SR 780/Fruitville Road Survey #1
(May 21 – June 16, 2020)
307 participants

“Getting to know you” Survey
Tell us what you think the top priorities should be when considering future transportation projects on Fruitville Road.
Tell us what you think the top priorities should be when considering future transportation projects on Fruitville Road.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Vehicle speed</th>
<th>Pedestrian access</th>
<th>Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117 (43%)</td>
<td>53 (27%)</td>
<td>19 (11%)</td>
<td>22 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 (20%)</td>
<td>47 (24%)</td>
<td>41 (23%)</td>
<td>30 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 (16%)</td>
<td>39 (20%)</td>
<td>54 (30%)</td>
<td>28 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 (11%)</td>
<td>35 (18%)</td>
<td>39 (22%)</td>
<td>39 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 (10%)</td>
<td>20 (10%)</td>
<td>27 (15%)</td>
<td>30 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Times ranked: 270
Average rank: 2.230

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bicycle access</th>
<th>Landscaping</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Economic Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 (15%)</td>
<td>21 (15%)</td>
<td>14 (9%)</td>
<td>16 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 (17%)</td>
<td>25 (19%)</td>
<td>32 (20%)</td>
<td>27 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (23%)</td>
<td>28 (20%)</td>
<td>32 (20%)</td>
<td>18 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (23%)</td>
<td>19 (14%)</td>
<td>43 (27%)</td>
<td>33 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 (22%)</td>
<td>46 (33%)</td>
<td>41 (25%)</td>
<td>40 (30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Times ranked: 156
Average rank: 3.218

Times ranked: 139
Average rank: 3.317

Times ranked: 162
Average rank: 3.401

Times ranked: 134
Average rank: 3.403
Preferences selected for Fruitville Road:

- Pedestrian Access: 298
- Vehicle speed: 160
- Maintenance: 133
- Safety: 126
- Economic Development: 111
- Landscaping: 121
Pedestrian access – 298 responses

- Vehicles move too fast
- Sharing the road
- Prefer more shade
- Onroad designated bike lane
- Large intersections feel unsafe to walk
- Detached pathway

Bar chart showing:
- Pedestrian Access: 298 responses
- Vehicle speed: 160 responses
- Maintenance: 133 responses
- Transit: 126 responses
- Landscaping: 121 responses
- Economic Development: 111 responses
Safety – 233 responses

- Technological advances
- Additional law enforcement
- Separated pathway
Vehicle speed – 160 responses

- Offering more alternative routes
- Dynamic messaging signs
- Innovative roadway design
Maintenance – 133 responses
Transit – 126 responses

- More transit stops
- Additional routes
- More amenities at stops
Landscaping – 121 responses
Economic development – 111 responses
Map markers

- **Transit Stops**: Markers: 33
  - Question 1:
    1. Needs improvement
    2. Add one here

- **Maintenance**: Markers: 51

- **Safety**: Markers: 101
  - Question 1:
    1. Needs improvement
    6. Add connection

- **Biking Issues**: Markers: 104
  - Question 1:
    1. Needs improvement
    6. Add connection

- **Crosswalks**: Markers: 105
  - Question 1:
    18. Doesn't feel safe
    8. Add

- **Traffic**: Markers: 207
  - Question 1:
    7. Too fast
    2. Sight issues
    60. Congested too...