** т 0.286

× 0.295 I

1-M **9.63**

2-м 18.9

E

м

Ν

0.286

13.2

0.052 U

15.1

L	
-(
ſ	
V	

0.248 |

0.149

43.2 0.326 I

0.066 U

17.7

9.99 20.0

507

FDEP Facility ID#: 368520618

STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

Any blank fields are not applicable to the scope of work.

WATER	SAMPLING TABLE																			
Task #	Well #(s) or Water Sample Location		Expedited Turnaround (TA)		# MWs Sampled (8-1./8-2.)	(9-27.) BTEX + MTBE	(9-30.) PAHs	(9-36.) TRPH (FL-PRO)	(9-38.) Arsenic, Total		(9-40.) Chromiu m, Total	Leau, Total	Priority Pollutant Volatile Organics							
1	MW-12, MW-14, and MW-20			3																
2	IDW		1-day TA			1			1	1	1	1								
2	MW-3R, 5R, 7R, 8R, 9DR, 11R, Plus New MW				7	7	7	7				7								
2	SPLP					13	13													
2	MW-12D, 13, 16, 16D, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, & 22				10	10	10	10				10								
2	MW-12, 15, 14				3		3	3				3	3							
3	3 Contingency MWs, 7 New Replacement MWs (from Task 2)				10	10	10	10				10								
3	Contingency SPLPs					6	6													
			Task 1 Subtotal	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		-	Task 2 Subtotal	0	20	31	33	20	1	1	1	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		-	Task 3 Subtotal	0	10	16	16	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GRAN	TOTALS		3	30	47	49	30	1	1	1	31	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FDEP Facility ID#: 368520618

STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

Any blank fields are not applicable to the scope of work.

	AIR SAMPLING TA																		
Task #	Soil /Air Sample Locations	Frequency (if applicable)		Depth Interval (if applicable)		(9-5.) PAHs	(9-8.) TRPH (FL-PRO)	(9-15.) TCLP- Extractio n Only	(9-16.) SPLP- Extractio n Only	(9-8.a.) TRPH Fraction ation									(8-14.) Encore Sampler
2	IDW							1											
2	13 Hand Auger Borings			Vadose 2-3'	13	13	13		26	13									13
3	6 Contingency Hand Auger Borings			Vadose	6	6	6		12	6									6
			Т	ask 2 Subtotal	13	13	13	1	26	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
			Т	ask 3 Subtotal	6	6	6	0	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
		GRAND TOTAL	.S		19	19	19	1	38	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19

FDEP Facility ID#: 368520618

STCM Facility Name: BP-Bonita-Oleum-Corp

Any blank fields are not applicable to the scope of work.

SOIL BO	RING (SB) and	d WELL INST.	ALLATION	TABLE													
	SOIL	BORING DET	AILS		Screening	g/Split Spoor	n Intervals				V	VELL INSTALL	ATION DET	AILS			
TASK #	Installation Method	Quantity	Depth (ft bls)	Total Boring Footage (ft)	Screening Depth Interval 1 & Spacing	Screening Depth Interval 2 & Spacing	Screening Depth Interval 3 & Spacing	Quantity	Well Type	Well Diameter (in)	Depth (ft bls)	Screen Interval (ft bls)	Total Well Footage (ft)	Surface Casing Diameter (in)	Surface Casing Depth (ft)	Total Casing Footage (ft)	Well Completion Type
2	Combo Rig	6	12	72				6	MW	2	12	2'-12'	72			0	8" MH
2	Combo Rig	1	25	25				1	IW	2	25	20'-25'	25	6	18	18	8" MH
2	Hand Auger	13	6	78	0-6'@1'								0			0	
3	HSA/MR	3	12	36				3	MW	2	12	2'-12'	36			0	8" MH
3	Hand Auger	6	6	36	0-6'@1'								0			0	
	TO	TALS		247		133							18				

Request for Change - Authorization for Change in Scope of Work

9-Digit Facility ID #: 368520618	Ref #:	PO #:
Facility Name: BP-BONITA-OLEUM CORP	FDEP Cost Share %: 100.00%	CO #:

*For reimbursable pay items the cost listed is a "not to exceed" amount. Fees will be reimbursed for the pay item based on the actual invoice. Please note, the unit of measure for these items will be displayed as dollars for invoicing purposes. Please refer to the Scope of Work for additional description of these items.

Task	Deliverable Name			Previous Due Date	New Due Date	Change Order	Subtotals
1						\$	-
2						\$	-
3						\$	-
4						\$	-
5						\$	-
6						\$	-
7						\$	-
8						\$	-
9						\$	-
10						\$	-
			Period of Service:			\$	-
			-	Previous End Date	New End Date	Total Authoriz (FDEP Share	
Contractor	Representative:						
		(Print Name)		(Signature)		(Date)	
FDE	P Site Manager:	JAMES YURKOVICH					
		(Print Name)		(Signature)		(Date)	
Administ	rative Reviewer:						
		(Print Name)		(Signature)		(Date)	
Technical A	pproval (optional):						
Cost Center A	pproval (optional):						

SITE 8 – 7-ELEVEN STORE #40327 / APEX STATION



January 26, 2018

Jon Labie Environmental Specialist Florida Department of Environmental Protection – Team 6 2002 Old St. Augustine Road, Suite B-10 Tallahassee, FL 32311

Re: Modified Proposal Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail Bonita Springs, Lee County, FL FDEP Number: 36/8840379 Purchase Order Number: AFD574

Dear Mr. Labie:

Florida Geotechnical Engineering, Inc. (FGE), is pleased to provide this Interim Deliverable for a Low Score Assessment (LSA) at the Apex Station facility in accordance with Purchase Order (PO) Number AFD574, in accordance with Chapter 62-780 F.A.C. This report provides tables and figures to present the collected data, and provides a copy of the field notes and analytical reports.

Background / File Review

The site is located at 27990 Tamiami Trail, in Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida and is currently developed as an active Sunoco convenience store and gasoline retail station. The area immediately surrounding the facility is primarily commercial. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems, Storage Tank/Contaminated Facility (STCM) database has two (2) Facility Identification Numbers (FAC ID#) for this location. FAC ID# 8840379, listed on the current purchase order, references Apex Station, while FAC ID# 8518113 references Sunoco #0611-6768. According to STCM files, Apex Station formally utilized four (4)10,000-gallon capacity underground storage tanks (USTs) that contained leaded and unleaded gasoline, and vehicular diesel fuel; installed in 1975 and removed from the site. The four (4) USTs listed under Apex Station (FAC ID# 8840379) are also listed with Sunoco Station #0611-6768 (FAC ID# 8518113), and an additional four (4)

Florida Geotechnical Engineering, Inc.

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 2 of 9

10,000-gallon USTs containing unleaded gasoline that were installed in 1989, and removed from the site. Sunoco Station #0611-6768 lists the current USTs on site as two 20,000-gallon USTs storing unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel; and one 6,000-gallon UST storing unleaded gasoline. The current USTs were installed in January 2008. A site plan showing general site characteristics is provided as **Figure 1**. Based previous assessment reports, it appears the former USTs were located in the same location as the current USTs.

Evidence of petroleum impacted groundwater was first reported in a Discharge Notification Form (DNF) which was filed with the FDEP in February 1988. An unknown volume and product type was suspected to have originated from the USTs, based on the observation of free product in UST compliance wells. The discharge was determined to be eligible for state funding under the Early Detection Incentive (EDI) Program in April, 1988. A site score of 6 was assigned at that time. The site score was reevaluated in 2006, but remained a 6.

Preliminary assessment of the site was conducted by Ardaman & Associates (Ardaman) on behalf of the Apex Oil Company. A Preliminary Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) was submitted to the FDEP in February 1989. The CAR summarized the results of twenty- nine soil borings and the installation of four monitoring wells at locations north and east of the UST area. Facility upgrades, including the removal of the site's USTs installed in 1975 and installation of new USTs were subsequently completed in September 1989. An undetermined volume of groundwater was removed from the UST pit via a dewatering system, and reportedly approximately 1,700 tons of petroleum impacted soil were excavated and transported offsite for proper disposal.

A CAR, which summarized site assessment activities was submitted in June 1991. Based on the results of the CAR, a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) was submitted in April 1992, which proposed soil vapor extraction, and groundwater pump and treat technologies. The RAP was approved in May 1992 and implemented in June 1993. The remediation system operated intermittently until April 1995, at which time the remediation system was turned off when site rehabilitation activities were suspended due to Senate Bill 92-2 Laws of Florida.

A Site Assessment Report (SAR), detailing soil and groundwater assessment activities was conducted in May 2004. The results of the SAR concluded petroleum constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected during the assessment activities were below the Chapter 62-777 F.A.C. Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs). The SAR recommended natural attenuation monitoring (NAM) to address the dissolved phase petroleum hydrocarbon impacts.

Florida Geotechnical Engineering, Inc.

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 3 of 9

A Supplemental Site Assessment (SSA) Report which summarized the results of additional soil and groundwater assessment activities was conducted at the site in 2006. The SSA Report concluded the horizontal extent of the dissolved phase petroleum hydrocarbon impacts was localized to the area of monitoring well MW-7. Adsorbed phase petroleum impacted vadose zone soils were not identified during these assessment activities. The SSA Report recommended development of a Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan (LSRAP) to address the dissolved phase petroleum impacts in the area of monitoring well MW-7.

Source removal of any petroleum impacted soil was conducted in conjunction with a UST system upgrade, performed by Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), in 2007. Approximately 200 tons of petroleum impacted soils were excavated and transported offsite for proper disposal. Soil sampling of the excavation side walls confirmed that petroleum impacted soils were not detected above the SCTLs. The results of the source removal activities were summarized in a Source Removal Report submitted in March 2008. Three replacement wells (MW-6R, MW-7R, and MW-12R) were installed in May 2008 following the source removal activities. Groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-6R, MW-7R, MW-12R, MW-9, MW-10R, and MW-11R. Petroleum constituent concentrations were not detected in excess of Chapter 62-777, FAC Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) in any of the groundwater samples, except from monitoring well MW-7R. Several petroleum constituents were detected above the Chapter 62-777, FAC Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs) in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R.

Two overpurge events utilizing monitoring well MW-7R in an effort to reduce the dissolved petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations to below the NADCs were conducted in 2009. However, the overpurge events had no effect in reducing the concentrations. Therefore, a LSRAP which proposed utilizing biosparging to address the residual impacts in the groundwater in the area of monitoring well MW-7R was submitted to FDEP. The LSRAP was approved on December 7, 2010, and the LSRAP was implemented in May 2011, with the startup of a leased biosparge system. The biosparge system operated until August 2012, at which time the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the groundwater in monitoring well MW-7R were reduced below the NADCs and the site transitioned into Post Active Remediation Monitoring (PARM).

The FDEP issued a PARM Approval Order on September 14, 2012, which included quarterly monitoring of monitoring wells MW-7R, MW-13, MW-14 and MW-15. Additional overpurge events were conducted to assist with reducing the persistent concentrations in the groundwater in the area of monitoring well MW-7R. The overpurge events were conducted in July and August 2013, and all constituents of concern, except naphthalene, were reduced to below the GCTLs in the groundwater surrounding monitoring well MW-7R. Continued short term biosparging to

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 4 of 9

reduce the persistent naphthalene concentrations in monitoring well MW-7R to below the GCTL was approved in December 2013. Biosparging events were conducted April through November 2014 with coinciding groundwater monitoring events conducted in June, September, and December 2014.

The most recent groundwater sampling event was conducted on December 12, 2014. Groundwater samples were collected from MW-7R, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15. Ethylbenzene and naphthalene were detected above the respective GCTLs in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R. Naphthalene was detected above the GCTL in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-14. No other petroleum constituents were detected above the GCTLs in the groundwater samples collected from MW-7R or MW-14. All other samples reported constituents below GCTLs. A request for LSSI closure was submitted to FDEP in March 2015. FDEP denied the request based on the detected concentrations at well MW-14, and requesting additional assessment to determine that the groundwater plume is within the property boundaries and not impacting the offsite property and/or the offsite retention pond.

Funding for a Limited Site Assessment (LSA) became available in 2016 under the Petroleum Restoration Program. The FDEP assigned Florida Geotechnical Engineering, Inc. (FGE) the LSA task under Purchase Order #AFD574. As per the signed FDEP access agreement by the property owner, FGE obtained a separate access agreement with the current property owner, Sunoco Retail, LLC.

FGE performed a site reconnaissance visit on February 23, 2017 and found previously installed wells MW-6R, MW-7R, MW-10R, MW-12R, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15. Groundwater gauging results are provided in **Table 1**. Well MW-9 and MW-11R were not visible but FGE suspects the well pad is overgrown and can be located with a probing rod or metal detector. Previous assessment reports indicate both MW-9 and MW-11R were sampled in April 2011.

FGE submitted a Modified proposal on February 24, 2017 which proposed initial activities to investigate the area north of the gasoline USTs, in the area of the wells MW-7R and MW-14. Initial assessment activities included a soil boring program and well installations. Proposed soil boring and well locations were close to the northern property line. FGE recommended obtaining a professional land survey (PLS) to accurately identify the property lines. Following discussions with the FDEP case manager regarding the boring and well locations, and noted that it was several years since the last groundwater sampling event, the initial scope of work was amended to conduct a preliminary groundwater sampling round of the all remaining site wells to determine the current extent of dissolved phase plume and groundwater flow direction. Based on the

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 5 of 9

groundwater sampling data, the subsequent soil boring program and well installations would be modified accordingly.

FIELD ACTIVITIES

Groundwater Sampling

On March 23, 2017, FGE collected groundwater samples from existing monitoring wells, MW-6R, MW-7R, MW-10R, MW-11R, MW-12R, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15. Well MW-9 could not be located using a metal detector. Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP standard operating procedures. Following calibration of meters and collection of water levels, the monitoring wells were sampled using the prescribed methodologies in FDEP SOP 001-01. The wells were purged using low flow purging methods (less than 1.0 liter per minute) using a variable speed peristaltic pump. Following one well volume purge, stabilization parameters were measured for each monitoring well using a continuous flow-through monitoring cell. Stabilization measurements included temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen. The drawdown of the water table was also monitored continuously during purging. After groundwater stabilization, the samples were collected.

The groundwater samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory prepared containers, then placed into ice filled coolers and transported to the laboratory for analyses. Strict chain-of-custody of the samples was maintained at all times. All samples were analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).

The results of the groundwater sampling indicated that none of the monitoring wells contained concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs except MW-7R. The groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R reported a concentration of naphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTL. The groundwater analytical data is presented on **Table 3** and illustrated on **Figure 3**. A copy of the Water Sampling Log forms and the field notes are provided in **Attachment A**. A copy of the laboratory report is provided in **Attachment B**. Laboratory results have also been transmitted electronically using the ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) program.

Following a review the groundwater sampling data, FDEP proposed the locations for nine (9) soil borings and the location for two (2) new permanent shallow monitoring wells.

Florida Geotechnical Engineering, Inc.

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 6 of 9

On July 25, 2017, FGE completed a receptor survey of the surrounding area. The Receptor Survey & Exposure Pathway Identification Form and accompanying maps is provided in **Attachment C**.

Monitoring Well Installation

On July 25, 2017, FGE meet with JAEE Environmental Services, Inc. to conduct the soil boring program and install the proposed monitoring wells. Upon arrival, standing water was encountered in the grass area, over the location of the proposed soil borings. The entire area was saturated from recent heavy rains. Depth to water in wells MW-7R and MW-15, not under water, was measured at less than 0.5 feet below land surface (ft-bls). After discussions with the case manager, FGE and FDEP agreed to install the two (2) proposed monitoring wells and postpone the soil boring program, returning after the high water subsided.

The boreholes for the new monitoring wells, MW-16 and MW-17, were completed 12 ft-bls. During the completion of all soil borings, lithologic descriptions, moisture content, and odor was documented continuously to the total depth of each boring. Soil samples were generally collected 1 foot intervals for field screening to a total depth. The recovered soil samples were placed in 16-ounce jars, leaving approximately half of the jar as a headspace in which organic vapors could accumulate. The openings on the jars were sealed with aluminum foil and the headspace was allowed to equilibrate approximately five minutes before analysis. The samples were field screened using a OVA Photo-ionization Detector (PID). The OVA/PID was calibrated prior to use in accordance with manufacture specifications. The results of the soil screening are presented on **Table 1**.

Monitoring well MW-16 through MW-17 were installed using hollow stem augurs and each well was constructed using 10 feet of 2-inch diameter 0.010 inch slotted screen PVC well screen threaded to 2 feet of solid PVC riser. The annulus of each well was back-filled with a 20/30 grade silica sand filter pack installed through the augers to approximately 1.0 feet above the screen, followed by a 0.5 ft layer of 30/65 fine-grained sand seal. A PVC tremie pipe was used as a tamping device to prevent bridging of the filter pack and ensured the amount of filter pack sand was continuously tagged during placement. The well was then grouted to just below land surface and completed with a flush-mounted, steel protective manhole cover, locking well cap, and secured with a zip tie. All auger flights were decontaminated prior to use, and in between each well.

The field notes from the well installation program are provided in **Attachment A.** The wells were developed until the development water was free of fine sediment. The well construction and development was documented on the FDEP's Well Construction and Development Log

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 7 of 9

forms provided in **Attachment A**. Drill cuttings and well development water generated during the well installation program were placed into three (3) 55-gallon drums. Drums were transported by Clark Environmental on December 12, 2017 for deposal. Copies of the waste manifests are provided in **Attachment D**.

Soil Boring Installation and Soil Sampling

On December 6, 2017, FGE visited the site and met with JAEE Environmental, Inc. to install eleven (11) soil borings to 12ft-bls to investigate the soil quality in the northern portion of the site. During the completion of all soil borings, lithologic descriptions, moisture content, and odor was documented continuously to the total depth of each boring. Soil samples were generally collected 1 foot intervals for field screening to a total depth. The recovered soil samples were placed in 16-ounce jars, leaving approximately half of the jar as a headspace in which organic vapors could accumulate. The openings on the jars were sealed with aluminum foil and the headspace was allowed to equilibrate approximately five minutes before analysis. The samples were field screened using a OVA Photo-ionization Detector (PID). The OVA/PID was calibrated prior to use in accordance with manufacture specifications. The results of the soil screening are presented on **Table 1**.

As per the scope of work, up to six (6) soil samples were to be collected during the soil boring program. OVA/PID data was recorded prior to collecting soil samples for laboratory analysis. With the OVA/PID data forwarded to FDEP, FGE and FDEP agreed to collect soil samples from the following soil boring intervals: B-3 @ 1-2', B-3 @ 2-3', B-6 @2-3', and B-11 @ 2-3'. In total, four (4) soil samples were collected and analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).

The results of the laboratory analyses indicated that none of the soil samples exceeded the FDEP Soil Cleanup Target Levels for any Contaminant of Concern tested. The analytical data is presented on **Table 2** and illustrated on **Figure 2**. The field notes from the soil boring program are provided in **Attachment A**. The laboratory analytical report has been included in **Attachment B**. Laboratory results have also been transmitted electronically using the ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) program.

Groundwater Sampling

On December 6, 2018, FGE collected groundwater samples from wells MW-16 and MW-17, installed in July 2017. FGE and FDEP further discussed the results of the soil data and possibly collecting additional groundwater samples as Task 2 had remaining groundwater sampling pay

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 8 of 9

items, not requiring a field change order. FGE and FDEP agreed to collected a groundwater sample from well MW-7R.

Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP standard operating procedures. Following calibration of meters and collection of water levels, the monitoring wells were sampled using the prescribed methodologies in FDEP SOP 001-01. The wells were purged using low flow purging methods (less than 1.0 liter per minute) using a variable speed peristaltic pump. Following one well volume purge, stabilization parameters were measured for each monitoring well using a continuous flow-through monitoring cell. Stabilization measurements included temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen. The drawdown of the water table was also monitored continuously during purging. After groundwater stabilization, the samples were collected.

As per the scope of work, a grab water sample was collected from the pond. The sample location is depicted on **Figure 3**. The sample was obtained with a surface water sampling device; The collection device was submerged below surface, approximately three feet from the shore edge.

The results of the groundwater sampling reported concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs at wells MW-7R and MW-16. The groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R reported concentrations of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTLs. The sample well MW-16 reported a concentration of naphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTL. The pond sample did not report any that concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs.

The groundwater analytical data is presented on **Table 3** and illustrated on **Figure 3**. A copy of the Water Sampling Log forms and the field notes are provided in **Attachment A**. A copy of the laboratory report is provided in **Attachment B**. Laboratory results have also been transmitted electronically using the ADaPT Electronic Data Deliverables (EDD) program.

Water Level Data Collection

On December 6, 2017, water level measurements were collected from monitoring site wells. The depth to water ranged between 2.97 feet below top of casing (ft-btoc) and 4.40 ft-btoc. The groundwater elevation data is provided in **Table 4** and shown on **Figure 4**. Groundwater flow was reported generally to the west.

Jon Labie January 26, 2018 Page 9 of 9

Conclusions and Recommendations

A soil boring program was performed at the site where twelve (12) soil borings were completed and four (4) soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis. The soil analytical data from samples reported all concentrations of Contaminates of Concern were below the FDEP Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs), with concentrations reported below the laboratory method detection limits.

A monitoring well installation program and groundwater sampling program were performed to delineate the extent of the dissolved phase plume at the Apex Station. Results of the groundwater sampling reports limited residual dissolved phase impact in the north portion of the site, in the vicinity of well MW-7R.

Based on the results of the field activities, FGE recommends the preparation of the Template Site Assessment Report (TSAR) under Task 3 with the current data available in lieu of additional assessment.

FGE appreciates the opportunity to provide our services to the FDEP on this project. Please contact me at (813) 248-4720 or at tfoster@flgeotech.com if you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,

FLORIDA GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING, INC.


Timothy Foster Project Manager

Figures



APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>











FIGURE 2 SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016





EXISTING MONITORING WELL EXISTING RECOVERY WELL

B-1

SOIL BORING


(B-3 1-2)
0.0027 U
0.0030 U
0.0028 U
0.0054 U
0.0230 U
0.0252 U
0.0289 U
4.5 U

Sample Location / Depth (ft) Benzene - mg/kg Ethylbenzene - mg/kg Toluene - mg/kg Total Xylenes - mg/kg Naphthalene - mg/kg 1-Methylnaphthalene - mg/kg 2-Methylnaphthalene - mg/kg TRPH - mg/kg

mg/kg - Milligrams per Kilogram U - Compound Analyzed but Not Detected I - Value between Laboratory Method Detection Limit and Practical Quantitation Limit Red font indicates concentration above CTLs







GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>



EXISTING MONITORING WELL

EXISTING RECOVERY WELL

₩ ABANDONED / DESTROYED MONITORING WELL

(0.17 I
0.50 U
0.50 U
1.5 U
1.0 U
1.0 U
1.0 U
(800 U
12/21/16

Benzene - ug/L Toluene - ug/L Ethylbenzene - ug/L Total Xylenes - ug/L Naphthalene - ug/L 1-Methylnaphthalene - ug/L 2-Methylnaphthalene - ug/L TRPH - ug/L Sample Date

ug/L - Mcrograms per Liter U - Compound Analyzed but Not Detected I - Value between Laboratory Method Detection Limit and Practical Quantitation Limit Red font indicates concentration above CTLs










FIGURE 4 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA - DECEMBER 6, 2017 APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>







Tables

TABLE 1 - SOIL OVA SCREENING RESULTS

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

			Net Reading	
Boring #	Date	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
MW-16	7/25/17	1	0.0	
		2	0.0	
		3	42.1	
		4	173.0	
		6	864	
		8	94.3	
		10	16.1	
		12	1.4	
MW-17	7/25/17	1	0.0	
		2	0.0	
		3	0.0	
		4	1.3	
		6	23.4	
		8	1.6	
		10	6.3	
		12	0.4	
B-1	12/6/17	1	1.0	
		2	0.7	
		3	0.1	
		4	0.6	
		6	677	
		8	33.0	
		10	49.0	
		12	9.3	
			0.0	
B-2	12/6/17	1	103.0	
02	12/0/11	2	10.0	
		3	0.0	
		4	0.5	
		6	0.6	
		8	0.4	
		10	2.0	
		10	8.1	
		12	0.1	
B-3	12/6/17	1	3.0	
	12/0/11	2	37.1	Sample "B-3 1-2"
		3	262	Sample "B-3 2-3"
		4	1,333	
		6	3,240	
		8	150	
		10	168	
		10	9.1	
		12	9.1	

TABLE 1 - SOIL OVA SCREENING RESULTS

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B-4	12/6/17	1	3.0	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D-4	12/0/17		3.0	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			2	2.0	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				2.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_		2.0	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_	0	3.1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_	8	18.1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_	10	34.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			12	15.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B-5	12/6/17	1	na	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			2	0.4	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			4	1,501	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			6	362	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline & 12 & 16.3 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			8	196	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline & 12 & 16.3 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			10	309	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			12	16.3	
3 33.0 Sample "B-6 2-3" 4 268 6 3,285 8 113 10 845 12 21.2		F			
3 33.0 Sample "B-6 2-3" 4 268 6 3,285 8 113 10 845 12 21.2	B-6	12/6/17	1	44.0	
3 33.0 Sample "B-6 2-3" 4 268 6 3,285 8 113 10 845 12 21.2	20	, ., .,	2	2.2	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				33.0	Sample "B-6.2-3"
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			4	268	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				3 285	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			8	113	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c }\hline & 12 & 21.2 \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$				845	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } B-7 & 12/6/17 & 1 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 3 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 4 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 6 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 6 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 8 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 12 & 0.0 & & & & & \\ \hline B-8 & 12/6/17 & 1 & 0.0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline B-8 & 12/6/17 & 1 & 0.0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & &$			10	21.2	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			12	21.2	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B-7	12/6/17	1	0.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D-7	12/0/17	2	0.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_	2	0.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		_	3	0.0	
8 0.0 10 0.0 12 0.0 B-8 12/6/17 1 0.0 2 0.0 3 0.0 4 0.0 6 0.0 8 0.0			4	0.0	
10 0.0 12 0.0 B-8 12/6/17 1 0.0 2 0.0 3 0.0 4 0.0 6 0.0 8 0.0		F	6	0.0	
12 0.0 B-8 12/6/17 1 0.0 2 0.0 3 0.0 3 0.0 4 0.0 6 0.0 8 0.0		F	8	0.0	
B-8 12/6/17 1 0.0 2 0.0 3 0.0 4 0.0 6 0.0 8 0.0		F	10		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			12	0.0	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B-8	12/6/17	1	0.0	
4 0.0 6 0.0 8 0.0			2	0.0	
6 0.0 8 0.0				0.0	
8 0.0			4	0.0	
				0.0	
		Γ		0.0	
		Γ	10	0.0	
12 0.0		F	12	0.0	
		F			

TABLE 1 - SOIL OVA SCREENING RESULTS

Site Name:	Apex Station
Address	27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County
Facility ID#	36/8840379

ιy 0.0 0.0 0.0 B-9 12/6/17 1 2 3 4 0.0 0.3 6 1.8 0.5 8 10 0.0 12 B-10 12/6/17 0.0 1 2 1.1 0.0 3 4

		4	13.0	
		6	67.0	
		8	19.0	
		10	41.0	
		12	5.0	
B-11	12/6/17	1	0.0	
		2	0.4	
		3	38.0	Sample :B-11 2-3:
		4	1,841	
		6	861	
		8	75.6	
		10	54.3	
		12	20.1	

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOAs, TRPHs and Metals

Facility ID#: 36/8840379 Facility Name: Apex Station												See notes at end of tab			
	San	nple		OVA				Labo	oratory An	alyses	1				
Boring/ Vell No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	MTBE (ma/ka)	TRPHs (ma/ka)	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Cad- mium (mg/kg)	Chro- mium (mg/kg)	Lead (ma/ka)	Comments
3-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.0035 U	0.0039 U	0.0037 U	0.0071 U			NS	NS	NS	NS	
9-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0025 U	0.0028 U	0.0027 U	0.0050 U	0.0025 U	7.0 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
8-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.0025 U	0.0028 U	0.0027 U	0.0051 U	0.0025 U	6.0 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
8-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0024 U	0.0027 U	0.0026 U	0.0049 U	0.0024 U	2.8 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
eachabil	ity Based on (Groundwater	Criteria (m	g/kg)	0.007	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.09	340	*	7.5	38	*	
irect Exp	oosure Reside	ential (mg/kg)		1.2	1,500	7,500	130	4,400	460	2.1	82	210	400	

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

See notes at end of table.

NS = Not Sampled. * = Leachability value may be determined using TCLP.

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Non-Carcinogenic PAHs

	Samp	le		OVA												
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Net OVA Reading	Naph- thalene	1-Methyl- naph- thalene	2-Methyl- naph- thalene	Acen- aph- thene	Acen- aph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene	Fluoran- thene	Fluor- ene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	
		(ft)	(fbls)	(ppm)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Comments
-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.117	0.110	0.222	0.0300 U	0.0255 U	0.0250 U	0.0295 U	0.0268 U	0.0369 U	0.0310 U	0.0413 U	
-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0224 U	0.0245 U	0.0280 U	0.0253 U	0.0215 U	0.0211 U	0.0249 U	0.0226 U	0.0311 U	0.0261 U	0.0348 U	
-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.00108 U	0.0118 U	0.0135 U	0.0122 U	0.0104 U	0.0102 U	0.0120 U	0.0109 U	0.0150 U	0.0126 U	0.0168 U	
-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0116 U	0.0127 U	0.0146 U	0.0132 U	0.0112 U	0.0110 U	0.0130 U	0.0118 U	0.0612 U	0.0136 U	0.0181 U	
eachability	Based on Ground	dwater Criteria	a (mg/kg)		1.2	3.1	8.5	2.1	27	2,500	32,000	1,200	160	250	880	
Direct Expos	ure Residential (ma/ka)			55	200	210	2,400	1,800	21,000	2,500	3,200	2,600	2,200	2,400	

NS = Not Sampled.

 TABLE 2:
 SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Carcinogenic PAHs

acint	y ID#: 3		013		anty Nat	ne: Ape							See notes at end of tabl
	Sam	ple		OVA					y Analyses	-	-		
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Benzo (a) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Chry- sene (mg/kg)	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) pyrene equivalent (mg/kg)	Comments
3-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.0096 U	0.0237 U	0.0617 U	0.0117 U	0.0292 U	0.0413 U	0.0413 U	NA	
3-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0081 U	0.0200 U	0.0520 U	0.0149 U	0.0247 U	0.0348 U	0.0348 U	NA	
3-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.0039 U	0.0097 U	0.0251 U	0.0072 U	0.0119 U	0.0168 U	0.0168 U	NA	
	10/0/00/17												
3-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0042 U	0.0104 U	0.0271 U	0.0078 U	0.0128 U	0.0181 U	0.0181 U	NA	
eachabili	ty Based on C	Groundwate	er Criteria (ma/ka)	8	0.8	2.4	24	77	0.7	6.6	**	
	osure Reside		i.	5	0.1	#	#	#	#	#	#	0.1	

Notes: NA = Not Available.

NS = Not Sampled.

** = Leachability value not applicable.

= Direct Exposure value not applicable except as part of the Benzo(a)pyrene equivalent.

If analyte is not detected, report the method detection limit [i.e., 0.01 U or ND(0.01); BDL or <0.01 are not acceptable].

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOCs and Metals

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: **Apex Station**

See notes at end of table.

s	ample	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	Total VOAs	МТВЕ	TRPHs	Naph- thalene	1-Methyl- naph- thalene	2-Methyl naph- thalene
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-6R	8/16/2010	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.16	0.036U	0.036U
	4/22/2011	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.11i	0.036U	0.036U
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	2.6U	0.50U	800U	1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-7R	9/16/2014	0.33U	1.1i	32	2.4i	35.5i	0.37U	NS	69	29	7.2
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.84i	71	5.2	77.04i	0.37U	NS	24	12	0.35
	3/23/2017	0.11i	0.50U	20.4	1.5U	20.51	0.50U	1,200	64.1	23.4	24.5
	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	22.8	1.5 U	22.80	0.50 U	1,500	81.2	37.3	34.3
MW-10R	8/16/2010	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.036U	0.036U	0.036U
	4/22/2011	0.19U	0.2U	0.1U	0.49U	1.06U	0.43i	NS	0.094i	0.036U	0.0036U
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	2.6U	0.50U	800U	1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-11R	8/16/2010	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.036U	0.036U	0.036U
	4/22/2011	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.0300 0.12i	0.036U	0.036U
	3/23/2017	0.19U	0.20 0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	2.6U	0.20 0.50U	800U	1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-12R	8/16/2010	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.2U	NS	0.036U	0.036U	0.036U
VIVV-IZR		0.19U	0.2U 0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	1.06U	0.20 0.2U	NS		0.036U 0.036U	0.036U
	4/22/2011 3/23/2017	0.19U 0.10U	0.20 0.50U	0.180 0.50U	0.490 1.5U	2.6U	0.20 0.50U	800U	0.036U 1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	3/23/2017	NS	0.500 NS	0.500 NS	NS	2.00 NS	0.500 NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-13	9/16/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	2.59U	0.37U	NS	0.022i	0.0082U	0.0090U
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	2.59U	0.037U	NS	0.052i	0.019U	0.016U
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	0.50U	2.6U	0.50U	800U	1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-14	9/16/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	2.59U	0.37U	NS	2.9	1.2	0.085i
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.78U	15	1.1U	15	0.37U	NS	21	2.3	0.016U
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.58i	1.5U	0.58i	0.50U	800U	6.2	6.7	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-15	9/16/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	2.59U	0.37U	NS	0.095i	0.12i	0.037i
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	2.59U	0.37U	NS	0.054i	0.13i	0.016U
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	2.6U	0.50U	800U	1.0U	1.0U	1.0U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-16	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	3.1	1.5 U	3.1	0.50 U	3,600	26.9	12.4	15.7
MW-17	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	ND	0.50 U	780 U	0.0562 l	0.0267 I	0.0373 I
Dand	40/0/0047	0.40.11	0.5011	0.5011	4.5.11	ND	0.50.11	700 11	0.470 -	0.0704	0.0005
Pond	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	ND	0.50 U	760 U	0.173 l	0.0734 I	0.0805
	GCTLs	1**	40**	30**	20**	NA	20	5,000	14	28	28
Ν	IADCs	100	400	300	200	NA	200	50,000	140	280	280
	FSW	71.28	480	610	370	NA	34,000	5,000	26	95	30

NS = Not Sampled.

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

FSW = Freshwater Surface Water Criteria

** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

Facility Name: Apex Station

Facility Address: 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County

Facility ID#: NM = No Measurement NI = Not Installed

36/8840379 CNL = Could Not Locate All Measurements = Feet

WELL NO.		MW-6R			MW-7R			MW-10R			MW-11R	
DIAMETER		2"			2"			2"		2"		
WELL DEPTH		12.00			12.00			12.00		12.00		
SCREEN INTERVAL	2 - 12				2 - 12			2 - 12		2 - 12		
TOC ELEVATION	11.63			11.67				10.61		11.41		
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP
08/16/10	8.44	3.19		8.30	3.37		7.72	2.89		8.33	3.08	
04/22/11	7.23	4.40		6.98	4.69		5.98	4.63		6.61	4.80	
09/16/14		NM		8.20	3.47			NM			NM	
12/12/14		NM		7.86	3.81		7.69	2.92			NM	
03/23/17	6.76	4.87		6.73	4.94		6.63	3.98		6.72	4.69	
12/06/17	7.80	3.83		7.77	3.90		7.58	3.03		7.73	3.68	

WELL NO.		MW-12R			MW-13			MW-14			MW-15		
DIAMETER		2"			2"			2"		2"			
WELL DEPTH	12.00				12.00			12.00		12.00			
SCREEN INTERVAL	2 - 12			2 - 12				2 - 12			2 - 12		
TOC ELEVATION	11.40			11.78				10.59		11.54			
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
08/16/10	7.86	3.54		8.22	3.56		8.15	2.44		8.46	3.08		
04/22/11	6.13	5.27		6.50	5.28		6.87	3.72		7.23	4.31		
09/16/14		NM		8.02	3.76		7.94	2.65		8.25	3.29		
12/12/14	7.20	4.20		7.70	4.08		7.72	2.87		7.84	3.70		
03/23/17	6.05	5.35		6.73	5.05		6.68	3.91		6.75	4.79		
12/06/17	7.00	4.40		7.62	4.16		7.62	2.97		7.79	3.75		

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA


Facility Name: Apex Station

Facility Address: 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County

Facility ID#: NM = No Measurement NI = Not Installed

36/8840379 CNL = Could Not Locate All Measurements = Feet

WELL NO.		MW-16			MW-17							
DIAMETER		2"			2"							
WELL DEPTH		12.00			12.00							
SCREEN INTERVAL		2 - 12			2 - 12							
TOC ELEVATION		10.56			10.55							
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP
08/16/10												
04/22/11												
09/16/14												
12/12/14												
03/23/17												
12/06/17	7.40	3.16		7.38	3.17							





APEX STATIONS FAC ID#36/8840379 27790 TIMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FL Source: Google Earth 2016.

DATE: PO#/TA#/WO#:	September 13, 2018 AFD574	_
Site FDEP Facility ID #	8840379 Scor	re: 6
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Address:	27990 Tamiami Trail	
City:	Bonita Springs	
County:	Lee	
Consultant Company: Address: City, State, Zip Consultant Rep.: Phone #:	Florida Geotechnical Engin P.O. Box 76006 Tampa, FL 33675 Robert Sheridan, P.G. 813-248-4720	eering, Inc
Responsible Party Name:	7-Eleven Inc.	
Address:	3200 Hackberry Road	
City, State, Zip:	Irving, TX 75063	
Responsible Party Rep.:	Jose Rios	
Phone #:	(972) 828-6592	

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT [Signature Page]

CERTIFICATION:

Qualified Registered Professional Engineer or Registered Professional Geologist Certification. I hereby certify that I have supervised the field work (as summarized in the "Recent Site Assessment Activities" section) and preparation of this report, in accordance with Florida Rules and Regulations. As a registered professional geologist and/or professional engineer, as authorized by Chapters 492 or 471, Florida Statutes, I certify that I am a qualified groundwater professional, with knowledge and experience in groundwater contamination assessment and cleanup. To the best of my knowledge, the information and laboratory data summarized in the "Recent Site Assessment Activities" section (including the applicable attachments) are true, accurate, complete, and in accordance with applicable State Rules and Regulations. Include a hard (paper) copy of this cover page, signed and sealed, when submitting the report electronically.

Consultant Name: Robert C. Sheridan, P.G.

PE or **PG-License** #: PG001965 S Signature: FLORIDA Stamp or Seal OFESSION -----

	TE ASSESSMENT REPORT Apex Station			
Site Name: Facility ID #:	36/8840379			
Date:	September 13, 2018			
	TABLE OF C	ONTENT	S	
SECTIONS INC	CLUDED IN REPORT:			
X List o	f Attachments			
X SECT	ION I - Facility and Discharg	ge Inform	nation/Ini	itial Abatement
Fill out	this section for each site in the cluster.	0	Cluster Site Ind	lex (if applicable)
A) Sit	e Description		FDEP ID #	Site Name
	-	Part one Part two		
	roleum System/Tank History	Part three		
C) Re	lease Information	Part four		
D) Ini	tial Abatement/Source Removal	Part five Part six		
A) B) C) X SECT A) B) C) D)	YION II - Background Site As Receptor Investigation Previous Non-Closure Assessment Previous Remediation YION III - Recent Site Assess Soil Investigation Groundwater Investigation Free Product Investigation Comments			ation
X SECT A) B) C)	TON IV - Impacted Media Lithologic Summary Hydrologic Summary Risk Evaluation			
	TION V - Post Assessment Su at this section after site assessment has been Site Assessment Summary Recommendations Comments	•		mendations
X SECT A)	YON VI - Program Issues (fo Work Plan and Cost Summary	r state fu	nded clea	anup sites)

(Appendix ID)	(Contents)
Α	Tables
В	Figures
С	Field Notes
D	Soil and Groundwater Analytical Data
E	Hydraulic Gradient Calculations / Mass Calculations
F	Historical Reports
G	Work Order, Change Orders and Invoice
Н	Chronology of Field Events

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

SECTION I - Facility & Discharge Information/Initial Abatement Site Name

Cluster Site

Part

Facility FDEP#

Site Name:

I-A) Site Description

Please provide a brief description of the site and a summary of site history and operations. What type of business or businesses (if any), non-petroleum as well as petroleum, operated at the former/present site? If petroleum, describe where all former and current fuel tanks, lines and dispensers were/are located (indicating how this information was obtained). Describe any access constraints (utility conduits, canopies, land cover, etc.) which also might influence the placement of monitoring wells and/or the installation of soil borings. Indicate whether there are any owner issues or traffic concerns which might effect when the work can be performed? <u>Please indicate when the requested information is best illustrated on the site map.</u>

The site is located at 27990 Tamiami Trail, in Bonita Springs, Lee County, Florida and is currently developed as an active convenience store and gasoline retail station. The site in branded as Sunoco but was recently sold to 7-Eleven Inc. Historical reports indicate the property has operated as retail gasoline service station since 1975.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems, Storage Tank/Contaminated Facility (STCM) database has two (2) Facility Identification Numbers (FAC ID#) for this location. FAC ID# 8840379, listed on the current purchase order, references Apex Station, while FAC ID# 8518113 references 7-Eleven Store #40327. According to STCM files, Apex Station formally utilized four (4)10,000-gallon capacity underground storage tanks (USTs) that contained leaded and unleaded gasoline, and vehicular diesel fuel; installed in 1975 and removed from the site. The four (4) USTs listed under Apex Station (FAC ID# 8840379) are also listed with 7-Eleven Store #40327 (FAC ID# 8518113), and an additional four (4) 10,000-gallon USTs containing unleaded gasoline that were installed in 1989, and removed from the site. 7-Eleven Store #40327 lists the current USTs on site as two 20,000gallon USTs storing unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel; and one 6,000-gallon UST storing unleaded gasoline. Based on previous assessment reports, it appears the former USTs were located in the same location as the current USTs.

Because OF the two separate Facility Identification Numbers for this location (FAC ID# 8840379 and FCA ID# 8518113), information reviewed and provided throughout the TSAR regarding the petroleum storage tank history, initial source removal, and background assessment and remediation information is from both facilities.

Site map (Figure

3

) illustrating all current & former tanks, lines and dispensers (including utilities, canopies, etc.) is included in Appendix B

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

I-B) Petroleum System/Tank History

List current and former UST's and/or AST's operated at site. Systems (PAST AND PRESENT) must be illustrated on Site Plan. This information should be a summary of the Department's STCM database, all tank closure reports (if applicable) and site owner & operator information.

<u>ID#</u>	<u>AST or</u> <u>UST</u>	Size (gallons)	Installation Date	Contents (unleaded gasoline/ diesel/etc.)	<u>Status</u> (active, removed or abandoned [in place])	Date Removed or Abandoned (if applicable)
1	UST	10,000	12/01/19/75	Leaded gas	Removed	09/01/1989
2	UST	10,000	12/01/19/75	Vehicular Diesel	Removed	09/01/1989
3	UST	10,000	12/01/19/75	Unleaded gas	Removed	09/01/1989
4	UST	10,000	12/01/19/75	Unleaded gas	Removed	09/01/1989
5	UST	6,000	09/01/1995	Unleaded gas	Active	
6	UST	10,000	09/01/1989	Unleaded gas	Removed	01/01/2008
7	UST	10,000	09/01/1989	Unleaded gas	Removed	01/01/2008
8	UST	10,000	09/01/1989	Unleaded gas	Removed	01/01/2008
9	UST	10,000	09/01/1989	Unleaded gas	Removed	01/01/2008
10	UST	20,000	01/01/2008	Unleaded gas	Active	
11	UST	20,000	01/01/2008	Vehicular Diesel	Active	

-If above information is different than the Department's STCM database, please indicate source of updated information:

The four (4) USTs listed under Apex Station (FAC ID# 8840379) are also listed with 7-Eleven Store #40327 (FAC ID# 8518113), and an additional four (4) 10,000-gallon USTs containing unleaded gasoline that were installed in 1989, and removed from the site in January 2008. One 6,000-gallon UST storing unleaded gasoline was installed in 1995 and located on the north side of the building, separate from the original UST area. 7-Eleven Store #40327 lists the current USTs on site as two 20,000-gallon USTs storing unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel; and one 6,000-gallon UST storing unleaded gasoline. The 20,000-gallon USTs were installed in January 2008.

Active Site? If yes, please indicate method, date and extent of latest tank and line tightness test (include copy of tightness test results). If tank tightness test results are not available, please explain why they are not necessary or indicate when next tightness test will be performed.

A Storage Tank Facility Annual Compliance Site Inspection Report from October 31, 2016 for the 7-Eleven Store #40327 (FAC ID# 8518113) reported Petroleum System Tests included the following: Annual Operability Test, Annual Inline Leak Detector Test, and Cathodic Survey. All components passed the required testing.

Copy of tightness test results included in Appendix

YES

X

NO

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

I-B) Petroleum System/Tank History (continued)

YES	
X	

NO

Petroleum System Closure? If yes, briefly describe type of petroleum system (AST, UST, distribution lines, etc.) and closure activities conducted. <u>Description not</u> needed if copy of system tank closure report included.

Note: Section I-C should be used to document soil, groundwater or product removal performed during closures.

In September 1989, the four (4) 10,000-gallon steel USTs were replaced with four (4) 10,000-gallon USTs in the same location. A closure report was not found on the FDEP OCULUS database, but the 1989 Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) reported an undetermined volume of groundwater was removed from the UST pit via a dewatering system, and approximately 1,700 tons of petroleum impacted soil were excavated and transported offsite for disposal during tank replacements. A Certificate of Reclamation was provided in the CAR.

Source removal of any petroleum impacted soil was conducted in conjunction with a UST system upgrade, performed by Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), in 2007. Speedway conducted the over excavation of petroleum impacted soils in the vicinity of the former UST area and in the vicinity of well MW-7. Source removal of oil surrounding the USTs was conducted by Sunoco. A full tank closure assessment was not required due to the EDI eligibility. The results of the source removal activities were summarized in a Source Removal Report submitted in March 2008. Soil sampling of the over excavation side walls confirmed that petroleum impacted soils were not detected above the SCTLs. Several petroleum constituents were detected above the Chapter 62-777, FAC Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs) in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R.

X Description of system closure activities included in attached tank closure report.

Copy of tank or system closure report (if applicable) included in Appendix

F

I-C) Release Information

	Discovery Date(s)	Program Type(s): ATRP, EDI, PCPP, PLRIP or Non-program
1 st	2/6/1988	(please indicate if a non-program discharge has been combined with an eligible discharge) EDI
2 nd		

-Source description and release history that includes date(s) of release(s), cause(s) of release(s), where they occurred, type(s) of product released and volume(s) of release(s) [please explain how estimates were derived].

Evidence of petroleum impacted groundwater was first reported in a Discharge Notification Form (DNF) which was filed with the FDEP in February 1988. An unknown volume and product type was suspected to have originated from the USTs, based on the observation of free product in UST compliance wells. The discharge was determined to be eligible for state funding under the Early Detection Incentive (EDI) Program in April, 1988.

- Suspected type(s) of product released:

X Leaded Gasoline

Unknown

Χ	Unleaded Gasoline

Other:

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018


method(s) used to identify soil contamination.

I-D) Initial Abatement/Source Removal

(Soil/Groundwater/Free Product removal during tank closures):

Was soil contamination detected during petroleum system closure? If yes, please briefly describe extent of petroleum impacts and

X NC




In August 1989 during tank replacement, approximately 1,700 tons of excessively contaminated soil were excavated from the UST area and thermally treated. Reportedly, an onsite portable gas chromatograph was used to determine soil and groundwater contamination.

Source removal of any petroleum impacted soil was conducted in conjunction with a UST system upgrade, performed by Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), in 2007. A soil sampling grid was constructed on the over-excavation area. Soil samples were collected for OVA/PID screening from within the UST pit (by quadrant) and in the over-excavation from 0-3 feet, 3-6 feet, and 6-7 feet bls within six (6) areas of the grid. OVA/PID readings ranged from 0 ppm at the surface to 2246 ppm at the 6-7 foot interval. Refer to **Figure 4** of the Source Removal Report.

 Site map (Figure 2) illustrating soil sampling locations is included in Appendix
 F

 Tabular summary of soil sampling results (Table) is included in Appendix
 F

Was contaminated soil removed? If yes, please describe the horizontal and vertical extents of the soil removal and indicate where contaminated soil might still exist.



In August 1989 during tank replacement, approximately 1,700 tons of excessively contaminated soil were excavated from the UST area and thermally treated.

In December 2007, a total of 926 tons of excavated soil were transported to Kleensoil by Soil Recovery Group for disposal by thermal treatment. Speedway manifested 203 tons as petroleum-impacted soil and Sunoco manifested the remaining 723 tons as clean soil.

Approximate depth	to water a	t time of	excav	ation (if kn	nown)		feet bls
Approximate amount removed	2,626	tons	X	yds^3		Date:	08/1989 12/2007
Disposal method:		_	Ther	mal Treatme	ent		

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

I-D) Initial Abatement/Source Removal (continued)

Was groundwater contamination detected during

YES	 NO	
Χ		



petroleum system closure? If yes, please indicate whether wells were installed (including their construction details if possible) and indicate the maximum levels for petroleum contaminants of concern that were detected.

Dewatering was performed in August 1989 during UST replacements. Groundwater containing a maximum concentration of 3,951 ppb benzene and 5,149 ppb total BTEX, as determined by portable gas chromatograph analysis.

Dewatering was planned for UST replacements in 2007. However, aquifer testing at the beginning of the UST removal indicated a dewatering system would not effectively draw-down the water table. Instead, standing water was pumped from the excavation area into two frac tanks for storage.

Site map (Figure) illustrating groundwater sampling locations is included in Appendix

Was contaminated water removed? If yes, please identify removal *location(s)* and describe method of removal.

NO N/A

YES

Χ

The 1989 Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) reported an undetermined volume of groundwater was removed from the UST pit via a dewatering system. Groundwater was treated on site by air stripping and discharged to the storm water drainage system.

In December 2007, standing water was pumped from the excavation area into two frac tanks for storage. It was reported the water was transported off site for disposal. The volume was not reported.


Approximate volume removed:Unknown gallonsDate(s):Disposal method:Off Site Disposal

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

SECTION II - Background Site Assessment Information

II-A) <u>Receptor Investigation</u>

Are large (>100,000 gallons per day) public supply potable wells located within 1/2 mile? If yes, please indicate distance(s) and direction(s) from site, if they are located downgradient and if the well(s) are screened deeper than contamination. If unknown, please explain.



YES




The State Underground Petroleum Environmental Response (SUPER) Act database was queried to determine the presence of public supply wells within 0.50-miles of the subject site and/or private wells within 0.25-miles of the subject site. A survey was conducted in October 2013 and did not report any private or public wells within a 0.5 mile radius of the site.

 Potable well survey map (Figure
 9
) is included in Appendix
 B

 Potable well construction summary (Table
) is included in Appendix

Are water wells, including irrigation, industrial and all potable wells (<100,000 gallons per day), located



within 1/4 mile? If yes, please identify the type(s) of wells, their distances and directions from the site, if they are located downgradient and if the well(s) are screened deeper than the contamination. If unknown, please explain.

A previous area use survey did not list any private well	within one quarter $(\frac{1}{4})$ mile of the site
reprevious area use survey the not list any private wen	, within one quarter (74) fille of the site.
Water well survey map (Figure 9) i	s included in Appendix B
Water well construction summary (Table) is included in Appendix

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

II-A) Receptor Investigation (continued)

Are there any surface water bodies which have been

YES NO Unknown

impacted by the contamination? If yes, please describe what (if

anything) has been done to abate or prevent contamination impacting surface water. If unknown, please explain.

The closest surface water body is a retention pond on the northern property boundary. The pond is storm water retention for the Publix Shopping Plaza located north and west of the station.

During current assessment activities, a sample was collected from the pond and did not report any contaminants of concern above Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations.

Are the Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., (effective April 17, 2005) default Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) for soil and groundwater the cleanup goals for this site? YES

NO

If no, please indicate if the cleanup goals are from the 1999 version of Chapter 62-770, F.A.C., or pre-1999, apply to this site (providing the reason why) or if alternative cleanup target levels have been or might be established for this site (outlining all engineering and/or institutional controls which already exist or will need to be implemented in the future).

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment

Information not described in Section I ("release information" or "initial abatement/source removal")

Was site assessment work performed? If yes, please indicate who performed it (with reason performed) and dates performed (see table below)



List of all reports where site assessment information was originally submitted to the FDEP (oldest to most recent):

Date of report 2/6/1989	<u>Title of report</u> Preliminary Contamination Assessment	<u>Company that prepared report</u> Ardaman & Associates, Inc.
	Report	,
6/13/1991	Contamination Assessment Report	Groundwater Technology, Inc.
4/15/1992	RAP	Groundwater Technology, Inc.
12/23/1992	Annual Operation & Maintenance Report 1993-1994	Law Environmental, Inc
2/1/1994	First Bi-Annual Remedial Action Status Report	Geraghty & Miller, Inc.
5/27/2004	Supplemental Site Assessment Report	S&ME, Inc.
3/9/2007	Site Assessment Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
3/31/2008	Source Removal Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
11/31/2008	Post Source Removal Groundwater Sampling Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
3/9/2009	Post Source Removal Groundwater Sampling Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
10/20/2009	Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan	ATC Associates, Inc.
2/11/2010	Supplemental Site Assessment Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
5/26/2011	Remedial Action Startup Report	ATC Associates, Inc.
9/15/2011 – 8/29/2012	Remedial Action O&M Report(s)	ATC Associates, Inc.
2/11/2013 – 9/19/2013	Post Active Remediation Monitoring Report(s)	ATC Associates, Inc.
12/12/2013	Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan Addendum	ATC Associates, Inc.
7/22/2014 – 1/30/2015	Remedial Action Status Report(s)	ATC Associates, Inc.
3/18/2015	LSSI – No Further Action Request	ATC Associates, Inc.

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

YES	NO
Χ	

Was soil assessment performed? If yes, please briefly describe work performed and discuss results. <u>A description of the sampling results can be omitted</u> if the data are included with current tabular summaries and soil plume maps (if applicable).

Preliminary assessment of the site was conducted by Ardaman & Associates (Ardaman) on behalf of the Apex Oil Company. A Preliminary Contamination Assessment Report (PCAR) was submitted to the FDEP in February 1989. The PCAR summarized the results of twenty-nine (29) soil borings. OVA screening results indentified contamination extending north/northwest of the UST area. Refer to **Figure 3** in **Appendix F** for soil boring locations.

A Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) was completed in June 1991 By Groundwater Technologies, Inc. and detailed soil quality data collected from seventeen (17) soil borings and during the installation of additional monitoring wells. Excessively contaminated soil, based on OVA screening results, was encountered north/northwest of UST area and east of the dispensers. Refer to **Figure 3-6** in **Appendix F** for soil boring locations.

S&ME Inc. submitted a Supplemental Site Assessment Report (SSAR) on May 27, 2004. S&M--E completed twenty (20) soil borings to approximately 4.5 feet below land surface (ft-bls). Elevated OVA responses were reported in three (3) borings. Laboratory analysis did not report any contaminants of concern above SCTLs. ATC Associates, Inc. submitted a Site Assessment Report (SAR) on March 9, 2007, also completing twenty (20) soil borings to approximately 5 ft-bls. Elevate OVA responses were reported in two (2) borings. Laboratory analysis did not report any contaminants of concern above SCTLs. Refer to **Figure 4** in **Appendix F** for soil boring locations.

Soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the over excavation source removal completed in 2008, in the vicinity of MW-7. Laboratory analysis did not report any contaminants of concern above SCTLs.

X Results included in current soil OVA screening and soil analytical summary tables.

 Site map (Figure 3, 3-6, 4) illustrating sampling locations is included in Appendix
 F

 Tabular summary of soil sampling results (Table 1) is included in Appendix
 A

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT Apex Station Site Name: Facility ID #: 36/8840379 September 13, 2018 Date:

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

Any monitoring wells installed? If yes, briefly identify where the wells were installed and describe their construction. Please indicate if the wells are still on-site. The well descriptions and can be omitted if the information is included in a current tabular summaries.

As reported in the CAR assessment, four (4) shallow monitoring wells (OW-1 through OW-4) were installed at the site prior to assessment activities, presumably as compliance wells.

Four (4) shallow monitoring wells, labeled MW-5 through MW-8, and one (1) piezometer, labeled PZ-1, were installed by GTI Drilling in December 1990. Two (2) additional shallow monitoring wells, labeled MW-9 and MW-10, were installed by GTI Drilling on March 26, 1991. An additional pre-existing well, labeled MW-11, was located on March 26, 1991. This well was probably installed by Ardaman and Associates, Inc. during their preliminary site assessment in January 1989.

Two replacement shallow groundwater monitoring wells (MW-10R and MW-11R), one additional shallow monitoring well (MW-12), and one vertical extent well (DW-1) were installed on-site to delineate the groundwater impacts detected in MW-7 on December 11-12, 2006.

Following the UST upgrades and the source removal in March 2008, three (3) replacement shallow monitoring wells MW-6R, MW-7R, and MW-12R were installed in May 2008. Based on sampling results collected during sampling events in 2008 and 2009, shallow monitoring wells MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15 were installed in December 2009.

There is conflicting data as to the installation details and location of well MW-8 and MW-12. The CAR and RAP report well MW-8 located on the north side of the building. The SAR from March 2007 and subsequent reports through the current TSAR locate well MW-12/MW-12R on the north side of the building. Construction details for well MW-8 are reported in the PCAR from 1989. Construction details for well MW-12 could not be found on file. Construction details for well MW-12R were reported in the November 2008 Post Source Removal Groundwater Sampling Report.

Site map (Figure 3) illustrating well locations is included in Appendix B Tabular summary of well construction details (Table 5) is included in Appendix

YES

X

NO

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT				
Site Name:	Apex Station			
Facility ID #:	36/8840379			
Date:	September 13, 2018			

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

Has direct push (geoprobe) groundwater grab-sampling been



YES

X

performed? If yes, briefly identify the locations and depths where the samples were collected. <u>A description of the sample locations and results can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries</u>

The May 2004 SSAR reported a total of twenty (20) groundwater samples, SB-1 through SB-20, were collected from completed soil borings at a depth of approximately 4.5 ft-bls. Samples were analyzed for BTEX/MTBE and reported concentrations above GCTLs and NADCs from nine (9) of the twenty (20) direct push samples. Refer to **Figure 4** in **Appendix F** for groundwater sampling locations, and **Table 2** in **Appendix F** for a summary of the analytical results.

Site map (Figure	4) illustrating the groundwater sam	npling	results is included in Appendix	_	F
Tabular summ	nary of grou	indwater sampling results (Table	2) is included in Appendix	F	

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT			
Site Name:	Apex Station		
Facility ID #:	36/8840379		
Date:	September 13, 2018		

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

	YES		NO
Was groundwater sampling performed? If yes, briefly describe what sampling was performed and summarize results. <u>A description of the sampling results can be omitted</u>	X		
if the data are included with the current tabular summaries and groundwater plume maps (i	f applice	able).	
Groundwater samples have been collected from wells MW-5 through MW-11 assessment activities in 1991 and continued through 1995 during remedial activities was parked when site rehabilitation activities were suspended due to Senate	ctions	before	
Assessment activities and groundwater sampling resumed in 2004. Addit replacement wells were installed during assessment and source removal a 2010.			
Groundwater samples from all remaining site wells have been collected period through 2014. The last sampling event prior to the current Scope of Work w December 12, 2014.	-		
X Results included in current groundwater analytical summary table. Site map (Figure 3) illustrating sampling locations is included in Appendix Tabular summary of groundwater results (Table 3A, 3B) is included in Appendix		B A	
	YES		NO
Has free product been observed in wells or excavations (not			X
including tank and/or system closures)? If yes, please describe. <u>A description</u>			
of the thickness measured can be omitted if the previous data are included with the current t and illustrated on current free product plume maps (if applicable).	<u>abular s</u>	<u>summar</u>	<u>ies</u>
Site map (Figure) illustrating locations where free product was observed is included	l in App	endix	
Tabular summary of free product thickness (Table) is included in Appendix			

 TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT

 Site Name:
 Apex Station

 Facility ID #:
 36/8840379

 Date:
 September 13, 2018

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)

Has the previous site assessment been approved by the FDEP (was a CAR or SAR approval letter issued?) Date site assessment (or contamination assessment) was approved:

II-C) Previous Remediation

Has a Remedial Action Plan been prepared? If yes, please briefly describe the remedial strategy. <u>The description of the remedial strategy can be</u> omitted if the RAP was implemented (this item will be addressed in the active remediation section that follows).


In April 1992 a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) was submitted by GTI utilizing groundwater sampling data collected during the CAR. The recommended remedial technique was groundwater pump and treat with soil vapor extraction. The pump and treat system utilized three (3) recovery wells, onsite treatment, and effluent discharge to an infiltrations gallery. The SVE system consisted of 15 horizontal vacuum extraction lines located through the trenching for the groundwater recovery system. The RAP was approved on May 9, 1992.


A Limited Scope Remedial Action Plan (LSRAP) was submitted by ATC Associates on October 20, 2009. The LSRAP) proposed biosparging to address the dissolved phase petroleum hydrocarbons in the area of MW-7R. The LSRAP was approved by FDEP on December 7, 2010. Startup of a biosparge system was conducted in May 2011. The system operated until August 2012, at which time the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the groundwater in MW-7R were reduced below the NADCs and the site transitioned into Post Active Remediation Monitoring (PARM). The Year 1 Quarter 3 PARM Report (May 10, 2013) proposed conducting two eight-hour high vacuum extraction over purge events to address rebounding which had occurred in the area of MW-7R. Over purge events were conducted in July and August 2013 and all constituents of concern, except naphthalene were reduced to below the GCTL.

Naphthalene concentrations in MW-7R exceeded the GCTL during subsequent PARM sampling despite the over purge events. In December 2013 ATC Associates submitted a LSRAP Addendum and proposed to resume active remediation (biosparging) in the area of MW-7R by mobilizing the biosparge remediation system back to the site, reconnecting the biosparge wells in the area of MW-7R and restarting the system. FDEP approved the LSRAP Addendum.

Date of RAP:	10/29/1991	Prepared by:	GTI	
	10/20/2009		ATC Associates	
	12/12/2013		ATC Associates	
X Remedial Ad	ction Plan approved	by FDEP.	Date of RAP approval order	05/08/1992
				12/07/2010
				12/27/2013

II-B) Previous Site Assessment (continued)





TEMPLATE SI Site Name:	TE ASSESSMENT REPORT Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

	NO X
Approximate depth to water at time of excavation (if known) feet Site map (Figure) illustrating sampling locations and extent of excavation(s) is included in Appen Tabular summary of soil sampling results (Table) is included in Appendix)	dix
II-C) Previous Remediation (continued)	
Has active remediation been performed? If yes, please indicate dates YES NC performed (each applicable technology), evaluate previous system effectiveness X	a and 1995 l treat vapor
Startup of a biosparge system was conducted in May 2011. The system operated until A 2012. The system equipment consisted of a trailer mounted air compressor capable of prod up to 48 standard cubic feet per minute at a maximum pressure of 22 pounds per square inch Continued short term biosparging was conducted from April 2014 through November	ucing n. 2014.
After the biosparge system was shut down, a Low Score Site Assessment (LSSI) was perfor and No Further Action (NFA) was requested. FDEP denied the NFA request.	rmed
Identify type(s) of active remediation previously performed:XAir Sparging & Vapor ExtractionXGroundwater Recovery (pump & treat)Multiphase Extraction (w/duater Recovery (pump & treat))	l nhase)
Imaging & Vapor Extraction Imaging & Vapor Extraction Imaging & Vapor Extraction Imaging & Vapore	

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORTSite Name:Apex StationFacility ID #:36/8840379Date:September 13, 2018

SECTION III - Recent Site Assessment Activities

III-A) Soil Investigation

[soil sampling]

Was soil (vadose zone and smear zone) investigated? If yes, please provide a brief discussion of soil sampling methodology, including the method(s) used to collect the laboratory samples. If no, please explain.

On December 6, 2017, FGE visited the site and met with JAEE Environmental, Inc. to install eleven (11) soil borings to 12ft-bls to investigate the soil quality in the northern portion of the site. During the completion of all soil borings, lithologic descriptions, moisture content, and odor was documented continuously to the total depth of each boring. Soil samples were generally collected 1 foot intervals for field screening to a total depth. The recovered soil samples were placed in 16-ounce jars, leaving approximately half of the jar as a headspace in which organic vapors could accumulate. The openings on the jars were sealed with aluminum foil and the headspace was allowed to equilibrate approximately five minutes before analysis. The samples were field screened using a OVA Photo-ionization Detector (PID). The OVA/PID was calibrated prior to use in accordance with manufacture specifications. The results of the soil screening are presented on **Table 1**.

YES

X

NO

As per the scope of work, up to six (6) soil samples were to be collected during the soil boring program. OVA/PID data was recorded prior to collecting soil samples for laboratory analysis. With the OVA/PID data forwarded to FDEP, FGE and FDEP agreed to collect soil samples from the following soil boring intervals: B-3 @ 1-2', B-3 @ 2-3', B-6 @2-3', and B-11 @ 2-3'. In total, four (4) soil samples were collected and analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).

Date of last soil screening event (OVA data) with or without laboratory sampling: 12/06/2017 Site map (Figure 4, 5) illustrating sampling locations is included in Appendix В Tabular summary of soil screening results (Table 1) is included in Appendix Α Tabular summary of laboratory soil sampling results (Table 2) is included in Appendix Α Soil sampling logs (for laboratory samples) are included in Appendix С Soil samples (previous sampling events included) have been collected and analyzed for: Required for all suspected GAG & KAG contaminated sites. BTEX/MTBE (low//high) PAHs TRPHs Х Х Х Required for all sites where Used Oil contamination is suspected. Priority Pollutant Volatile **TRPHs** As, Cd, Cr, Pb

Organics & Extractable Organics

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT				
Apex Station				
36/8840379				
September 13, 2018				

III-A) Soil Investigation (continued)

Was soil Investigative Derived Waste (IDW) generated?	YES	NO	N/A
If yes, please describe method used for identifying soil needing disposal:	X		
A total of three (3) drums of soil were generated during the installat	ion of mo	nitoring wells	8.
Volume of contaminated soil disposed of: 3 X Disposal method: Thermal Treatment	drums	cu. yds.	
[soil results]	YES	NO	N/A
Was soil contamination above applicable Cleanup Target		X	
Levels identified above the water table? If yes, identify where		Λ	
concentrations above CTLs were detected, depths encountered and corresponding indicate whether laboratory results agree with OVA readings (if they do not agree OVA screening data and/or reliability of laboratory results). If "N/A", please exp	e, please di		
The results of the laboratory analyses indicated that none of the soi	l samples	exceeded the	FDEP
Soil Cleanup Target Levels (SCTLs) for any Contaminants of Conce	ern tested		
Approximate volume of vadose zone soil contamination: Site map (Figure 5) illustrating extent of soil contamination is incompared.	<i>cu</i> . yd cluded in Ai		
Soil concentration summary (Table 2) is included in	n Appendix	Α	
Soil sampling logs (for laboratory samples) are include	d in Appen	dix <u>C</u>	

III-A) Soil Investigation (continued)

TEMPLATE SIT	TE ASSESSMENT REPORT				
Site Name:	Apex Station				
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	-			
Date:	September 13, 2018	-			
		-			
			YES	NO	N/A
Was vados	se zone soil contamination a	lelineated? If no,			X
please describe	e where additional borings should be l	ocated (indicating			
	hs of investigations). If "N/A", please				
Vadose soil	contamination was not detected				
Site map (F	igure) illustrating propose	d sampling locations is inc			
			YES	NO	N/A
	<i>ar zone been identified?</i> Def			X	
	amination located within the zone of w	```			
	ibed as a "secondary source" of contar				
	ass distribution in the smear zone. If lata, etc.). If "N/A", please explain.	no, please describe what a	dditional info	ormation is nee	ded (soil
	were completed to 12 ft-bls.	Depth to water fluctua	tes hetwee	n 2 ft-bls to	5 ft-bls
	gs reported concentrations in th				
the USTs.	55 reported concentrations in th	e sinear zone. Sinear	zone impu		norm or
uie 0.515.					
Site map (F	igure) illustrating propose	d sampling locations is inc	luded in App	endix	

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation

[monitoring wells/direct push]

Were monitoring wells installed (or abandoned)? If yes, briefly identify which wells were installed/abandoned and describe their construction. <u>The well locations</u> and construction details can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries.

On July 25, 2017, new monitoring wells, MW-16 and MW-17 were completed to 12 ft-bls. Monitoring well MW-16 through MW-17 were installed using hollow stem augurs and each well was constructed using 10 feet of 2-inch diameter 0.010 inch slotted PVC well screen threaded to 2 feet of solid PVC riser. The annulus of each well was back-filled with a 20/30 grade silica sand filter pack installed through the augers to approximately 1.0 feet above the screen, followed by a 0.5 ft layer of 30/65 fine-grained sand seal. A PVC tremie pipe was used as a tamping device to prevent bridging of the filter pack and ensured the amount of filter pack sand was continuously tagged during placement. The well was then grouted to just below land surface and completed with a flush-mounted, steel protective manhole cover, locking well cap, and secured with a zip tie.

 Site map (Figure 3) illustrating the well locations is included in Appendix B
 B

 Tabular summary of well construction details (Table 5) is included in Appendix A
 Monitoring well completion reports are included in Appendix C

Was direct push (geoprobe) groundwater grab-sampling

performed? If yes, briefly identify the locations and depths where the samples were collected. <u>A description of the sample locations and results can be omitted if the information is included in current site maps and tabular summaries</u>

YES

YES

NO

Х

NO

Site Name:			
Facility ID #:			
Date:			

	Apex Station
	36/8840379
-	September 13, 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

[groundwater sampling]

Was groundwater sampling performed? If yes, please provide a brief discussion of groundwater purging and sampling methodology and identify the wells that were sampled. If no, please explain. <u>A description of the sampling results can be omitted if the information</u> is illustrated in current contaminant plume maps and tabular summaries

On March 23, 2017, FGE collected groundwater samples from existing monitoring wells, MW-6R, MW-7R, MW-10R, MW-11R, MW-12R, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-15. Well MW-9 could not be located using a metal detector. Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP standard operating procedures. Following calibration of meters and collection of water levels, the monitoring wells were sampled using the prescribed methodologies in FDEP SOP 001-01. The wells were purged using low flow purging methods (less than 1.0 liter per minute) using a variable speed peristaltic pump. Following one well volume purge, stabilization parameters were measured for each monitoring well using a continuous flow-through monitoring cell. Stabilization measurements included temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen. The drawdown of the water table was also monitored continuously during purging. After groundwater stabilization, the samples were collected.

YES

NO

The groundwater samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory prepared containers, then placed into ice filled coolers and transported to the laboratory for analyses. Strict chain-of-custody of the samples was maintained at all times. All samples were analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).

On December 6, 2017, FGE collected groundwater samples from wells MW-7R, MW-16 and MW-17. Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP standard operating procedures previously described above. A grab water sample was collected from the retention pond located on the northern property boundary. The sample was obtained with a surface water sampling device; the collection device was submerged below surface, approximately three feet from the shore edge.

The groundwater samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory prepared containers, then placed into ice filled coolers and transported to the laboratory for analyses. Strict chain-of-custody of the samples was maintained at all times. All samples were analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).

On June 5, 2018, FGE collected groundwater samples from wells MW-7R, MW-14, MW-16 and MW-17. Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the FDEP standard operating procedures previously described above. A grab water sample was collected from the retention pond located on the northern property boundary. The sample was obtained with a surface water sampling device; the collection device was submerged below surface, approximately three feet from the shore edge.

TEMPLATE SITE A	ASSESSMENT REPORT
-----------------	-------------------

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

The groundwater samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory prepared containers, then placed into ice filled coolers and transported to the laboratory for analyses. Strict chain-of-custody of the samples was maintained at all times. All samples were analyzed at Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for volatile organic aromatics (EPA Method 8260), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8270) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (FL-PRO).
If groundwater sampling not performed, indicate date of last sampling event (if applicable):
Indicate wells sampled on that date (if applicable):

 Site map (Figure 6, 6A) illustrating the groundwater sampling results is included in Appendix
 B

 Tabular summary of groundwater sampling results (Table 3A, 3B)
) is included in Appendix
 A

 Groundwater field sampling logs are included in Appendix
 C
 C

Groundwater samples (previous sampling events included) have been collected and analyzed for:

Required for all suspected GAG/KAG sites.					
X BTEX/MTBE	X PAHs	X TRPHs			
Required for all contaminated GAG/KAG sites.					
X EDB	X Lead (Pb)	VOHs			
Required for all suspected used oil (or unknown fuel type) contaminated sites.					
Priority Pollutant Volatile Organics & Extractable Organics	As, Cd, Cr, Pb	TRPHs			

TEMPLATE SIT	E ASSESSMENT REPORT
Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date.	September 13, 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

	YES	NO	N/A
Was groundwater IDW generated? If yes, please explain why disposal on-site was not possible.		Χ	
Volume of contaminated groundwater disposed of:	drums		gallons
[groundwater results]			J
Was groundwater contamination identified above the applicable Cleanup Target Levels? If yes, indicate locations where highest concentrations detected with depths encountered. If "N/A", ple	YES X ease explain	NO	N/A

The results of the March 23, 2017 groundwater sampling indicated that none of the monitoring wells contained concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs except MW-7R. The groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R reported a concentration of naphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTL.

The results of the December 6, 2017 groundwater sampling reported concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs at wells MW-7R and MW-16. The groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-7R reported concentrations of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTLs. The sample from well MW-16 reported a concentration of naphthalene that exceeded the applicable GCTL. The pond sample did not report any concentrations of contaminants that exceed GCTLs.


The results of the June 5, 2018 groundwater sampling reported a concentration of naphthalene that exceeded the GCTL at well MW-16. Samples from MW-7R, MW-14, and MW-17 reported contaminants of concern below applicable GCTLs.

Approximate vo	lume of contaminate	d groundwater:	30,439	gallons
Plume maps [Figure(s)	6A] illustrating extent		of groundwat	er contamination
	is/are included in App	pendix B		

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT				
Site Name:	Apex Station			
Facility ID #:	36/8840379			
Date:	September 13, 2018			

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

Has horizontal delineation been completed in the surficial



X

aquifer? If no, please describe where additional sampling

is required (indicating wells and needed analyses) and/or additional monitoring wells should be installed (indicating proposed screened intervals for each). If "N/A", please explain.

Well MW-16 reported naphthalene above cleanup target levels; the well is located approximately 20 feet from retention pond on the northern property boundary. Samples from the retention pond did not report any that concentrations of contaminants that exceed Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations.

) illustrating proposed monitoring well locations is included in Appendix Site map (Figure

Has vertical delineation been completed in the <u>plume</u>

YES	NO	N/A
Χ		

area? If no, please describe where additional sampling is required

(indicating needed analyses) and/or identify locations where vertical extent well(s) should be installed (indicating proposed screened intervals, single or double cased and length of surface casings). If "N/A", please explain.

Former well DW-1 was sampled in December 2006 and did not report any contaminants of concern above GCTLs. Since no contaminants of concern exceeded the NADCs, vertical delineation was not pursued.

Site map (Figure

) illustrating proposed vertical extent well locations is included in Appendix

TEMPLATE SITE A	ASSESSMENT REPORT

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

III-B) Groundwater Investigation (continued)

[impacted receptors]

	YES NO Unkn			
Have any supply wells or surface waters been impacted?		X		
If yes, please indicate concentration(s) of water sample(s) taken and the		Δ		
wells/surface water body/bodies impacted. If unknown, please explain.				
There are no supply wells in the area.				
A grab water sample was collected from the pond. The sample was of sampling device; The collection device was submerged below surfa from the shore edge. The pond sample did not report any that con that exceed the Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations.	ice, appr	roximately	three feet	
Is surface water and/or sediment sampling required? If yes, please indicate where samples should be collected, and the proposed analyses.	YES	NO	Unknown	
[Note: surface water sampling results should be summarized with the groundwater	· analytica	al results and	sediment	
sampling results should be summarized with the soil analytical results.] If unknow				
A grab water sample was collected from the pond. The sample was o	obtained	with a surf	face water	
sampling device; The collection device was submerged below surfa	ice, appi	roximately	three feet	
from the shore edge. The pond sample did not report any that con	ncentrati	ons of con	taminants	
that exceed the Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations.				
Site map (Figure) illustrating sampling locations is included in	Appendix	K		
	YES	NO	Unknown	
Are there any potable wells that need to be sampled? If yes, please indicate wells to be sampled, and the proposed analyses. If unknown,		X		
please explain.]	
Site map (Figure) illustrating potable well locations is included i	n Append	lix		

Site Name:	Apex Station				
Facility ID #:	36/8840379				
Date:	September 13, 2018				

III-C) Free Product Investigation

<i>Is free product present? If yes, please indicate where product has been</i>
observed and its thickness, describe the product (color, odor, etc.) and estimate the
type and age of the product.

YES	_

Χ	

NO

type and age of the product.
Site man (Figure) illustrating free product thickness at well leastions is included in Appendix
Site map (Figure) illustrating free product thickness at well locations is included in Appendix Tabular summary of free product thickness (Table) is included in Appendix
YES NO N/A
Has the extent of free product been delineated? If no, please
describe where additional wells or piezometers should be located.
Site map (Figure) illustrating locations of proposed piezometers or wells is included in Appendix
YES NO N/A
Is free product recovery ongoing? If yes, please indicate the method
and frequency of removal and summarize recovery efforts to date.
Tabular summary of product recovery amounts (Table) is included in Appendix
YES NO N/A
If free product recovery is not ongoing, are free product X
recovery efforts recommended? If yes, please indicate the proposed
method and frequency of removal. If no, please explain why product removal is not recommended.

Site map (Figure ______) illustrating locations of proposed additional piezometers and/or wells for free product recovery is included in Appendix ______

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

III-D) <u>Comments</u>

Any issues or concerns not addressed in previous questions which might help better describe the degree and extent of the contamination at this site.


Well MW-16 reported naphthalene above cleanup target levels. Well MW-16 is hydraulically down gradient, located on the north property boundary. However, the well is located approximately 20 feet from the retention pond on the northern property boundary. Any further off site assessment to the north would be on an opposite side of the retention pond. The pond will act as a hydraulic barrier and a well installed off site on the opposite side of the pond will not yield any beneficial data.

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

SECTION IV - Impacted Media

IV-A) Lithologic Summary

The impacted aquifer(s) can be best characterized by the following description (<u>predominantly</u>): <u>Select One</u>



Please describe a typical soil column and all defined aquifers (perched/upper/lower). This should include a brief description of the site lithology (using the Unified Soil Classification System), and all other geologic and/or hydrogeologic characteristics of the area which might influence migration or transport of the contamination.

Native soil appears to be mainly fine to medium grained sand of varying color to an approximate depth of 3-4 ft-bls. Below 4 ft-bls is fine sand of varying color to approximately 15 ft-bls. The boring log for former well DW-1 reported a limestone layer from $15 - 17$ ft-bls. Fine-grained						
sand of varying color with limestone fragments was encountered to at le	ast 55 It-DIS					
Lithologic cross-section (Figure $8, 8A$) is included in Appendix	B YES	NO				

Is the	litholo	ogic	info	ormation	obte	ained	to	date	sufficient to)
-	-	-		-						

Site map illustrating proposed lithologic boring locations (Figure

) is included in Appendix

Х

TEMPLATE SIT	TE ASSESSMENT REPORT			
Site Name:	Apex Station			
Facility ID #: Date:	36/8840379 September 13, 2018			
Date.	September 13, 2018			
IV-B) <u>Hyd</u>	rologic Summary			
			YES NO	C
	ne monitoring well tops-of-c		lf X	
-	cribe why this information has not been			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t have to be performed by a Profession rior to the survey, then the TOCs shoul			
^ ^			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			YES NO	С
Was a prot	fessional land survey perfor	med? If ves. please indicate		7
	whether it was saved on disk (indication			
1 0	Also indicate which monitoring wells (i		гу.	
[Note: the site	map must be based on the professional	l lana survey.]		
	Is suisingly investor	. d l . d	yes	no V
	Is original signed at	nd sealed professional land surve	y included ?yes	X no
Is copy of elec	ctronic version of land survey (labeled	with ID #, site name & report da		X
			YES N	0
Have denth	n to groundwater and groun	dwater flow direction		
*	er zone aquifer been determ	v	X	
11	to water and fluctuation range (low/hig		f the site. If no. please e	explain.
	depth to water on December 6, 2			
site is inferre	ed to north.			
Historically,	groundwater flow across the site	e was reported to the north.		
Site map	(s) [Figure(s) 7A, 7B] illust	rating upper zone water table ele	vations and interpretation	on(s)
1		on(s) is/are included in Appendix	_	

 Tabular summary of all groundwater elevation data (Table 4) is included in Appendix A

TEMPLATE SIT	E ASSESSMENT REPORT
Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

IV-B) Hydrologic Summary (continued)

Have depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction(s) in lower and/or intermediate aquifer(s) been determined? If yes, please indicate average depth to water and fluctuation range in vertical extent wells

(low/high stand). If no, please explain.

Not applicable, as shallow impacts do not exceed NADCs and vertical delineation was not pursued.

Site map [Figure(s)_____] illustrating lower/intermediate zone water table elevations and interpretation(s) of groundwater flow direction(s) is/are included in Appendix ______YES NO

Are perched aquifer conditions suspected? If yes, please indicate
estimated depth and thickness of perched zone and whether perched zone extends
across entire site.

Site map (Figure) illustrating estimated lateral extent of perched zone (when it does not extend across entire
site), water level eleva	ations and interpretation(s) of groundwater flow direction(s) is/are included in Appendix

Is the site tidally influenced? If yes, please indicate tidal fluctuation
range and whether groundwater flow direction might change during tidal cycle.
If unknown please indicate whether this issue is important at this site (outlining

YES	NO
	X

YES

NO

Å

Ur	iknown

Х

If unknown, please indicate whether this issue is important at this site (outlining data collection plan if needed).

Site map(s) [Figure(s)

] illustrating changes in flow direction is/are included in Appendix

Site Name: Facility ID #:	36/8840379			
Date:	September 13, 2018			
IV-B) Hvdro	ologic Summary (continued)			
		YES	NO	Unkno
0	ater flow in the impacted aquifers being		Χ	
influenced b	by pumping from nearby water supply w	vells?		
If yes, please exp	by pumping from nearby water supply w plain how this was determined and indicate which wate use indicate whether this issue is important at this site (r well(s) are influencir		
If yes, please exp If unknown, plea	plain how this was determined and indicate which wate	r well(s) are influencir		
If yes, please exp If unknown, plea	plain how this was determined and indicate which wate use indicate whether this issue is important at this site (r well(s) are influencir		
If yes, please exp If unknown, plea	plain how this was determined and indicate which wate use indicate whether this issue is important at this site (r well(s) are influencir		
If yes, please exp If unknown, plea	plain how this was determined and indicate which wate use indicate whether this issue is important at this site (r well(s) are influencir		

] illustrating changes in flow direction due to pumping from nearly water supply wells is/are included in Appendix

YES

YES

Х

NO

X

Ε NO

Unknown

N/A

Has the average hydraulic gradient (ft/ft) been

determined? If yes, please indicate range of values (if applicable) and

whether gradient is uniform across the site. Is there evidence of a vertical gradient? If "N/A", please explain. The hydraulic gradient estimated from monitoring well MW-17 to monitoring well MW-7R using data collected on December 6, 2017 is 0.007 ft/ft.

Hydraulic gradient data and calculations included in Appendix

Have any aquifer tests been performed at the subject site? If yes, please describe test method (slug test, pumping test, etc.), which wells were used, date performed and summarize test results [transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, rate of groundwater flow, pumping rates (gpm), etc.]

FGE was not authorized to perform aquifer testing.

GTI performed slug tests on well MW-5, MW-6 and MW-8 for the RAP. An average hydraulic conductivity was calculated at 40.25 ft/day.

Aquifer test data and calculations included in Appendix

F

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

IV-B) Hydrologic Summary (continued)

Depth to groundwater in upper zone water-table wells (ft):		4.40	Average (ft): 3.60)
Depth to groundwater in lower zone vertical extent wells (ft):	to		Average (ft):	
Observed maximum range of upper zone fluctuation (ft):	 Tidall	y influenc	ed? Yes No X]

IV-C) Risk Evaluation

Is human health, safety, or welfare affected by exposure to the contamination or will the contamination substantially affect, or migrate to and substantially affect a known public or private source of potable water? If yes, please describe in detail.

NO
Χ

YES

No public or private potable wells are located with ½ mile of the site.

SECTION V - Post Assessment Summary & Recommendations Filled out <u>AFTER</u> site assessment has been completed


V-A) Site Assessment Summary


The Site Assessment Summary table shall be completed and submitted as an attachment to this TSAR. The summary is a separate Excel worksheet. Site Assessment Summary completed and included as Table <u>6</u> in Appendix A.

Are all the documents submitted to date adequate to meet the site assessment requirements of Rule 62-780.600, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)?

V-B) <u>Recommendations</u>

Is No Further Action (NFA) without conditions recommended? If yes, please provide reasons NFA is appropriate.





Is No Further Action (NFA) with conditions recommended? If yes, please provide reasons conditional NFA is appropriate and describe the conditions [the needed institutional or engineering controls] pursuant to Rule 62-770.680(2), F.A.C.



YES

There were no vadose zone soil impacts detected and only limited groundwater impacts. However the detected impacts were located adjacent to the property boundary and may extend across the property line towards the retention pond.

TEMPLATE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
Site Name:	Apex Station	
Facility ID #:	36/8840379	
Date:	September 13, 2018	

V-B) Recommendations (continued)

If the groundwater plume is shrinking or stable is there any reason that Remediation by Natural Attenuation (RNA) cannot be the selected remedial strategy?



YES

If no, outline the proposed monitoring plan including monitoring wells, sampling parameters and sampling frequency. If yes, specify why natural attenuation is not appropriate.

Natural Attenuation should be considered as a remedial strategy, sampling wells MW-7R, MW-14, MW-16, and MW-17 semi annually by EPA Method 8260 (BTEX & MTBE) and EPA Method 8270 (LLPAH).

Monitoring Wells:	MW-7R,	MW-14,	MW-16,	MW-17
-------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------------

Contaminants:	VOCs, PAHs	Frequency: Semi-annu	al Duration:	2 yrs
			YES	NO
		product) recommended? f source removal (is dewatering	If yes,	X
Site man (Figure		reposed autant of augustion is in		

Site map (Figure ______) illustrating proposed extent of excavation is included in Appendix ______

Site Name:	Apex Station
Facility ID #:	36/8840379
Date:	September 13, 2018

<u>SECTION VI</u> - Program Issues (for state funded cleanup sites)

List of all consultant company personnel (not subcontractor employees) that participated in the field work or helped to prepare the report:

<u>Name</u>	Duties		tes On- f applicab	
Tim Foster	Reconnaissance Survey	02/24/17	thru	02/24/17
John Brodnax / Robert Schrom	Groundwater Sampling	03/23/17	thru	12/28/17
Timothy Foster / John Brodnax	Well Installations	7/25/17	thru	7/25/17
Timothy Foster / John Brodnax	Soil Boring Program /Groundwater Sampling	12/6/17	thru	12/6/17
John Brodnax / Timothy Foster	Groundwater Sampling	6/5/18	6/5/18	5/4/18
Timothy Foster	Report Preparation	6/20/18	thru	7/18/18
			thru	

VI-A) Work Plan and Cost Summary

Briefly summarize initial work plan.

The initial work plan was a generic Low Score Assessment written by the FDEP.
It consisted of:
File Review
Health & Safety Plan
Site Reconnaissance / Groundwater Gauging
The performance of 12 soil borings to 12 ft-bls
The installation of 6 shallow well to 12 ft-bls, the installation of 1 deep well to 35 ft-bls
The collection of 23 groundwater samples
TSAR Preparation
Copy of original work order or task assignment is included in appendix H
YES NO
Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work
Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work planned for site.
Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work X planned for site. X Added sampling rounds of the existing wells were completed based on initial groundwater
Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work planned for site.
Was any extra work authorized? If yes, please summarize extra work X planned for site. X Added sampling rounds of the existing wells were completed based on initial groundwater sampling results and additional time required for an access agreement with the new property

Copies of all authorization forms are included in Appendix J

Appendix A Tables

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
A-1	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	117	
			10	89	
A-2	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
A-3	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	61	
			8	68	
			10	51	
A-4	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
A-5	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	65	
			10	52	
A-6	12/22/1988		2	1,809	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	>2,000	
			10	>2,000	
A-7	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	500	
			8	210	
			10	127	
A-8	12/22/1988		2	71	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	>2,000	
			10	130	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Boring \# & Date & (ft) & Depth (ft) & (ppm) & Comments \\ \hline A-9 & 12/22/1988 & 2 & >2,000 & \\ \hline 4 & >2,000 & \\ \hline 6 & >2,000 & \\ \hline 6 & >2,000 & \\ \hline 8 & 1,450 & \\ \hline 10 & 720 & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	i
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
8 1,450 10 720 A-10 12/22/1988 2 0 4 >2,000 6 510 8 240	
8 1,450 10 720 A-10 12/22/1988 2 0 4 >2,000 6 510 8 240	
A-10 12/22/1988 2 0 4 >2,000 6 510 8 240	
4 >2,000 6 510 8 240	
4 >2,000 6 510 8 240	
6 510 8 240	
8 240	
8 240	
10 170	
A-11 12/22/1988 2 0	
4 0	
6 230	
8 200	
10 58	
A-12 12/22/1988 2 60	
4 >2,000	
6 >2,000	
8 >2,000	
10 560	
A-13 12/22/1988 2 >2,000	
4 >2,000	
6 >2,000	
8 >2,000	
10 1,580	
A-14 12/22/1988 2 >2,000	
4 >2,000	
6 >2,000	
8 >2,000	
10 1,790	
A-15 12/22/1988 2 0	
4 0	
6 360	
8 1,010	
10 690	
A-16 12/22/1988 2 0	
4 0	
6 230	
8 320	
10 50	
A-17 12/22/1988 2 20	
4 >2,000	
6 >2,000	
8 >2,000	
10 1,760	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
A-18	12/22/1988		2	>2,000	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	>2,000	
			10	330	
A-19	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
A-20	12/22/1988		2	>2,000	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	1,980	
			10	170	
A-21	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
A-22	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
A-23	12/22/1988		2	1,690	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	1,780	
			10	1,530	
A-24	12/22/1988		2	>2,000	
			4	>2,000	
			6	1,780	
			8	1,048	
			10	1,000	
 					
A-25	12/22/1988		2	160	
			4	230	
			6	670	
			8	570	
			10	300	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
A-26	12/22/1988		2	>2,000	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	>2,000	
			10	1,820	
A-27	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	>2,000	
			6	>2,000	
			8	1,910	
			10	650	
A-28	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	60	
			6	310	
			8	270	
			10	30	
A-29	12/22/1988		2	0	
			4	0	
			6	0	
			8	0	
			10	0	
MW-9	3/28/1991		4	18	
	- / /			-	
MW-10	3/28/1991		2	8	
			3	78	
			4	>1,000	
05.4	0/00/4004			10	
SB-1	3/28/1991		2	<10	
			3.5	<10	
	0/00/4004		0	. 1 000	
SB-2	3/28/1991		2	>1,000	
			3.5	>1,000	
	0/00/4004		0	0	
SB-3	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	<10	
	2/20/4004		0	0	
SB-4	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	
	0/00/4004		2	0	
SB-5	3/28/1991			0	
			3.5	96	
	0/00/4004		0	0	
SB-6	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	4	
0.0.7	0/00/4004		0		
SB-7	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
SB-8	3/28/1991		2	>1,000	
			3.5	>1,000	
SB-9	3/28/1991		2	>1,000	
			3.5	>1,000	
SB-10	3/28/1991		2	600	
			3.5	>1,000	
05.44	0/00/10001			4.000	
SB-11	3/28/1991		2 3.5	>1,000 >1,000	
			3.3	>1,000	
SB-12	3/28/1991		2	40	
30-12	3/20/1991		3.5	110	
			0.0	110	
SB-13	3/28/1991		2	0	
	0,20,1001		3.5	0	
			0.0	Ű	
SB-14	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	
SB-15	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	
SB-16	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	
SB-17	3/28/1991		2	0	
			3.5	0	
SB-01	2/11/2004	~4.5	1	0	
			2	0	
			3 4.5	0	
			4.0	0	
SB-02	2/11/2004	~4.5	1	0	
00-02	2/11/2004	+.0	2	0	
			3	0	
			4.5	21	
SB-03	2/11/2004	~4.5	1	0	Lab Sample "SB-3 Low"
			2	0	
			3	0	
			4.5	0	
SB-04	2/11/2004	~4.5	1	0	
			2	0	
			3	169	
			4.5	580	
00.05	0/44/0004	4.5	4		
SB-05	2/11/2004	~4.5	1 2	0 14	
			3	14 12	
			4.5	67	
			ч.Ј	07	
L				1	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
SB-06	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	2,520	Lab Sample "SB-6 Medium"
			3.5	886	
			4.5	2,253	
SB-07	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	630	
			4.5	>9,000	
SB-08	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	>9,000	Lab Sample "SB-8 High"
			3.5	>9,000	
			4.5	>9,000	
SB-09	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	100	
			4.5	301	
SB-10	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	Lab Sample "SB-10 Low"
			2.5	0	·
			3.5	0	
			4.5	34	
SB-11	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	0	
			4.5	0	
SB-12	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	0	
			4.5	23	
SB-13	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	24	
			4.5	39	
SB-14	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	192	
			2.5	974	
			3.5	1,339	
			4.5	2,592	
SB-15	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	3,111	
			4.5	>10,000	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
SB-16	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	711	
			2.5	>9,000	
			3.5	>9,000	
			4.5	>9,000	
SB-17	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	0	
			3.5	23	
			4.5	59	
	- / /			-	
SB-18	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
			2.5	119	
			3.5	4000	
			4.5		
SB-19	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	
30-19	2/11/2004	~4.5	2.5	191	
			3.5	808	
			4.5	682	
			4.0	002	
SB-20	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	78	
00 20	2/11/2004	-4.0	2.5	1,539	Lab Sample "SB-2 Medium"
			3.5	>9,000	
			4.5	>9,000	
			4.0	20,000	
SB-1	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
SB-2	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	NA	Refusal
SB-3	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	NA	Pea-Gravel
SB-4	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	3	
			2 - 4	1420	Lab Sample SB-4
			4 - 6	2451	
SB-5	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	NA	Pea-Gravel
	1.1.0/				
SB-6	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	156	
			4 - 6	1920	
	44/0/0000	4.0	0.0	0	
SB-7	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	4	
SB-8	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	143	
30-0	11/2/2000	~4.0			Lab Sample SP 9
			2 - 4	0	Lab Sample SB-8
			4 - 6	U	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
SB-9	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
SB-10	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	1	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
	/				
SB-11	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	8	
			2 - 4	1	
			4 - 6	1	
SB-12	11/2/2006	4.0	0 - 2	0	
5B-12	11/2/2006	~4.0		0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	U	
SB-13	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
30-13	11/2/2000	~4.0	2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
			4-0	0	
SB-14	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	58	Lab Sample SB-14
0211	11/2/2000	1.0	2 - 4	689	
			4 - 6	1094	
SB-15	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
SB-16	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	NA	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
SB-17	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
			2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
	44/0/0000				
SB-18	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	NA	
			2 - 4	NA	
			4 - 6	NA	
SB-19	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
2D-18	11/2/2000	~4.0	2 - 4	0	
			<u> </u>	NA	
			4-0	11/5	
SB-20	11/2/2006	~4.0	0 - 2	0	
00-20	11/2/2000	·+.U	2 - 4	0	
			4 - 6	0	
			τV		

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
MW-16	7/25/17	~1.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.0	
			3	42.1	
			4	173.0	
			6	864	
			8	94.3	
			10	16.1	
			12	1.4	
MW-17	7/25/17	~1.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.0	
			3	0.0 1.3	
			4	1.3	
			6	23.4	
			8	1.6	
			10	6.3	
			12	0.4	
L					
B-1	12/6/17	~3.0	1	1.0	
			2	0.7	
			3	0.1	
			4	0.6	
			6	677	
			8	33.0	
			10	49.0	
			12	9.3	
B-2	12/6/17	~3.0	1	103.0	
			2	10.0	
			3	0.0	
			4	0.5	
			6	0.6	
			8	0.4	
			10	2.0	
			12	8.1	
	10/0/17				
B-3	12/6/17	~3.0	1	3.0	
			2	37.1	Sample "B-3 1-2"
			3	262	Sample "B-3 2-3"
			4	1,333 3,240	
			6	3,240	
			8	150	
			10	168	
			12	9.1	
	40/0/47	0.0	4	0.0	
B-4	12/6/17	~3.0	1	3.0	
			2	2.0	
			3	2.0	
			4	2.6	
			6	3.1	
			8	18.1	
			10	34.0	
			12	15.0	
L					

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
B-5	12/6/17	~3.0	1	na	
			2	0.4	
			3	1.4	
			4	1,501	
			6	362	
			8	196	
			10	309	
			12	16.3	
B-6	12/6/17	~3.0	1	44.0	
			2	2.2	
			3	33.0	Sample "B-6 2-3"
		[4	268 3,285	
		[6	3,285	
			8	113	
			10	845	
			12	21.2	
B-7	12/6/17	~3.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.0	
			3	0.0	
			4	0.0	
			6	0.0	
			8	0.0	
			10	0.0	
			12	0.0	
B-8	12/6/17	~3.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.0	
		[3	0.0	
		[4	0.0	
			6	0.0	
		[8	0.0	
		[10	0.0	
			12	0.0	
B-9	12/6/17	~3.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.0	
			3	0.0	
			4	0.0	
		[6	0.3	
			8	1.8	
			10	0.5	
			12	0.0	

Site Name: Address Facility ID# Apex Station 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

		Depth to Water		Net OVA Reading	
Boring #	Date	(ft)	Depth (ft)	(ppm)	Comments
B-10	12/6/17	~3.0	1	0.0	
			2	1.1	
			3	0.0	
			4	13.0	
			6	67.0	
			8	19.0	
			10	41.0	
			12	5.0	
B-11	12/6/17	~3.0	1	0.0	
			2	0.4	
			3	38.0	Sample "B-11 2-3:
			4	1,841	
			6	861	
			8	75.6	
			10	54.3	
			12	20.1	

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOAs, TRPHs and Metals

See notes at end of table.

Facility Name: Apex Station

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

OVA Sample Laboratory Analyses Depth to Sample Net OVA Total Cad-Chro-Ethyl-Boring/ Well Benzene Toluene MTBE TRPHs Arsenic Lead Date Water Interval Reading benzene **Xylenes** mium mium No. Collected (ft) (ftbls) (ppm) (mg/kg) Comments SB-3 Low 2/11/2004 ~4.5 < 0.0075 < 0.0075 < 0.0075 < 0.0075 <0.0075 9.85 NS NS NS NS 1 0 < 0.0066 SB-6 Medium 2/11/2004 ~4.5 2.5 2,520 < 0.0066 < 0.0066 < 0.0066 0.0200 12.7 NS NS NS NS SB-8 High 2/11/2004 ~4.5 1/2/1900 >9,000 < 0.0058 <0.0058 <0.0058 < 0.017 <0.0058 12.0 NS NS NS NS SB-10 Low ~4.5 2/11/2004 1.5 0 < 0.0070 < 0.0070 < 0.0070 < 0.021 < 0.0070 61.8 NS NS NS NS SB-20 Medium 2/11/2004 ~4.5 2.5 1.539 < 0.0058 <0.0058 < 0.0058 0.0789 <0.0058 NS NS NS NS 131.0 SB-4 @ 4' 11/2/2006 ~4.0 4 NS 1.420 < 0.00044 0.18 0.00096 0.0530 < 0.00031 45 NS NS NS SB-8 @ 2' 11/2/2006 ~4.0 < 0.00042 < 0.00018 0.00071 < 0.00023 <0.00029 36 NS NS NS NS 2 143 North Wall 12/13/2007 ~5.0 3 0.00095 U 0.0025 0.0022 0.0195 0.0063 I 8.4 U NS NS NS NS NR 12/13/2007 0.00025 U 0.00051 U 0.00065 0.0081 I NS NS South Wall ~5.0 3 NR 0.0011 8 U NS NS

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - VOAs, TRPHs and Metals

	Sample			OVA				Labo	ratory Analy	yses					
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Net OVA Reading	Benzene	Ethyl- benzene	Toluene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	TRPHs	Arsenic	Cad- mium	Chro- mium	Lead	
		(ft)	(ftbls)	(ppm)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Comments
West Wall	12/13/2007	~5.0	3	NR	0.0047	0.0023	0.00054 U	0.0021 I	0.0031 I	8.3 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
													ļ		
													ļ		
	10/0/0017			07	0.0005.11	0.0000.11	0.000711	0.0074.11	0.000.4.1.1	7.0.11	10	N0		NO	
B-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.0035 U	0.0039 U	0.0037 U	0.0071 U	0.0034 U	7.8 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
B-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0025 U	0.0028 U	0.0027 U	0.0050 U	0.0025 U	7.0 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
D 0	12/6/2017	0.0	0.0		0.0005.11	0.0000.11	0.000711	0.0051 U	0.0025 U	6.0 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
B-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.0025 U	0.0028 U	0.0027 U	0.0051.0	0.0025 0	6.00	ING	IN5	INS	NS	
														-	
B-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0024 U	0.0027 U	0.0026 U	0.0049 U	0.0024 U	2.8 U	NS	NS	NS	NS	
													ļ		
_eachability Base	d on Groundwa	ter Criteria (m	na/ka)		0.007	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.09	340	*	7.5	38	*	
Direct Exposure F		,	igning)		1.2	1,500	7,500	130	4,400	460	2.1	82	210	400	
Notes:	NA = Not A	<u>,</u>			1.2	1,000	1,000	100	, 1,100	100	2.1	1 02	210	100	

Facility Name: Apex Station Facility ID#: 36/8840379

See notes at end of table.

NS = Not Sampled.

MTBE = Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

ft = feet

ftbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million

* = Leachability value may be determined using TCLP.

U = Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

I = The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Non-Carcinogenic PAHs

Facility Name: Apex Station

	Sample			OVA					Labo	oratory Anal	lyses					
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Naph- thalene (mg/kg)	1-Methyl- naph- thalene (mg/kg)	2-Methyl- naph- thalene (mg/kg)	Acen- aph- thene (mg/kg)	Acen- aph- thylene (mg/kg)	Anthra- cene	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene (mg/kg)	Fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Fluor- ene (mg/kg)	Phenan- threne (mg/kg)	Pyrene (mg/kg)	Comments
	2/11/2004	(ft)	(tfbls)	,	,			(mg/kg) ND	(mg/kg) ND	(mg/kg) ND	(mg/kg) ND	,	,			Comments
SB-3 Low	2/11/2004	~4.5	1	0	<0.0370	<0.0370	<0.370	ND				ND	ND	ND	ND	
SB-6 Medium	2/11/2004	2.5	2.5	2,520	<0.0370	<0.0370	<0.370	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
SB-8 High	2/11/2004	~4.5	1/2/1900	>9,000	<0.0370	<0.0370	<0.370	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
SB-10 Low	2/11/2004	~4.5	1.5	0	<0.0410	<0.0410	<0.0410	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
SB-20 Medium	2/11/2004	~4.5	2.5	1,539	<0.0370	<0.0370	<0.370	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
SB-4 @ 4'	11/2/2006	~4.0	4	1,420	1.2	1.5	21	0.23	0.03	0.0045	<0.0022	0.0032	0.079	0.025	<0.0019	
SB-8 @ 2'	11/2/2006	~4.0	2	143	0.014	0.013	0.01	<0.0014	0.0059	<0.0015	<0.002	<0.0018	<0.0017	<0.0017	<0.0017	
SB-14 @ 2'	11/2/2006	~4.0	2	58.1	0.054	0.069	0.13	0.26	0.0120	<0.0018	0.00	<0.0021	0.06	<0.002	<0.002	
North Wall	12/13/2007	~5.0	3	NR	0.0059	0.0017 U	0.013	0.0013 U	0.0033 U	0.0014 U	0.0036	0.0024	0.0016 U	0.0016 U	0.011	
South Wall	12/13/2007	~5.0	3	NR	0.003 I	0.0016 U	0.002 U	0.0013 U	0.0031 U	0.0018 I	0.0018 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0015 U	0.0015 U	
West Wall	12/13/2007	~5.0	3	NR	0.013	0.008	0.016	0.003	0.0032 U	0.0014 U	0.0048	0.0017 U	0.0016 U	0.0016 U	0.0016 U	

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Non-Carcinogenic PAHs

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

See notes at end of table.

	Sample			OVA					Labo	oratory Anal	lyses					
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Sample Interval	Net OVA Reading	Naph- thalene	1-Methyl- naph- thalene	2-Methyl- naph- thalene	Acen- aph- thene	Acen- aph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene	Fluoran- thene	Fluor- ene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	
		(ft)	(tfbls)	(ppm)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Comments
B-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.117	0.110	0.222	0.0300 U	0.0255 U	0.0250 U	0.0295 U	0.0268 U	0.0369 U	0.0310 U	0.0413 U	
В-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0224 U	0.0245 U	0.0280 U	0.0253 U	0.0215 U	0.0211 U	0.0249 U	0.0226 U	0.0311 U	0.0261 U	0.0348 U	
B-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.00108 U	0.0118 U	0.0135 U	0.0122 U	0.0104 U	0.0102 U	0.0120 U	0.0109 U	0.0150 U	0.0126 U	0.0168 U	
B-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0116 U	0.0127 U	0.0146 U	0.0132 U	0.0112 U	0.0110 U	0.0130 U	0.0118 U	0.0162 U	0.0136 U	0.0181 U	
Leachability Bas	ed on Groundwat	er Criteria (m	na/ka)		1.2	3.1	8.5	2.1	27	2,500	32,000	1,200	160	250	880	
	Residential (mg/k		·9/ · ·9/		55	200	210	2,400	1,800	21,000	2,500	3,200	2,600	2,200	2,400	

Notes:

NA = Not Available. ND = Not Detected

NS = Not Sampled.

MTBE = Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

ft = feet

ftbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million

U = Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

I = The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Carcinogenic PAHs

Wat (ft) 1004 ~4. 1004 ~4. 1004 ~4. 1004 ~4. 1004 ~4.	Sample Interval (ft) (fbls) ~4.5 1 ~4.5 2.5 ~4.5 1.5 ~4.5 2.5	OVA Net OVA Reading (ppm) 0 2,520 >9,000 0 1,539	Benzo (a) pyrene (mg/kg) ND ND ND ND	Benzo (a) anthra- cene (mg/kg) ND	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene (mg/kg) ND ND ND ND	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene (mg/kg) ND ND ND	y Analyses Chry- sene (mg/kg) ND ND ND ND	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene (mg/kg) ND ND ND	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene (mg/kg) ND ND ND ND	Benzo (a) pyrene equivalent (mg/kg) NA NA NA	Comments
004 ~4. 004 ~4. 004 ~4. 004 ~4. 004 ~4.	~4.5 1 ~4.5 2.5 ~4.5 2.5 ~4.5 1.5	0 2,520 >9,000 0	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	NA NA NA	Comments
004 ~4. 004 ~4. 004 ~4.	~4.5 2.5 ~4.5 2.5 ~4.5 1.5	2,520 >9,000 0	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	NA NA NA	
004 ~4. 104 ~4. 104 ~4.	~4.5 2.5	>9,000	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	
004 ~4. 004 ~4.	~4.5 1.5	0	ND	ND	ND	ND					
004 ~4.							ND	ND	ND	NA	
	~4.5 2.5	1,539	ND	ND	ND						
						ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	
~4.	~4.0 4	1,420	<0.0023	<0.0016	<0.0017	<0.0017	<0.0015	<0.0009	<0.002	NA	
006 ~4.	~4.0 2	143	<0.002	<0.0014	0.002	<0.0015	0.0016	<0.0008	<0.0018	0.0	
006 ~4.	~4.0 2	58.1	<0.0023	<0.0017	0.03	<0.0018	<0.0015	0.0084	0.0093	0.0	
007 ~5.	~5.0 3	NR	0.0023	0.0014 U	0.00	0.0015 U	0.0032	0.0012 I	0.0017 U	0.0	
007 ~5.	~5.0 3	NR	0.0018 U	0.0013 U	0.0016 I	0.0014 U	0.0012 U	0.00072 U	0.0016 U	0.0	
007 ~5.	~5.0 3	NR	0.0022	0.0014 U	0.01	0.0014 U	0.0041	0.00074 U	0.0017 U	0.0	
	007	007 ~5.0 3 007 ~5.0 3	007 ~5.0 3 <u>NR</u> 007 ~5.0 3 <u>NR</u>	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0023	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0023 0.0014 U 0.00 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0023 0.0014 U 0.00 0.0015 U 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0023 0.0014 U 0.00 0.0015 U 0.0032 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U 0.0012 U 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U 0.0012 U	007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0023 0.0014 U 0.00 0.0015 U 0.0032 0.0012 I 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U 0.0012 U 007 ~5.0 3 NR 0.0018 U 0.0013 U 0.0016 I 0.0014 U 0.0012 U	Model Model <th< td=""><td>Mode Mode <th< td=""></th<></td></th<>	Mode Mode <th< td=""></th<>

TABLE 2: SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY - Carcinogenic PAHs

Facility ID	0#: 36/88 Sample			OVA		pex Stat	-	Laborator	y Analyses				
Boring/ Well No.	Date Collected	Depth to Water (ft)	Sample Interval (fbls)	Net OVA Reading (ppm)	Benzo (a) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene (mg/kg)	Chry- sene (mg/kg)	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene (mg/kg)	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene (mg/kg)	Benzo (a) pyrene equivalent (mg/kg)	Comments
3-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	1-2	37	0.0096 U	0.0237 U	0.0617 U	0.0177 U	0.0292 U	0.0413 U	0.0413 U	NA	
3-3	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	262	0.0081 U	0.0200 U	0.0520 U	0.0149 U	0.0247 U	0.0348 U	0.0348 U	NA	
3-6	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	33	0.0039 U	0.0097 U	0.0251 U	0.0072 U	0.0119 U	0.0168 U	0.0168 U	NA	
3-11	12/6/2017	~3.0	2-3	38	0.0042 U	0.0104 U	0.0271 U	0.0078 U	0.0128 U	0.0181 U	0.0181 U	NA	
eachability Ba	sed on Ground	water Criter	ia (mg/kg)		8	0.8	2.4	24	77	0.7	6.6	**	
Direct Exposure	e Residential (m	ng/kg)			0.1	#	#	#	#	#	#	0.1	

Notes:

NA = Not Available.

ND = Not Detected

NS = Not Sampled.

MTBE = Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether

TRPH = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

ft = feet

ftbls = feet below land surface

ppm = parts per million

** = Leachability value not applicable.

= Direct Exposure value not applicable except as part of the Benzo(a)pyrene equivalent.

U = Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

I = The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

S	ample	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	МТВЕ	1,2- Dibromo- ethane (EDB)	Lead
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
OW-1	1/18/1988	16,100	32,500	NA	12,400	NA	NS	NS
	1/13/1989	8,800	1,350	150	3,540	NA	NS	NS
-	12/17/1990	3,300	220	800	4,200	620	<0.02	11
OW-2	1/18/1988	8,380	9,250	NA	4,840	NA	NS	NS
	1/13/1989	19,000	25,000	1,240	7,300	NA	NS	NS
F	12/17/1990	3,000	7,100	1,100	9,800	410	<0.02	16
OW-3	1/18/1988	28,300	26,800	NA	10,300	NA	NS	NS
_	1/13/1989	23,000	22,000	800	6,410	NA	NS	NS
_	12/17/1990	2,000	660	230	1,200	410	<0.02	13
OW-4	1/18/1988	7,200	7,870	NA	5,470	NA	NS	NS
F	1/13/1989	16,000	9,100	400	3,530	NA	NS	NS
F	12/17/1990	2,700	640	290	1,900	800	<0.02	12
F	10/13/1993	800	5	86	10	110	NS	NS
F	3/3/1994	110.00	<1	<1	<1	24	NS	NS
F	6/29/1994	30.00	1.00	4.00	<1	22	NS	NS
	8/30/1994	14.00	<1	<1	13.00	14	NS	NS
	11/30/1994	27.00	1.00	<1	2.00	47	NS	NS
RW-1	1/28/1994	48	<1	<1	7	16	NS	NS
	3/3/1994	48	6	4	14	10	NS	NS
F	6/29/1994	40	<1	1	2	9	NS	NS
	8/30/1994	33	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
-	11/30/1994	60	10	8	10	23	NS	NS
	5/6/2003	<1.00	<1.00	1.09	<1.00	<1.00	NS	NS
	4/00/4004			4	4	0	NC	NO
RW-2	1/28/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
-	3/3/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS NS	NS NS
-	6/29/1994	40 580	<1 4	<1 18	<1 54	<8 <8	NS	NS
-	8/30/1994	<1	4 <1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
-	11/30/1994 5/6/2003	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0	NS	NS
RW-3	1/28/1994	280	9	160	35	43	NS	NS
_	3/3/1994	36	<1	4	6	21	NS	NS
-	6/29/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
F	11/30/1994	58	4	15	7 12.8	38	NS	NS
F	5/6/2003	34.0	<1.0	8.16	12.8	182	NS	NS
MW-5	12/17/1990	<0.20	<0.50	<0.80	<0.170	<0.8	<0.02	33
	10/13/1993	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
F	3/3/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
F	6/29/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
	8/30/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
	11/30/1994	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
	2/13/1995	<1	<1	<1	<1	<8	NS	NS
	11/13/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

s	ample	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	МТВЕ	1,2- Dibromo- ethane (EDB)	Lead
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-6	12/17/1990	<0.20	<0.50	<0.80	<0.170	<0.8	<0.02	110
	11/13/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
MW-6R	5/15/2008	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.24 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
	8/16/2010	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	0.2U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19U	0.2U	0.18U	0.49U	0.2U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	0.50U	NS	NS
=	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-7	12/17/1990	6,200	3,900	97	4,700	340	<0.02	13
	3/3/1994	970	29	92	500	20	NS	NS
	6/29/1994	4,100	37	400	1,140	130	NS	NS
	8/30/1994	3,000	51	250	780	27	NS	NS
	11/30/1994	3,000	19	140	470	<8	NS	NS
	5/6/2003	789	32.8	1,750	103	1,200	NS	NS
F	10/3/2003	91	5.2	445	9.2	22.9	NS	NS
	12/5/2005	7.4	2.4	290	18	<0.21	NS	NS
	11/13/2006	2.1	1.9	250	9.1	6.5	NS	NS
MW-7R	5/15/2008	9.8	0.95 U	690	1.2 U	1 U	NS	NS
	1/22/2009	1	1.3 V	300	5.45	0.58	NS	NS
	8/16/2010	0.69	0.2 U	250	4.31	<0.26 I	NS	NS
	7/12/2001	0.25	0.26 U	4.4	1.47 I	0.27	NS	NS
	7/19/2012	0.18 U	0.16 U	3.0	0.86 U	0.27 U	NS	NS
	1/23/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	37	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
	7/25/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	39	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
	6/5/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	18	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
	9/16/2014	0.33U	1.1i	32	2.4i	0.37U	NS	NS
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.84i	71	5	0.37U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.11	0.50 U	20.4	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	22.8	1.5 U	0.50 U	0.0075 U	5.0 U
-	6/5/2018	0.10 U	0.50 U	2.4	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
MW-8	12/17/1990	<0.20	<0.50	<0.80	<0.170	<0.8	<0.02	23
WW-9	4/4/1991	0.3	NR	NR	NR	<5	NS	NS
	4/4/2003	<1	<5	<1	<2	<5	NS	NS
F	2/10/2004	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<6.0	0.74	NS	NS
-	11/13/2006	<0.2	<0.19	1	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
F	5/21/2008	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.24 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
WW-10	4/4/1991	ND	NR	NR	NR	ND	NS	NS
	4/4/2003	<1	<5	<1	<2	<5	NS	NS
F	2/10/2004	4.2	<2.0	<2.0	<6.0	3.7	NS	NS
F	12/5/2005	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
WW-10R	12/19/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
	5/21/2008	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.24 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
F	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.13 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
F	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.10 U	0.49 U	0.2 0 0.43i	NS	NS
F	3/23/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
_	, 0, _ 0 , 1							110

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

S	ample	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	МТВЕ	1,2- Dibromo- ethane (EDB)	Lead
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-11	4/4/1991	ND	NR	NR	NR	ND	NS	NS
	2/10/2004	DRY						
	12/19/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
	5/21/2008	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.24 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
	10/6/2008	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.24 U	0.21 U	NS	NS
MW-11R	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.4 9U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.10 U	0.5 OU	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-12	12/19/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
MW-12R	5/15/2008	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
F	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
F	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.4 9U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-13	1/14/2010	7.3	0.37 U	0.48 U	0.94 U	0.4 U	NS	NS
	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2U	NS	NS
	10/24/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2U	NS	NS
	4/18/2012	0.18 U	0.16 U	0.27 U	0.86 U	0.27 U	NS	NS
	10/22/2012	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37U	NS	NS
	4/4/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37U	NS	NS
	8/21/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37U	NS	NS
	6/5/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37U	NS	NS
	9/16/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37U	NS	NS
	12/12/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.037U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50U	NS	NS
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
M-14	1/14/2010	0.39 U	0.37 U	0.7 I	1.2 I	0.4 U	NS	NS
	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.51 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.65 I	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	10/24/2011	0.19 U	0.26 U	1.9	3.09	0.18 U	NS	NS
Ļ	4/18/2012	0.18 U	0.16 U	9	0.86 U	0.27 U	NS	NS
Ļ	10/22/2012	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
	4/4/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38 U	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
F	8/21/2013	0.33 U	0.78 U	1.5	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
F	6/5/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.68 I	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
F	9/16/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	0.38U	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
	12/12/2014	0.33 U	0.78 U	15	1.1 U	0.37 U	NS	NS
F	3/23/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.58	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
Ļ	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	6/5/2018	0.10U	0.50U	0.50 U	1.5U	0.50U	NS	NS

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

See notes at end of table.

s	Sample	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	1,2- Dibromo- ethane (EDB)	Lead
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
M-15	1/14/2010	0.51 l	0.37 U	0.66 I	0.94 U	0.46 l	NS	NS
Ī	8/16/2010	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	4/22/2011	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.18 U	0.49 U	0.2 U	NS	NS
	10/24/2011	0.19 U	0.26 U	1	3.53	0.18 U	NS	NS
	4/18/2012	0.18 UU	0.16 U	0.27 U	0.86 U	0.27 U	NS	NS
	10/22/2012	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	4/4/2013	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	8/21/2013	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	6/5/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.68 I	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	9/16/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	12/12/2014	0.33U	0.78U	0.38U	1.1U	0.37U	NS	NS
	3/23/2017	0.10U	0.50U	0.50U	1.5U	0.50U	NS	NS
-	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-16	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	3.1	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
-	6/5/2018	0.10 U	0.50 U	5.1	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
MW-17	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
-	6/5/2018	0.10U	0.50U	0.50 U	1.5U	0.50U	NS	NS
PZ-1	12/17/1990	<0.20	<0.50	<0.80	<0.170	<0.8	<0.02	28
	12/5/2005	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
-	11/13/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
DW-1	12/19/2006	<0.2	<0.19	<0.16	<0.24	<0.21	NS	NS
Pond	12/6/2017	0.10 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	1.5 U	0.50 U	NS	NS
(GCTLs	1**	40**	30**	20**	20	0.02**	15**
١	NADCs	100	400	300	200	200	2	150
	FSW	71.28	480	610	370	34,000	26	***

Notes: NA = Not Available.

NS = Not Sampled.

ug/L = Micrograms per Liter

MTBE = Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether

TRPH = Total Recovery Petroleum Hydrocarbons

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

FSW = Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

BOLD = Concentrations were reported above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs)

*** = For application of dissolved metals criteria see 62-302.500(2)(d), F.A.C.

** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

U = Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

I = The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

V = Indicates the analyte was detected in both the sample and method blank.

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

See notes at end of table.

Sa	mple	TRPHs	Naph- thalene	1-Methyl- naph- thalene	2-Methyl- naph- thalene	Acen- aph- thene	Acen- aph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene	Fluoran- thene	Fluor- ene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	Benzo (a) pyrene	Benzo (a) anthra- cene	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene	Chry- sene	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
OW-1	12/17/1990	3,600	830	390	430	<1.8	230	<0.66	<0.043	0.8	8.9	2.8	<0.27	<0.023	<0.113	<0.018	<0.017	<0.15	0.6	<0.030
OW-2	12/17/1990	4,100	1,200	470	520	<1.8	260	<0.66	<0.043	2.8	20	5.2	<0.27	<0.023	<0.113	<0.018	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	<0.030
OW-3	12/17/1990	2,400	524	320	470	<1.8	130	<0.66	<0.043	2.9	21	7.6	<0.27	<0.023	<0.113	<0.018	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	<0.030
OW-4	12/17/1990	3,300	540	180	420	<1.8	160	2.7	0.2	2.4	17	7.8	<0.27	<0.023	<0.113	<0.018	<0.017	<0.15	0.7	< 0.030
	3/3/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	10/13/1993	901	130	78	53	<0.1	2	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	6/29/1994	35	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	8/30/1994	27	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	30	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	1/28/1994	55	4	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
RW-1	3/3/1994	72	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	6/29/1994	43	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	8/30/1994	33	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	88	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	5/6/2003	NS	<2.00	<2.00	<2.00	<2.00	<5.00	<1.00	>2.00	<1.00	<2.00	<5.00	<5.00	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.500	<2.00	<0.200	<0.200
RW-2	3/3/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	6/29/1994	40	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	8/30/1994	656	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	5/6/2003	NS	<2.00	<2.00	<2.00	<2.00	<5.00	<1.00	>2.00	<1.00	<2.00	<5.00	<5.00	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.500	<2.00	<0.200	<0.200
	1/28/1994	484	5.00	9.00	2.00	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
RW-3	3/3/1994	46	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	6/29/1994	45	24	5	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	84	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	5/6/2003	NS	4.55	<2.00	1.77	<2.00	<5.00	<1.00	>2.00	<1.00	<2.00	<5.00	<5.00	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	< 0.500	<2.00	<0.200	<0.200
	10/13/1990	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	12/20/1990	<1,000	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<2.3	<0.66	<0.043	<0.21	<0.21	<0.64	<0.21	<0.023	<0.113	<0.18	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	< 0.030
	3/3/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
MW-5	6/29/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	8/30/1994		<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	ND	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

									Benzo					-	Benzo	Benzo	Benzo		Dibenz	
5.	mple	TRPHs	Naph-	1-Methyl- naph-	2-Methyl- naph-	Acen- aph-	Acen- aph-	Anthra-	(g,h,i)	Fluoran-	Fluor-	Phenan-	Pyrene	Benzo (a)	(a)	(b)	(k)	Chry-	(a,h)	Indeno (1,2,3-cd)
04	inpie	11(11)	thalene	thalene	thalene	thene	thylene	cene	pery-	thene	ene	threne	i yrene	pyrene	anthra-	fluoran-	fluoran-	sene	anthra-	pyrene
I			<i>.</i>				-		lene						cene	thene	thene		cene	
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-6	12/20/1990	<1,000	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<2.3	<0.66	<0.043	<0.21	<0.21	<0.64	<0.21	<0.023	<0.113	<0.18	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	<0.030
	11/13/2006	NS	<0.87	<0.08	<0.08	<0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	<0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.023
MW-6R	5/15/2008	NS	0.059 I	0.03 U	0.048 U	0.078 U	0.043 U	0.011 U	0.014 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028U	0.026 I	0.017 U	0.012 U	0.011 U	0.018 U	0.047 U	0.022 U	0.037 U
	8/16/2010	NS	0.16	0.036 U																
	4/22/2011	NS	0.11 I	0.036 U																
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-7	12/17/1990	2,000	600	200	170	<1.8	200.00	<0.66	<0.043	<0.21	7.7	1.1	<0.27	<0.023	<0.113	<0.18	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	< 0.030
	3/3/1994	1,591	120	24	16	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	6/29/1994	5,677	170	48	19	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	8/30/1994	4,081	290	36	73	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	11/30/1994	3,629	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.4	<1.0	<4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<1.2	<0.6	<5.6	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<1.6	<4.0	<4.0
	5/6/2003	NS	338	84.7	58.7	NR														
	10/3/2003	NS	624	NR																
	11/13/2006	NS	530	130	220	160	21	0.02	< 0.023	<0.023	51	0	<0.041	<0.021	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	< 0.0093	< 0.03
MW-7R	5/15/2008	NS	300 V	59	70 V	1.6	2.11	0.017 I	0.014 U	0.031 U	0.025 U	0.47 V	0.04 I	0.017 U	0.012 U	0.011 U	0.018 U	0.047 U	0.022 U	0.037 U
	1/22/2009	NS	200	65	75	0.32	0.14	0.022 U	0.023 U	0.025 U	0.34	0.16	0.05 V	0.0097 U	0.019 U	0.013 U	0.021 U	0.022 U	0.0083 U	0.025
	8/16/2010	NS	160	80	80	0.24	0.036 U	0.36 U	0.036 U	0.36 U	0.21	0.083 I	0.036 U	0.36 U	0.036 U	0.36 U	0.036 U	0.36 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	7/12/2001	NS	68	23	15	0.35	0.0044 U	0.0064 U	0.0046 U	0.0043 U	0.21	0.059 I	0.0063 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0041 U	0.0059 U	0.011 U	0.0097 U	0.0097 U
	7/19/2012	NS	7.5	6.6	0.58	0.26	0.038 I	0.015 I	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.085	0.029 I	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	1/23/2013	NS	99	49	41	0.38	0.01 U	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.19	0.057	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	7/25/2013	NS	80	28	27	0.22	0.046 l	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.12	0.037 I	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	6/5/2014	NS	87	31	18	0.36	0.087	0.026 I	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.22	0.06	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	9/16/2014	NS	69	29	7.2	0.17	0.034 I	0.015 U	0.023 U	0.031 U	0.10	0.022 I	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.024 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	0.022 U	0.0079 U	0.030 U
	12/12/2014	NS	24	12	0.4	0.066	0.021 I	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.034 I	0.018 I	0.016 I	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	3/23/2017	1,200	64.1	23.4	24.5	0.25 I	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.16 I	0.050 I	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	1,500	81.2	37.3	34.3	0.227	0.00700U	0.00926 I	0.00399 IV	0.0165U	0.162	0.0468 l	0.0155U	0.0158U	0.00830U	0.00212U	0.0255U	0.0144U	0.00454U	0.00739U
	6/5/2018	820 U	11.6	4.0	4.9	0.040 U	0.030 U	0.043 U	0.042 U	0.018 U	0.088 U	0.16 U	0.032 U	0.074 U	0.055 U	0.027 U	0.16 U	0.026 U	0.13 U	0.012 U
MAK 0	40/00/4000	<1,000	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	-1.0	<2.3	<0.66	<0.043	<0.21	<0.21	<0.64	<0.21	<0.023	<0.113	<0.18	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	<0.030
MW-8	12/20/1990	<1,000	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.8	<2.3	<0.00	<0.043	<0.21	<0.21	<0.04	<0.21	<0.023	<0.113	<0.10	<0.017	<0.15	<0.076	<0.030
MW-9	11/13/2006	NS	<0.087	<0.8	<0.8	<0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	<0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	< 0.0093	<0.023
	5/21/2008	NS	0.03 U	0.03 U	0.048 U	0.078 U	0.043 U	0.011 U	0.014 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028U	0.026 I	0.017 U	0.012 U	0.011 U	0.018 U	0.047 U	0.022 U	0.037 U
	8/16/2010	NS	0.036 U																	
	4/22/2011	NS	0.26	0.036 U																
	10/10/0000	10	0.007	0.00	0.00	0.004	0.007	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.00	0.044	0.004	0.00	0.00	0.040	0.004	0.0000	0.000
MW-10R	12/19/2006	NS	<0.087	<0.08	<0.08	< 0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	< 0.012	< 0.03	< 0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.023
	5/21/2008	NS	0.033	0.03 U	0.048 U	0.078 U	0.043 U	0.011 U	0.014 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028U	0.026 1	0.017 U	0.012 U	0.011 U	0.018 U	0.047 U	0.022 U	0.037 U
	8/16/2010	NS NS	0.036 U 0.094 I	0.036 U 0.036 U																
	4/22/2011	-																		
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
			1	I				1				1			1					1

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

				1-Methyl-	2-Methyl-	Acen-	Acen-		Benzo					Benzo	Benzo	Benzo	Benzo		Dibenz	Indeno
Sa	mple	TRPHs	Naph-	naph-	naph-	aph-	aph-	Anthra-	(g,h,i)	Fluoran-	Fluor-	Phenan-	Pyrene	(a)	(a)	(b)	(k)	Chry-	(a,h)	(1,2,3-cd)
			thalene	thalene	thalene	thene	thylene	cene	pery-	thene	ene	threne		pyrene	anthra-	fluoran-	fluoran-	sene	anthra-	pyrene
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	lene (µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	cene (µg/L)	thene (µg/L)	thene (µg/L)	(µg/L)	cene (µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-11R	12/19/2006	NS	<0.087	<0.08	<0.08	< 0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	< 0.012	< 0.03	< 0.041	<0.021	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.019	<0.021	< 0.0093	<0.023
	5/21/2008	NS	0.033 1	0.03 U	0.048 U	0.078 U	0.043 U	0.011 U	0.014 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028U	0.026	0.017 U	0.012 U	0.011 U	0.018 U	0.047 U	0.022 U	0.037 U
	10/6/2008	NS				0.0048 U	0.0094 U	0.0025 U	0.0028 IV	0.0049 IV	0.0097 U	0.0089 IV	0.0085 IV	0.009 U	0.0025 U	0.0036 IV	0.0023 U	0.0031 IV	0.0031 U	0.0032 IV
	8/16/2010	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
-	4/22/2011	NS	0.094 l	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-12	11/13/2006	NS	<0.87	<0.08	<0.08	<0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	< 0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.023
MW-12R	5/15/2008	NS	0.067 IV	0.03 U	0.048 U	< 0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	<0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.023
	8/16/2010	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	4/22/2011	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-13	1/14/2010	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
10100-13	8/16/2010	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	10/24/2011	NS	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	10/22/2012	NS	0.0741	0.0082 U	0.012 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U
	4/4/2013	NS	0.097 U	0.057	0.090	0.00871	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.011	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	8/2/2013	NS	0.030 I	0.019 U	0.016 U	0.0082 U	0.011 U	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.0090 U	0.018 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	6/5/2017	NS	0.043 I	0.0082 I	0.0090 U	0.0082 U	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.0082 U	0.02 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	9/16/2014	NS	0.022 I	0.0082 U	0.0090 U	0.0082 U	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.0082 U	0.02 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	12/12/2014	NS	0.052 I	0.019 U	0.016 U	0.0082 U	0.011 U	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.0090 U	0.018 U	0.016 U	0.16 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
		NO		1.0	4.0	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11	0.000.11
MW-14	1/14/2010 8/16/2010	NS NS	2.9 0.98	1.3 0.37	1.8 0.27	0.036 U 0.036 U														
-	4/22/2010	NS	0.98 0.036 U	0.37	0.27 0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U 0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U 0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U 0.036 U
-	4/22/2011	NS	6.7	1.5	1.1	0.038 U 0.023 U	0.038 U 0.023 U	0.038 U	0.036 U	0.038 U	0.038 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U 0.023 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U 0.023 U	0.036 U 0.023 U
-	10/22/2011	NS	0.34	0.121	0.012	0.023 U	0.023 0	0.023 U												
-	4/4/2013	NS	0.72	0.121	0.0121	0.036 I	0.0068 U	0.00961	0.052	0.064	0.0085 U	0.027	0.036 I	0.044 I	0.012 0	0.014 0	0.014 0	0.0481	0.0040 U	0.045 I
	8/21/2013	NS	6.1	3.4	0.89	0.024 I	0.0000 U	0.00001	0.002 0.016 U	0.004 0.016 U	0.000 U	0.027 T	0.000 T	0.014 U	0.014 I	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	6/5/2014	NS	2.6	2.5	0.085 I	0.0171	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.010 U	0.016 U	0.0101	0.0082 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.010 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.0040 U	0.0014 U
	9/16/2014	NS	2.90	1.2	0.085 I	0.010 I	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.0082 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	12/12/2014	NS	21	2.3	0.016 U	0.063	0.013 U	0.013U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.030 I	0.018 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	3/23/2017	800 U	6.2	6.7	1.0 U	0.045 I	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U					
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	6/5/2018	770 U	0.89 I	0.41 I	0.11 U	0.040 U	0.030 U	0.043 U	0.042 U	0.018 U	0.088 U	0.16 U	0.032 U	0.074 U	0.055 U	0.027 U	0.16 U	0.026 U	0.13 U	0.012 U

Facility ID#: 36/8840379

Facility Name: Apex Station

See notes at end of table.

Sa	imple	TRPHs	Naph- thalene	1-Methyl- naph- thalene	2-Methyl- naph- thalene	Acen- aph- thene	Acen- aph- thylene	Anthra- cene	Benzo (g,h,i) pery- lene	Fluoran- thene	Fluor- ene	Phenan- threne	Pyrene	Benzo (a) pyrene	Benzo (a) anthra- cene	Benzo (b) fluoran- thene	Benzo (k) fluoran- thene	Chry- sene	Dibenz (a,h) anthra- cene	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene
Location	Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-15	1/14/2010	NS	0.036 U	0.99	0.064 l	0.075 l	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	8/16/2010	NS	0.33	0.51	0.21	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	4/22/2011	NS	0.13 I	0.73	0.036 U	0.066 I	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U	0.036 U
	10/24/2011	NS	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U	0.045 U
	10/22/2012	NS	0.20 I	0.22 I	0.059 I	0.021 I	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.011 I	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	4/4/2013	NS	0.047 I	0.012 l	0.011 I	0.042 I	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.011 I	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 I
	8/21/2013	NS	0.013 I	0.090 I	0.060 I	0.0082 U	0.011 U	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.0090 U	0.018 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	6/5/2014	NS	0.072 I	0.012 I	0.0090 U	0.054	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.011 U	0.016 U	0.0085 U	0.011 I	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.012 U	0.014 U	0.014 U	0.011 U	0.0040 U	0.015 U
	9/16/2014	NS	0.095 I	0.012 I	0.037 I	0.019 I	0.0068 U	0.0076 U	0.22	0.2	0.0085 U	0.016 I	0.19	0.24	0.15 I	0.33	0.11	0.17	0.0064 l	0.18 I
	12/12/2014	NS	0.054 I	0.13 I	0.016 U	0.030 I	0.011 U	0.013 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.0090 U	0.018 U	0.016 U	0.016 U	0.012 U	0.019 U	0.026 U	0.014 U	0.0045 U	0.0074 U
	3/23/2017	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.028 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.050 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.034 U	0.029 U
	12/6/2017	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-16	12/6/2017	3,600	26.9	12.4	15.7	0.0815	0.00700U	0.00800U	0.00484 IV	0.0165U	0.00884	0.0184U	0.0155U	0.0158U	0.00830U	0.00331 I	0.0255U	0.0144U	0.00454U	0.00739U
	6/5/2018	2,000	30.3	7.0	7.4	0.040 U	0.030 U	0.043 U	0.042 U	0.018 U	0.088 U	0.16 U	0.032 U	0.074 U	0.055 U	0.027 U	0.16 U	0.026 U	0.13 U	0.012 U
MW-17	12/6/2017	780 U	0.0562 I	0.0267 I	0.0373 I	0.0165 I	0.00700U	0.00800U	0.00409 IV	0.0165U	0.00898U	0.0184U	0.0155U	0.0158U	0.00830U	0.00246 I	0.0255U	0.0144U	0.00454U	0.00739U
	6/5/2018	800 U	0.048 U	0.032 U	0.11 U	0.040 U	0.030 U	0.043 U	0.042 U	0.018 U	0.088 U	0.16 U	0.032 U	0.074 U	0.055 U	0.027 U	0.16 U	0.026 U	0.13 U	0.012 U
PZ-1	12/20/1990	<1,000	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<2.3	<0.66	<0.043	<0.21	<0.21	<0.64	<0.21	<0.023	<0.113	<0.18	< 0.017	<0.15	< 0.076	<0.030
	11/13/2006	NS	<0.087	<0.8	<0.8	<0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	<0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.03
DW-1	11/13/2006	NS	<0.087	<0.8	<0.8	<0.064	<0.067	<0.02	<0.023	<0.023	<0.012	<0.03	<0.041	<0.021	<0.02	<0.02	<0.019	<0.021	<0.0093	<0.03
Pond	12/6/2017	760 U	0.173	0.0734	0.0805 I	0.0100U	0.00700U	0.00800U	0.00474 IV	0.0361 I	0.00898U	0.0184U	0.0191 l	0.0158U	0.00830U	0.00507	0.0255U	0.0144U	0.00454U	0.00739U
	_, _, _, _, ,																			
-	CTLs	5,000	14	28	28	20	210	2,100	210	280	280	210	210	0.2**	0.05 ^a	0.05 ^a	0.5	4.8	0.005 ^a	0.05 ^a
N/	ADCs	50,000	140	280	280	200	2,100	21,000	2,100	2,800	2,800	2,100	2,100	20	5	5	50	480	0.5	5
F	SW	5,000	26	450	30	0.03	0.031*	0.3	0.031*	0.3	30.00	0.031*	0.3	0.031*	0.031*	0.031*	0.031*	0.031*	0.031*	0.031*

Notes: NA = Not Available.

ug/L = Micrograms per Liter

TRPH = Total Recovery Petroleum Hydrocarbons

NS = Not Sampled.

GCTLs = Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

NADCs = Natural Attenuation Default Source Concentrations specified in Table V of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

FSW = Freshwater Surface Water Criteria Concentrations specified in Table I of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.

BOLD = Concentrations were reported above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs)

* = There are no surface water standards for these polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Per Chapter 62-302, F.A.C., the surface water criterion for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) shall apply.

** = As provided in Chapter 62-550, F.A.C.

^a = See the October 12, 2004 "Guidance for the Selection of Analytical Methods and for the Evaluation of Practical Quantitation Limits" to determine how to evaluate data when the CTL is lower than the PQL.

U = Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

I = The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

V = Indicates the analyte was detected in both the sample and method blank.

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

Facility Name: Apex Station

Facility Address: 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County

Facility ID#:

36/8840379

WELL NO.		MW-6R			MW-7R			MW-10R			MW-11R		
DIAMETER	2"		2" 12.00 2 - 12			2" 12.00 2 - 12			2" 12.00 2 - 12				
WELL DEPTH													
SCREEN INTERVAL													
TOC ELEVATION		11.63			11.67		10.61			11.41			
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
08/16/10	8.44	3.19		8.30	3.37		7.72	2.89		8.33	3.08		
04/22/11	7.23	4.40		6.98	4.69		5.98	4.63		6.61	4.80		
09/16/14		NM		8.20	3.47			NM			NM		
12/12/14		NM		7.86	3.81		7.69	2.92			NM		
03/23/17	6.76	4.87		6.73	4.94		6.63	3.98		6.72	4.69		
12/06/17	7.80	3.83		7.77	3.90		7.58	3.03		7.73	3.68		
06/05/18	7.95	3.68		7.90	3.77		7.72	2.89		7.79	3.62		

WELL NO.		MW-12R			MW-13			MW-14			MW-15		
DIAMETER	2"			2"			2"			2"			
WELL DEPTH	12.00			12.00			12.00			12.00			
SCREEN INTERVAL	VAL 2 - 12			2 - 12			2 - 12			2 - 12			
TOC ELEVATION		11.40			11.78		10.59			11.54			
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	
08/16/10	7.86	3.54		8.22	3.56		8.15	2.44		8.46	3.08		
04/22/11	6.13	5.27		6.50	5.28		6.87	3.72		7.23	4.31		
09/16/14		NM		8.02	3.76		7.94	2.65		8.25	3.29		
12/12/14	7.20	4.20		7.70	4.08		7.72	2.87		7.84	3.70		
03/23/17	6.05	5.35		6.73	5.05		6.68	3.91		6.75	4.79		
12/06/17	7.00	4.40		7.62	4.16		7.62	2.97		7.79	3.75		
12/06/17	7.60	3.80		7.80	3.98		7.76	2.83		7.94	3.60		

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA

Facility Name: Apex Station

Facility Address: 27990 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County

Facility ID#:

36/8840379

See notes at end of table.

WELL NO.		MW-16			MW-17							
DIAMETER	2"			2"								
WELL DEPTH	ELL DEPTH 12.00		12.00									
SCREEN INTERVAL	CREEN INTERVAL 2 - 12		2 - 12									
TOC ELEVATION	10.56			10.55								
DATE	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP	ELEV	DTW	FP
08/16/10												
04/22/11												
09/16/14												
12/12/14												
03/23/17												
12/06/17	7.40	3.16		7.38	3.17							
12/06/17	7.51	3.05		7.49	3.06							

Notes:

All Measurements = Feet

NM = No Measurement

NI = Not Installed

CNL = Could Not Locate

ELEV = Corrected water table elevation

DTW = Depth to Water

FP = Free Product Thickness

TABLE 5: WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Facility Name: Facility Address: FDEP Number:

Apex Station

27790 Tamiami Trail, Bonita Springs, Lee County 36/8840379

Well No.	Date Installed	Installation Method	Top of Casing Elevation	A/G Riser Length, If Applicable	Total Well Depth (feet)	Screened Interval (ft-bls)	Well Diameter (in.)	Comments
RW-1	Unknown	Unknown	11.33	n/a	21.0	Unknown	5	Abandoned
RW-2	Unknown	Unknown	10.00	n/a	24.0	Unknown	5	
RW-3	Unknown	Unknown	11.23	n/a	8.0	Unknown	4	Abandoned
OW-1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	4	Abandoned
OW-2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	4	Abandoned
OW-3	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	4	Abandoned
OW-4	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	4	Abandoned
MW-5	1/10/1989	HSA	12.04	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	Destroyed
MW-6	1/10/1989	HSA	12.13	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	Abandoned
MW-6R	5/1/2008	HSA		n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-7	1/10/1989	HSA	12.00	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	Abandoned
MW-7R	5/1/2008	HSA		n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-8	1/10/1989	HSA	Unknown	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	Conflicting information, possible well MW-12
MW-9	3/1/1991	HSA	10.95	n/a	12.0	1.5 - 12	2	Destroyed
MW-10	3/1/1991	HSA	10.73	n/a	12.0	1.5 - 12	2	Abandoned
MW-10R	12/11/2006	HSA	10.61	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-11	Unknown	Unknown	12.08	n/a	Unknown	Unknown	2	Destroyed
MW-11R	12/11/2006	HSA	11.41	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-12	Unknown	Unknown	12.43	n/a	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Abandoned
MW-12R	5/1/2008	HSA	11.40	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	1/2/1900	
MW-13	12/1/2009	HSA	11.78	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	1/2/1900	
MW-14	12/1/2009	HSA	10.59	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-15	15/1/09	HSA	11.54	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-16	6/25/2017	HSA	10.56	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
MW-17	6/25/2017	HSA	10.55	n/a	12.0	2 - 12	2	
PZ-1	3/1/1991	HSA	12.09	n/a	30.0	26 - 30	2	Abandoned
DW-1	12/11/2006	HSA/MR	12.08	n/a	35.0	30 - 35	2	Abandoned

Notes: HSA - Hollow Stem Augers

MR - Mud Rotary


* - Identified as MW-15, however conflicting data from previous assessment reports.


Site Characterization Screening Information


FDEP FAC ID #: 36/884	FDEP FAC ID #: 36/8840379				Apex Station					
Does Site Qualify for LTNAM:	No									
Dominant Lithology Vadose Zone			GW Contaminants one per constituent	≤ GCTLs	≤ NADC	> NADC	Not Analyzed			
First Lithology (USCS):	SM		Benzene	х						
Second Lithology (USCS):	Not Applicable		Ethylbenzene	Х						
Dominant Lithology Saturated Zone	••		Toluene	Х						
First Lithology (USCS):	SM		Total Xylenes	Х						
Second Lithology (USCS):	Not Applicable		MTBE	Х						
			Naphthalene		Х					
Average Depth to Water: 0' - 5'			1-Methylnaphthalene	Х						
Groundwater Flow Direction:	Northerly		2-Methylnaphthalene	х						
			TRPHs	Х						
Recommended Technology for SRCO:	Natural Atte	nuation	EDB	Х						
Combined Technology:	As				Х					
			Pb	Х						
Consultant SRCO Cost Estimate:	L00,000	Other	Х							
Consultant NFAC Cost Estimate:	Consultant NFAC Cost Estimate: \$25,001 - \$50,000						-			
Are on-site buildings housing Ser	nsitive Receptors	No	Soil Contaminants (select one unless Leachability & Direct Exposure CTLs exceeded)	No Soil Exceedences*	Exceeds Leachability	Exceeds Direct Exposure	Not Analyzed			
If yes, current use of the building	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Benzene	X						
			Ethylbenzene	X						
Plume Characteristics	Groundwater	Soil	Toluene	Х						
Shrinking or Stable	Yes		Total Xylenes	Х						
On-site only	No	N/A	MTBE	х						
Plume <1/4 acre	Yes	N/A	Naphthalene	Х						
Exclusion Zone Only	No	N/A	1-Methylnaphthalene	Х						
In FDOT ROW only	No	N/A	2-Methylnaphthalene	х						
On State-Owned Land Only	No	N/A	Other PAHs	X						
On State-Owned Land Only			TRPHs	Х						
-	No		11111							
-	No	N/A	As				Х			
Organoleptic Exceedence only (< HB CTLs)	No	N/A N/A		Х			Х			
Organoleptic Exceedence only (< HB CTLs) DE Soil Exceedences above 2'	No	N/A	As Pb	Х			X			
Organoleptic Exceedence only (< HB CTLs) DE Soil Exceedences above 2' DE Soil Exceedences from 2' to 10'	No		As	Х			X			

DE = Direct Exposure CTLS ; HB = Health Based

Appendix B Figures







APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016












FIGURE 4 SOIL OVA SUMMARY DATA, DECEMBER 6, 2017 APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>



SB-1	Depth	ı (ft-bls)
0.1	1	. ,
0.2	2	
0.1	3	
0.0	4	
0.1	6	Units
0.0	8	ft-bls
0.0	10	ppm =
0.2	12	ppin -
		na = n
		01/4


dispalyed in ppm s = feet below land surface = parts per million not analyzed

OVA = Organic Vapor Analyzer











SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA DECEMBER 6, 2017 APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016


ft - feet mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram U - Compound Analyzed but Not Detected I - Value between Laboratory Method Detection Limit and Practical Quantitation Limit MTBE - Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether Red bold font indicates concentration above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) Blue bold font indicates concentration above Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs)











APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>

--- APPROXIMATE PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE



EXISTING MONITORING WELL

EXISTING RECOVERY WELL

🔆 ABANDONED / DESTROYED MONITORING WELL

(0.17 I
0.50 U
0.50 U
1.5 U
0.50 U
1.0 U
1.0 U
1.0 U
008 U
12/21/16


Benzene - ug/L Toluene - ug/L Ethylbenzene - ug/L Total Xylenes - ug/L MTBE - ug/L Naphthalene - ug/L 1-Methylnaphthalene - ug/L 2-Methylnaphthalene - ug/L TRPH - ug/L 12/21/16 Sample Date

ug/L - Micrograms per Liter U - Compound Analyzed but Not Detected I - Value between Laboratory Method Detection Limit and Practical Quantitation Limit MTBE - Methyl-tertiary-butyl-ether **Red** bold font indicates concentration above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs) Blue bold font indicates concentration above Natural Attenuation Default Concentrations (NADCs)









APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>

----- APPROXIMATE PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE

+ EXISTING MONITORING WELL



EXISTING RECOVERY WELL

₩ ABANDONED / DESTROYED MONITORING WELL

30.3 Naphthalene - ug/L

Naphthalene Iso-Contour (Dashed where Inferred)

ug/L - Micrograms per Liter U - Compound Analyzed but Not Detected I - Value between Laboratory Method Detection Limit and Practical Quantitation Limit Red bold font indicates concentration above Groundwater Cleanup Target Levels (GCTLs)











FIGURE 7A GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA - DECEMBER 6, 2017 APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>

APPROXIMATE PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE EXISTING MONITORING WELL EXISTING RECOVERY WELL ABANDONED / DESTROYED MONITORING WELL 7.95 Elevation Data (ft)

> GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION CONTROUR INTERVAL = 0.25 FT (7.00)* - NOT USED IN COUTOURING









FIGURE 7B GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA - JUNE 5, 2018 APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016

<u>LEGEND</u>




(7.60)* - NOT USED IN COUTOURING










LITHOLOGIC CROSS SECTION LINE APEX STATION FAC ID# 36/8840379 27990 TAMIAMI TRAIL, BONITA SPRINGS, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA Source: GOOGLE EARTH 2016











Storage Tank Program Office and Phone Number

Keith Kleinmann

theresa rotz

Inspector Name

Representative Name

O ot 2

Inspector Signature Principal Inspector **Representative Signature**

LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Owners of UST facilities are reminded that the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 and 40 CFR 280 Subpart J requires Operator Training at all facilities by October 13, 2018. For further information please visit: https://floridadep.gov/waste/permitting-compliance-assistance/content/underground-storage-tank-operator-training

Financial Responsibility:

Financial Responsibility: INSURANCE

Insurance Carrier: IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

Effective Date: 12/18/2022

Expiration Date: 12/18/2023

Findings:

Class C Operator Training Certificates are present.

Completed System Tests

Туре	Date Completed	Results	Reviewed	Next Due Date	e Comment
Annual Operability - Line Leak Detector	11/15/2022	Passed	05/18/2023	11/15/2023	TAnknology Zach Auldridge
Integrity Test - Storage Tank	09/25/2019	Passed	07/22/2020	09/25/2022	Hydro tests of DWSBs, UDCs and STP sumps conducted by Tanknology - Zackery Auldridge (126337)

Reviewed Records

Record Category	Record type	From Date	To Date	Reviewed Record Comment
Three Years	Monthly Maint. Visual Examinations and Results	07/16/2020	05/04/2023	Monthly visuals
Three Years	Certificate of Financial Responsiblity	12/18/2022	05/04/2023	part p/d

Inspection Comments

05/18/2023

TANKS: Inspected: (2) 20,000-gallon and one 6,000-gallon tank double-walled, underground tanks. Tank interstitial: monitored via Veeder-Root TLS 350. Sensors: reading normal at time of inspection.

SPILL CONTAINMENT: - Three (3) double-walled (DW) spill containment bucket(s) with dipstick/gauge/sensor to verify the interstitial, and a drain valve.

OVERFILL PROTECTION: – Equipped with an overfill prevention valve in the drop tubes and visual/audible alarm. The vents are present and observed to be in satisfactory condition. The fill ports are marked/color coded per API RP 1637.

PIPING/SUMPS: Double-walled, fiberglass piping with a line leak detector and isolation valve. Secondary piping is open to the sump. The sumps are visually inspected monthly and documented. Sumps equipped with sensors that were observed in the right position and visually inspected every six months. Sumps and its components in satisfactory condition. Less/More than one inch of water in the transition/Reg/premium/diesel STP sump. Water more than one inch in depth or any regulated substances collected in secondary containment shall be removed within 72 hours of discovery and properly disposed. Add any significant information here/problem found. Corrosion /boots damage, sensor not located at the lowest point, sump damage, sealing, INF required, etc.

DISPENSERS CONTAINMENT: Dispensers with containment observed in satisfactory condition. Shear valves properly anchored. No evidence of cracking or product/liquid observed during the inspection. Dispenser containments visually inspected, monthly and documented. Dispenser containments equipped with sensors and observed in the right position. Visually inspected every six months.

HOSES/NOZZLES: The hoses and nozzles appear to be in satisfactory condition but should be replaced as needed.

RELEASE DETECTION: The facility conducts monthly visual inspections of visible/exposed tank components including: piping sumps, hoses, nozzles, dispensers, spill containment buckets, and electronic sensors. The piping and tank interstices are continuously monitored via Veeder-Root TLS350, equipped with visual and audible alarm.

Facility ID: 8518113

The visual and audible alarm panels were checked and found to be functioning properly.

The Placard expiration date is: June 30, 2023. Storage tank registration fees are due to the Department each year by July 1. Ensure that your contact information is up-to-date with the Department in order to receive updates concerning your annual registration fees. Once fees are paid, you must print a copy of your placard from the Department's website: http://www.fldepportal.com/go/submit-registration/.

Financial Responsibility must be maintained until your USTs have been properly closed and your Closure Report /Limited Closure Report Form has been submitted to and approved by the Department. Records must be kept for three years.

RECORDS SHALL BE KEPT FOR THREE YEARS IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 62-761.710, F.A.C.

Inspection Report sent by e-mail to: Milei.Aviles@7-11.com

Inspection Photos

Added Date 05/18/2023

site



Added Date 05/18/2023

hose



Added Date 05/18/2023

VR



SITE 9 – PUBLIX SUPER MARKET #1449 / PUBLIX SUPER MARKET #365



John E. Manning District One

Brian Hamman

Donna Marie Collins

Hearing Examiner

District Four

Cecil L Pendergrass District Two

Larry Kiker **District** Three

Roger Desjarlais County Manager

Frank Mann

District Five

Richard Wm. Wesch **Couunty Attorney**

10/31/22

Chantel Brown Publix Super Markets, Inc. Environmental Specialist 863-688-1188 x52668 E-mail: Chantel.Brown@publix.com

RE: **In Compliance**

ID: 9814048, 9815453, 9808995, 9808817, 9809023, 9808934, 9808908, 9809123, 9808937 District:SD Facility Name: PUBLIX SUPER MARKET County:LEE Lee, Charlotte & Desoto County – Storage Tanks Program

Dear Ms. Brown:

A storage tanks inspection and file review were conducted at the above noted facility, by the Lee, Charlotte & Desoto County Storage Tanks Program, on behalf of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Based on the information provided during and following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance with the Department's storage tank rules and regulations. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Keith Kleinmann at (239) 822-6399 or at kkleinmann@leegov.com.

Sincerely,

Keith Kleinmann Environmental Specialist, SR.



Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Bldg. 2600 Blair Stone Road. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-

Division of Waste Management Bureau of Petroleum Storage Systems

Storage Tank Facility Closure Site Inspection Report

Facility Information:

Facility ID:	9808472	County:	LEE	Inspection Date: 07/31/2014
Facility Type:	C -Fuel user/Non-reta	ul		
Facility Name:	PUBLIX SUPER MAR	RKET #365		# Of Inspected ASTs: 1
	3306 BONITA BCH R	D		USTs: 0
	BONITA SPRINGS, F	L 34134		Mineral Acid Tanks: 0
Latitude:	26° 19' 54.3424''			
Longitude:	81° 48' 38.1635"			
LL Method:	DPHO			
Inspection Res	sult:			
Result :	In Compliance			
Description:	Facility is In Compli	ance.		

Financial Responsibility

Financial Responsibility:	SELF-INSURANCE	E - LETTER FROM CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
Effective Date:	03/20/2014	Expiration Date: 03/21/2015

Signatures:

TKLENR - LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Storage Tank Program Office

(239) 533-8129

Storage Tank Program Office Phone Number

Chris A. Zimmerman

INSPECTOR SIGNATURE

Julian Agollari

M

REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

Facility ID: 9808472

Owners of UST facilities are reminded that the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires Operator Training at all facilities by August 8, 2012. For further information please visit: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/categories/tanks/pages/op_train.htm

System Tests					
Туре	Date Completed	Results	Reviewed	Next Due Date	Comment
Completed Tests					
Annual Operability Test	02/18/2013	Passed	01/17/2014	02/18/2014	Test conducted by Power Pro Tech - Verified by Power secure

Inspection Comments

07/31/2014

Items on Checklist/Violations tab were checked to complete closure inspection.

Facility had fuel removed from the tank before it was removed and taken to there central holding facility in Lakeland,FL

There is no documented history of any discharge associated with this tank therefore no closure assessment is required at this time

Inspection Photos

Added Date 07/31/2014

Concrete pad where tank had been sitting.



SITE 15 – NCH HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS



Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Bldg. • 2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

DEP Form # 62-761.900(2) Form Title Storage Tank Registration Form Effective Date July 13, 1998 DEP Application No. (Filled in by DEP)

Storage Tank Facility Registration Form

Submit a completed form for the facility when registration of storage tanks or compression vessels is required by Chapter 376.303, Florida Statutes

Please review Registration Instructions before completing the form.

Please check all t	hat apply [New Registration Facility Info Update/Correction	[] New Owner [] Owner Info Upda	ate/Correction [] New Tanks] Tank Info U	pdate/Correction
A. FACILITY INF	ORMATION	County: Lee		DEP Facility ID:		9816752
Facility Name: Facility Address:	NCH Healthca 24020 S. Tam		City: Bonita Spring	J S	Zip:	34134
Facility Contact: Facility Type(s):	Z	NAICS Co	de:	_ Business Phone: Financial Respons		INSURANCE
24 Hour Emerge	ncy Contact: _			Emergency Phone:		

B. RESPONSIBLE PERSON INFORMATION - Identify Individual(s) or Business(es) responsible for storage tank management, fueling operations, and/or cleanup activities at the facility location named above. Provide additional information in an attachment if necessary.

Name:	Nch Healthcare Systems	Facility - Responsible Person Relation Type:	Effective Date
Mail address:	350 7th St. N.	[$$] Facility Account Owner (pays fees)	01/14/2019
City, ST, Zip:	Naples, FL 34102	Facility Account Owner information must be pr	ovided when the
Contact:	Lee Wehr	facility contains active or out of service storag	e tanks on site.
Telephone:	(239) 624-2853	STCM Account Number (if known)	77855
Identify other ap	opropriate facility relationships for this party: [] Facility Owner/Op	erator [] Property Owner [] Storage T	ank Owner

Name:	Other owner, relationship type(s)	Effective Date
Mail address:	[] Facility Owner/Operator	
City, ST, Zip:	[] Property Owner	
Contact:	[] Storage Tank Owner	
Telephone:	[] Other:	

C. TANK/VESSEL INFORMATION - Complete one row for each storage tank or compression vessel system located at this facility.

Tank ID	T/V	A/U	Capacity	Installed	Content	Status	/Effective Date	Construction	Piping	Monitoring

Certified Contractor (peforming tank installation or removal): ____

DBPR License No.: ____

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information submitted on this form is true, accurate, and complete. **Registration Certification:**

Lee Wehr

Printed Name & Title

Signature

01/14/2019 Date

DEP 62-761.900(2) Northwest District Central District Northeast District 160 Governmental Center Blvd. 7825 Baymeadows Way. 3319 Maguire Blvd., Suite 232 Suite B200 Pensacola, FL 32501 Orlando, FL 32803 Jacksonville, FL 32256 850-595-8360 904-448-4300 407-894-7555

3804 Coconut Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619 813-744-6100

Southwest District

Southeast District 400 North Congress Ave.. W Palm Beach, FL 33416 561-681-6600

South District 2295 Victoria Ave.. Suite 364 Fort Myers, FL 33901 941-332-6975

Marathon Branch Office 2796 Overseas Hwy., Suite 221 Marathon, FL 33050 305-289-2310

Other Additional Details

Insurance Information

Insurance Carrier: Policy Number: Policy Effective Date: Policy Expiration Date:	AIG SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY 005148614 12/22/2018
Property Owner	
Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 2: City/State/Zip Code: Phone Number: Extension: Cell Number:	Lee Wehr 350 7th St N Naples, FL 34102 5754 (239) 624-2852
Fax Number: E-mail Address:	lee.wehr@nchmd.org
<u>Tank Owner</u>	
Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 2: City/State/Zip Code:	Lee Wehr 350 7th St N Naples, FL 34102 5754
Phone Number: Extension: Cell Number: Fax Number: E-mail Address:	(239) 624-2852 lee.wehr@nchmd.org
Facility Owner	
Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 2: City/State/Zip Code: Phone Number: Extension: Cell Number:	Lee Wehr 350 7th St N Naples, FL 34102 5754 (239) 624-2852

Fax Number: E-mail Address:

lee.wehr@nchmd.org

Tank Operator

Name:	Lee Wehr
Address Line 1:	350 7th St N
Address Line 2:	
City/State/Zip Code:	Naples, FL 34102 5754
Phone Number:	(239) 624-2852
Extension:	
Cell Number:	
Fax Number:	
E-mail Address:	lee.wehr@nchmd.org

Tank/Vessel Information

If you are editing an existing Tank ID, the new input will not be stored. To modify a Tank ID you must contact the Storage Tank registration staff at (850) 245-8839 or by e-mail at TankRegistration@dep.state.fl.us

Tank ID:	888790
T/V:	TANK
A/U:	ABOVEGROUND
Capacity:	6500
Installed:	12/22/2018
Content:	G
Status:	U
Status Effective Date:	12/22/2018
Construction:	C, P, I
Piping:	B, F
Monitoring:	E, F, 2



Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Bldg. • 2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Division of Waste Management - Storage Tank Facility Registration Form Registration Instructions and Codes List

The Department of Environmental Protection Storage Tank Program registers the facilities and the storage tanks when aboveground or underground storage tanks store pollutants, hazardous substances, and/or mineral acid substances regulated by Chapter 62-761, Florida Administrative Code, or when aboveground storage tanks or compression vessels store a hazardous substance which requires registration according to Chapter 376, Florida Statutes.

Storage Tank Facility Registration Form

In the first section block, identify the types of information being submitted on the registration form. Check *New Registration* when the **location** is being registered for the first time and no Facility Identification number exists. If submitting a revised Registration form, check all other boxes that apply to designate the type(s) of revisions being submitted.

I. Facility Information - Properly describe the geographical location where the storage tank facility is located.

- Facility IDInclude the DEP Facility Identification number whenever possible. Write in "Pending" when submitting a new registration for the
first time. Remember: the facility ID number identifies the location, and is transferred to a new owner upon sale of the facility.
- **Facility Name** Provide the current name of the business establishment operating at the facility location. When registering an abandoned facility, where tanks exist *unmaintained*, identify the location with the property owner's name, as in "Smith Property", if no other facility name is being used.
- **Facility Address** Include the county name, and the proper street number and name. Give directions when the facility is located in a rural area with no Rural Route number associated with it (i.e., 'x' miles N of intersection...). Provide the name and telephone number of a contact person or manager *on location*, where possible.
- **Facility Type** This information is an explanation or term that most closely describes the operational use of the facility. Select the code(s) that provides the best or most appropriate description of the facility.

1. If the facility is owned by a government entity, select the appropriate type from the following:

F. Federal Government	H. Local or City Government	N. Indian Land
G. State Government	I. County Government	

2. If the facility meets the definition of "bulk product facility" - "a waterfront location with at least one aboveground tank with a capacity greater than 30,000 gallons which is used for the storage of pollutants" ("Pollutants" includes oil of any kind and in any form, gasoline, pesticides, ammonia, chlorine, and derivatives thereof, excluding liquefied petroleum gas."); select the type from:

- T. Coastal bulk product facility facility, as defined above and located on the Florida coast, may have storage tank systems that store hazardous substances in addition to pollutants. ("Coastline means the line of mean low water along the portion of the coast that is in direct contact with the open sea and the line marking the seaward limit of inland waters, as determined under the Convention on Territorial Seas and the Contiguous Zone, 15 U.S.T. (Pt. 2) 1606.").
- S. Inland Waterfront bulk product facility facility, as defined above and located on "inland waterways" (lakes, rivers), may have storage tank systems that store hazardous substances in addition to pollutants.

3. When the facility is a "waterfront location", but not a bulk product facility as defined above, select the most appropriate type from:

- V. Marine fueling facility a commercial, recreational, or retail coastal facility that provides fuel to vessels and may store other pollutants and/or hazardous substances on site.
- W. Waterfront fueling facility a commercial, recreational, or retail facility located on a non-coastal waterway that provides fuel to vessels and may store other pollutants and/or hazardous substances on site.

Facility Type continued

4. When the facility is not described as above, select the most appropriate type from:

- A. Retail Station primarily supplies vehicular fuel to automotive customers; may store other regulated substances.
- **C. Fuel User, Non-retail -** primarily stores vehicular fuel and/or other pollutants or hazardous substances for consumption by facility/owner/operator.
- **D.** Inland Bulk Petroleum Storage inland facility with no waterfront access, that has multiple active UST and/or AST storage systems used primarily for storage of pollutants intended for distribution. May also store hazardous substances on-site for facility consumption and/or distribution purposes.
- E. Industrial Plant inland facility with no waterfront access; may include power plants and facilities designed for manufacturing and/or chemical processing; may have multiple active UST and/or AST storage systems used for storage of pollutants and/or hazardous substances intended for facility consumption.
- J. Collection Station maintenance or other related facility that acquires and temporarily stores used and/or waste oil prior to recycling and/or disposal.
- K. Inland Bulk Chemical Storage inland facility with no waterfront access, that has multiple active UST and/or AST storage systems and/or compression vessels used for storage of hazardous substances intended for distribution. May also store pollutants on site for facility consumption and/or distribution purposes.
- L. Chemical User facility primarily uses regulated hazardous substance tanks on site; may also store pollutants.
- M. Agricultural facility actively used in production of crops, plants, or livestock.
- **B.** Residential (not regulated) property used primarily for dwelling purposes; regulated substance used for non-commercial purposes; no UST exists > 1100 gallons.
- P. UST Residential (>1100 gallons) residence with USTs regulated by Federal Environmental Protection Agency.
- Z. Other Please identify the type of establishment that you are registering.

North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), developed jointly by the United States, Canada, and Mexico, has replaced the U.S. Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC) system, effective January, 1997. The new system identifies new industry categories and re-organizes the current data more consistently. More information on this subject can be obtained from: National Technical Information Services, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161; (800) 553-6847. See also U. S. Department of Commerce Web Sites: http://ntis.gov and http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naics.html. When possible – please select the most appropriate code for your facility.

Financial Responsibility – The demonstration of financial responsibility shall be made by the owner or operator in accordance with C.F.R. Title 40, Part 280, Subpart H. Write in your selection of the following:

- 1. None
- 2. Insurance Carrier
- 3. Other Mechanism (includes all other financial responsibility methods meeting requirements of C.F.R. Title 40)

24 Hour Emergency Contact - Provide the name & telephone number of the Emergency Contact for this facility.

II. Responsible Party Information

1. In the first block, provide the name, address, contact name, and telephone number of the individual(s) and/or business(es) that are responsible for the operation of the storage tank facility and for the payment of DEP annual Storage Tank Registration fees. Identify the appropriate facility relationships for this party: Facility Owner/Operator, Tank Owner, and/or Property Owner. The first named party will also be associated with the role of Facility Account Owner. The Account Owner is responsible for payment of the annual storage tank registration fees, and will receive the annual storage tank registration placard(s) upon payment.

2. Identify additional individuals and/or companies that play a role in the ownership or operation of the facility, as necessary.

- 3. When submitting revisions to owner name or address information, please include their STCM Account Number, when available.
- 4. Submit a registration form when the facility or tank ownership changes, complete with the date & new owner's signature.

III. Tank/Compression Vessel Information - Complete one row in Section C for each storage tank and/or compression vessel system located at the facility. Use the following system description codes where appropriate.

- 1. Tank ID number systems sequentially, or provide a unique identification number; do not use symbols (#, %, -, etc.).
- 2. Tank or Vessel Indicator write in T or V to describe the system type.
- 3. Tank Placement Write in A or U to designate aboveground or underground placement of the system.
- 4. Tank Capacity Write in the storage tank capacity in gallons.
- 5. Installation Date Record the date of first installation in 'MM/YY' format; provide a best estimate if unknown.

6. Tank Content - Record the current content (or last content, if system is closed or not in use) from the list below.

- **A.** Leaded gasoline **B.** Unleaded gasoline
- K. Kerosene
- L. Waste oil / Used oil
- M. Fuel oil: on-site heating only; USTs or ASTs <30K gals

Generic Gasoline - grade unknown

- **O.** New & lube oil
- **D.** Vehicular diesel **E.** Aviation gasoline
- **F.** Jet diesel fuel

C. Gasohol

- Р. G. Diesel fuel - emergency generator Q. Pesticide
- **H.** Diesel fuel generator or pump **R.** Ammonia compound
- * Mineral Acid = Hydrobromic acid, Hydrochloric acid, Hydrofluoric acid, Phosphoric acid, Sulfuric acid.
- * M = fuel is used solely to heat the facility premises and must be stored in a tank with capacity < 30,000 gallons; exempt from regulation.
- * N = fuel is distributed as heating fuel, or fuel is used solely to heat the facility premises, but the storage tank capacity exceeds 30,000 gallons.

** Compartmented tanks - register as a single tank; itemize the size and contents of each compartment.

** Manifold tanks - register as individual storage tanks; with individual size and content - even though they are "connected".

7. Status - Record the current status of the system, & the status effective date (or best estimate) in 'MM/YY' format. Update the tank status timely, as necessary for tanks moving between "in service" and "out of service" status.

- A. Properly closed in place * UST filled with sand, concrete or other inert material; AST rendered unusable.
- B. Removed from the site *
- *A or B: UST Closure Assessment required after 12/10/90; AST Closure Assessment required after 3/12/91 refer to 62-761.800, F.A.C.
- E. Construction modified AST constructed as a "mobile tank" or enclosed in a building; no longer retains a "regulated" status.
- F. Unmaintained tank UST/AST not in use, not properly closed, not to be returned to service (tank must be properly closed within 90 days).
- T. Out-of-service tank UST/AST locked and monitored (10 yr limit for USTs with secondary containment; 2 yr limit for corrosion-protected USTs; 1 yr limit for unprotected USTs; 5 yr limit for ASTs).
- U. In-service UST/AST may be empty for up to 45 days for routine services/maintenance only.
- V. Temporary out of service special designation for field-erected ASTs, greater than or equal to 50,000 gallon capacity; may be empty for up to 180 days for routine services/maintenance only.
- Non-regulated product stored in tank; provide status effective date when status relates to a 'change in product' for a particular storage tank. Z.

8. Construction, Piping, and Monitoring Attributes – please select from the lists below, the codes that best describe the attributes of each storage tank system. ** When "Z. Other DEP Approved" is selected; please specify the EO #. **

CONSTRUCTION

Primary Construction:	D. E.	Steel Unknown Fiberglass Fiberglass-clad steel	X. Y. Z.	Concrete Polyethylene Other DEP approved tank material
Overfill/Spill:	A.	Ball check valve	0.	Tight fill
	М.	Spill containment bucket	Р.	Level gauges, high-level alarms
	N.	Flow shut-off	Q.	Other DEP approved protection method
Corrosion Protection:	G.	Cathodic protection - sacrificial anode	H.	Cathodic protection - impressed current
Secondary Containment:	I.	Double wall construction: single material (outer tank material same as inner tank material)		erial same as inner tank material)
	R.	 Double wall construction: dual material (outer tank - concrete, approved synthetic material, or tank "jacket" Synthetic liner in tank excavation Concrete, synthetic material, and/or offsite clays beneath AST and in containment area 		
	J.			
	K.			
	S.	Other DEP approved secondary containment system		

V. Pipeless UST with secondary containment

- **S.** Chlorine compound
- T. Hazardous substance (CERCLA)
- U. Mineral acid
- **N.** Fuel oil: distribution; or on-site heating ASTs > 30K gals **V.** Grades 5 & 6, bunker 'C' residual oils
 - **W.** Petroleum-base additive product
 - **X.** Miscellaneous petroleum-base product
 - **Y.** Unknown Substance
 - **Z.** Other Substance: please identify

CONSTRUCTION - continued

Miscellaneous attributes:	B. L.	Internal lining Compartmented	U.	Field erected tank
PIPING				
Primary Construction:	B. C. N.	Steel or galvanized metal Fiberglass Approved synthetic material	Y. Z.	Unknown Other DEP approved piping material
Corrosion Protection:	D. E.	External protective coating Cathodically protected with sacrificial anode or impressed current		
Secondary Containment:	M.	Double wall construction: single material (outer pipe material same as inner pipe material) Double wall construction: dual material (outer pipe - approved synthetic material or pipe "jacket") Synthetic liner or box/trench liner in piping excavation or pipe containment area Internal Piping: contained within an internal sump riser, directly connected to tank & located beneath dispenser		
Miscellaneous attributes:	A. I. J.	Aboveground, no contact with soil Suction piping system Pressurized piping system	K. L. H.	Dispenser liners Bulk product system Airport/seaport hydrant system

MONITORING

External:	A. B. C. D. E.	Site Suitability Plan Site Suitability Plan Exemption Groundwater Monitoring Plan SPCC Plan Interstitial monitoring of UST synthetic liners	P. Q. W.	Vapor monitoring with dilution procedures Visual inspection of AST systems Fiber-optic technologies
Internal:		Interstitial space - double wall tank	R.	Interstitial monitoring of AST tank bottom
	L.	Automatic tank gauging system (USTs)	S.	Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) (USTs)
	М.	Manual tank gauging system (USTs)	Т.	Annual tightness test with inventory (USTs)
Piping monitoring:	G.	Electronic line leak detector with flow shutoff	U.	Bulk product piping pressure test
	H.	Mechanical line leak detector	V.	Suction pump check valve
	J.	Interstitial monitoring - piping liner	6.	External monitoring
	K.	Interstitial monitoring - double wall piping		
Miscellaneous:	I.	Not required - see rule for exemptions	2.	Visual inspections of piping sumps
	X.	None	3.	Electronic monitoring of piping sumps
	Y.	Unknown	4.	Visual inspections of dispenser liners
	1.	Continuous electronic sensing equipment	5.	Electronic monitoring of dispenser liners

IV. Certified Contractor & Certification

Record the name and the *Department of Business and Professional Regulation License Number* for the *Certified Contractor* whenever an underground storage tank has been installed, removed, or closed in place. Do not rely on the contractor to file this form. Storage Tank Registration Forms are required to be submitted by the storage tank system owner or operator.

Please Remember that the Registration Form cannot be processed without the name and signature of the storage tank system owner or operator, and the date of the form submittal. Please print your name legibly in case a representative of the storage tank program should need to contact you.

If you have questions, please call a storage tank registration representative at (850) 245-8839 for assistance.

SITE 16 – DISCARDED BUCKETS / CONSTRUCTION SITE / DISASTER DEBRIS MANAGEMENT SITE



Florida Department of Environmental Protection Inspection Checklist

FACILITY INFORMATION:

CITY OF BONITA SPRINGS-RIVER PARK **Facility Name: On-Site Inspection Start Date:** 12/15/2017 **On-Site Inspection End Date:** 12/15/2017 WACS No.: 104943 Facility Street Address: 27711 WINDSOR RD City: **BONITA SPRINGS County Name:** LEE Zip: 34134 **INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS:**

(Include ALL Landfill and Department Personnel with Corresponding Titles)Principal Inspector: Rick R Roudebush, Environmental SpecialistOther Participants: Matt Freeney, Public Works Director

INSPECTION TYPE:

Routine Closure Inspection for WPF - Disaster Debris Management Site (DDMS)

COMMENTS:

On December 15, 2017, a closure inspection was conducted to evaluate the DDMS for any environmental impacts. Vegetative debris was the only type of waste managed and processed at this site. Department personnel conducted inspections at this location on October 24th and November 14th. No issues or environmental concerns were noted during those inspections.

No environmental issues or concerns were noted during the final walk through of the property. This site is now inactivated and a closure letter has been issued to the city.

ATTACHMENTS:

BS Riverside Park Closed DDMS



CITY OF BONITA SPRINGS-RIVER PARK

Inspection Date: 12/15/2017

Signed:

Rick R Roudebush	Environmental Specialist					
PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR NAME	PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR TITLE					
(RP)		12/18/2017				
	FDEP - SDO	12/18/2017				
PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR SIGNATURE	ORGANIZATION	DATE				
Matt Freeney	Public Works Director					
REPRESENTATIVE NAME	REPRESENTATIVE TITLE					
NO SIGNATURE REQUIRED	City of Bonita Springs					
REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	ORGANIZATION					
NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Representative only acknowledges receipt of this Inspection Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of any of the items identified by the Department as "Not Ok" or areas of concern.						
Report Approvers:						

Approver: Ryan B Snyder

Inspection Approval Date:

01/25/2018

APPENDIX F SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Site Photographs – Mainline



Site 1 – Devoe Pontiac Buick Infiniti Volvo Inc / Bonita Springs Mitsubishi / Bonita Springs Infiniti Trails Edge Road looking west



Site 1 – Devoe Pontiac Buick Infiniti Volvo Inc / Bonita Springs Mitsubishi / Bonita Springs Infiniti Near Beaumont Road looking southeast



Site 1 – Devoe Pontiac Buick Infiniti Volvo Inc / Bonita Springs Mitsubishi / Bonita Springs Infiniti Trails Edge Road looking west



Site 1 – Devoe Pontiac Buick Infiniti Volvo Inc / Bonita Springs Mitsubishi / Bonita Springs Infiniti Trails Edge Road looking west



Site 2 – Springs Plaza Sewer System Near US 41 looking southwest



Site 3 – 7-Eleven Store #34806 Near US 41 looking northeast



Site 3 – 7-Eleven Store #34806 Near US 41 looking southwest



Site 4 – Bonita Springs Central Off Beaumont Road looking northwest



Site 5 – Spring Fresh Dry Cleaners

Southeast quadrant of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection looking south



Site 6 – Martinizing Dry Cleaning Near western project limits looking south



Site 6 – Martinizing Dry Cleaning Near western project limits looking northeast



Site 7 – BP-Bonita-Oleum Corp Bonita Beach Road looking south



Site 8 – 7-Eleven Store #40327 / Apex Station Northwest quadrant of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection looking northwest



Site 9 – Publix Super Market #1449 / Publix Super Market #365 Northwest quadrant of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection looking northwest



Site 9 – Publix Super Market #1449 / Publix Super Market #365 Area of emergency generator and AST behind Publix



Site 9 – Publix Super Market #1449 / Publix Super Market #365 Northwest quadrant of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection looking northwest



Site 9 – Publix Super Market #1449 / Publix Super Market #365 Area of former AST and emergency generator behind Crunch Fitness



Site 10 – Former Sunshine Dry Cleaners East of Arroyal Road looking east



Site 10 – Former Sunshine Dry Cleaners East of Arroyal Road looking southeast



Site 11 – Former Prestige Cleaners Northwest quadrant of US 41 and Bonita Beach Road intersection looking northwest



Site 12 – Tuffy Tire & Auto Service Center Near US 41 and proposed Windsor Road intersection looking northeast



Site 13 – Bonita Boat Center US 41 looking northwest



Site 14 – Advance Auto Parts US 41 looking east



Site 15 – NCH Healthcare Systems Near proposed Windsor Road and US 41 intersection looking west



Site 16 – Discarded Buckets / Construction Site / Disaster Debris Management Site Windsor Road just south of Imperial River looking northeast



Site 16 – Discarded Buckets / Construction Site / Disaster Debris Management Site Windsor Road just south of Imperial River looking northeast



Site 17 – Super Suds Car Wash Near US 41 looking southwest



Site 18 – Jiffy Lube Near US 41 looking south



Site 19 – Tires Plus Arroyal Road looking northeast



Site 19 – Tires Plus Waste oil AST near Arroyal Road looking south



Site 20 – Lexpert Automotive Inc. Near Crown Lake Boulevard and US 41 intersection



Northern project boundary US 41 looking south



Northern project boundary US 41 looking north



Western project boundary Bonita Beach Road looking west



Western project boundary Bonita Beach Road looking east




Southern project boundary US 41 looking north



Southern project boundary US 41 looking south



Eastern project boundary Bonita Beach Road looking west



Eastern project boundary Bonita Beach Road looking east



Carolina Road boundary Carolina Road looking west



Proposed Windsor Road boundary Proposed Windsor Road looking northwest